

# Humboldt County

## Solid Waste Local Task Force

### Cities of:

Arcata  
Blue Lake  
Eureka  
Ferndale  
Fortuna  
Rio Dell  
Trinidad

### County of Humboldt

### Humboldt Waste Management Authority

*The Humboldt County Local Task Force serves in an advisory capacity to individual agencies and the HWMA towards the implementation of the integrated management of solid wastes and recyclables.*

*The Local Task Force is also responsible for assisting in the coordination, review and implementation the county and cities 5-Year CIWMP Report.*

### Agenda

Wednesday, July 6, 2021 (2pm-3:30pm)

### Virtual Meeting Link

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87552047149>

Meeting ID: 875 5204 7149

Call In: 1-669-900-6833

*Copies Available: Copies of the agenda materials are available electronically upon request by emailing [dwood@ci.eureka.ca.gov](mailto:dwood@ci.eureka.ca.gov)*

1. **Call to Order/Roll Call**
2. **March 24, 2021 Minutes (attached)** – *Review & Approve*
3. **Jurisdiction Representative Reports-** *Receive updates and take action as appropriate.*
4. **Ongoing Discussion Items**
  1. **Recycling**
    - a. **CA Redemption Value Buy Back Centers-** *Receive update from Jill Duffy, Executive Director of HWMA; Take action as appropriate.*
    - b. **Curbside Recycling-** *Receive update from Linda Wise, General Manager of Recology. Take action as appropriate.*
    - c. **Contamination Ad Hoc-** *Receive update from Ad Hoc members*
  2. **“Mandatory Organic Recycling” (AB1826) and “Short Lived Climate Pollutants” (SB1383)** - *Group discussion; Take action as appropriate.*
    - a. **SB1383 Ad Hoc-** *Receive update from Ad Hoc members*
5. **SB1383 Consultant RFP-** *Recommendation: Approve HWMA to work with the LTF to release an RFP and develop the*

*funding plan to hire a consultant to provide technical assistance with SB1383 compliance.*

**6. Integrated Waste Management Plan (attached)-** *Review draft and provide feedback*

**7. Non-Local Task Force Organization Reports**

This is a standing agenda item for non-member organizations to provide reports to the SWLTF on their respective waste management topics.

1. HWMA
2. Recology
3. Zero Waste Humboldt
4. LEA
5. CalRecycle

**8. Proposed Future Tasks/Actions**

The LTF will identify tasks or action items to be addressed at a specified LTF meeting.

**9. Oral and Written Communications**

- a. This time is provided for people to address the Task Force or to submit written communications concerning matters not on this agenda. Task Force Members may respond to statements, but any request that requires action will be referred to appropriate agency staff for review. Reasonable time limits may be imposed on both the total amount of time allocated for this item, and on the time permitted to each individual speaker. Such time allotment or portion thereof shall not be transferred to other speakers.

**10. Adjournment**

# Humboldt County Local Task Force

## Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM

### Cities of:

Arcata  
Blue Lake  
Eureka  
Ferndale  
Fortuna  
Rio Dell  
Trinidad

### County of Humboldt

### Humboldt Waste Management Authority

*The Humboldt County Local Task Force serves in an advisory capacity to individual agencies and the HWMA towards the implementation of the integrated management of solid wastes and recyclables.*

*The Local Task Force is also responsible for assisting in the coordination, review and implementation the county and cities 5-Year CIWMP Report.*

### Virtual Meeting – Zoom Link:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87838400290>

Meeting ID: 878 3840 0290

Call in: 408-638-0968

## 1. Call to Order/Roll Call

Arcata	Emily Benvie
Blue Lake	Not Present
Eureka	Donna Wood (Chair), Jeff Raimey, Robin Praszker
Ferndale	Jay Parrish
Fortuna	Merritt Perry, Kevin Carter
Humboldt Co.	Tom Mattson
Trinidad	Not Present
Rio Dell	Kyle Knopp

HWMA Jill Duffy

## Members of the Public

Linda Wise – Recology  
Maggie Gainer – Zero Waste Humboldt  
Wendy Ring – Zero Waste Humboldt  
Tasha Eisner – Humboldt Sanitation  
Leslie Castellano – HTA Board Member, Eureka City Council  
Brian Sollum  
Carolyn Hawkins – LEA  
Frank Nelson  
Juliette Bohn  
Wes Green  
Nancy Ihara  
Mario Kalson – DHHS-PHB DEH  
Marshalle Graham – Cal Recycle  
Martha Walden  
Spencer Fine – Cal Recycle  
Summer Daugherty  
Krista  
Marilyn

## 2. Approval of December 16, 2020 Minutes

Motion to approve by Tom Mattson (Humboldt County),  
Second by Kyle Knopp (Rio Dell).  
No public comment.

# **Humboldt County Local Task Force**

## **Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM**

Motion passed.

Ayes: Arcata, Eureka, Ferndale, Fortuna, Rio Dell, Humboldt County

Noes: None

Absent: Blue Lake, Trinidad

Abstain: None

### **3. Jurisdiction Representative Reports**

Arcata – Staff are working on a food waste grant. Information on SB 1383 and complying with it were shared and staff plan to brief City Council at the end of April.

Eureka – A CRV FAQ document has been finalized. A reply from State representatives has been received and State legislation has been introduced. An educational campaign has been launched for the Food Waste grant.

Ferndale – Plan to have public meetings like Rio Dell.

Fortuna – Workshops were held similar to Rio Dell and more public workshops will be held in the future.

Humboldt County – The County has concerns regarding the challenges with CRV.

Rio Dell – The City Council held workshops on universal collection with Recology and are hopeful that Fortuna will follow suit as a large partner is needed. Information can be found on the City's website. The final workshop for ordinance changes is on April 20<sup>th</sup>.

Blue Lake, Trinidad – Not present

### **4. Ongoing Discussion Items**

#### **1. Recycling**

##### **a. CA Redemption Value Buy Back Centers**

Jill Duffy, HWMA, provided an update. Information on CRV has already changed and will need to be amended. If a retailer is not providing service, the public can connect with Cal Recycle. AB 1311 was gutted and an amendment is helping. SB 38 will shift CRV into a stewardship program and is legislation to watch.

##### **b. Curbside Recycling**

Jill Duffy, HWMA, provided an update. An annual rate adjustment can be submitted. Contamination is close to 23%. The UN to deem some plastic items not recyclable. Member agencies need to become more active in reducing contamination

# **Humboldt County Local Task Force**

## **Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM**

levels. The contamination costs can be passed on to the consumer. It is difficult to create a uniform approach as member agencies have different education and franchise agreements.

Linda Wise, Recology, also provided an update. Member agencies should try to have uniform information and method in franchise agreements/contracts. There is a draft strategy for agency consideration. This will reduce cost at the recycling center.

### **2. “Mandatory Organic Recycling (AB1826) and “Short Lived Climate Pollutants” (SB1383)**

Eureka – Donna Wood and Robin Praszker attended the HWMA board meeting. Cities will be required to have organics curbside collection or a plan to show Cal Recycle. The current HWMA contract can be modified or a consultant hired to regionally plan with other cities.

Arcata – The City is supportive of a regional approach for organics.

Fortuna – Also support a regional approach for organics. Jurisdictions should work together to share the burden and have one collective effort.

Humboldt County – Also supportive of a regional effort. Need to consider Southern Humboldt. Hauling from Southern Humboldt will produce more greenhouse emissions.

Linda Wise, Recology – There can be two regional approaches; identify gaps in contracts and ordinances and another to regional organics recycling.

Jill Duffy, HWMA – End use is a challenge.

Public comment opened and closed at 2:32pm.

### **5. Prop 218 Effects on Franchise Fee Funding**

Humboldt County – Jurisdiction fees have no relation to collection fees. Franchise fees going into waste management is allowable. If franchise fees go to general government operations, it will be challenged. For areas like Redway and Garberville, the County is look at an exemption for rural areas.

Jill Duffy, HWMA – Can the County send the court decision?

Spencer Fine, Cal Recycle – Southern Humboldt may be Cal Recycle jurisdiction.

### **6. Potential Formation of Local Task Force Subcommittees**

# **Humboldt County Local Task Force**

## **Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM**

Eureka – A subcommittee could be formed for those interested in a regional compost facility. Eureka can pull together information.

HWMA – Identify jurisdiction with multiple skills and refine the focus of the subcommittee to identify a clear path.

### **7. Non-Local Task Force Organization Reports**

This is a standing agenda item for non-member organizations to provide reports to the SWLTF on their respective waste management topics.

1. **HWMA** –Interviews are ongoing for candidates for Executive Director position. Green waste processing services are currently provided by Wes and Theresa Green and there was a recent change in ownership.
2. **Recology** –There will be a meeting in Fortuna at 5pm regarding 1383 and how it affects collection.
3. **Zero Waste Humboldt** –The current focus is on SB 1383 and working with local school districts to make sure that they know their mandate. Zero Waste Humboldt joined a group of Northern California organizations for reopen with reuse and to help businesses with reuse. Recruitment is currently underway for a projects manager with the hope to have them on board in June.
4. **LEA** – Mario Kalson is a new field inspector. Environmental Health is changing their permit tracking software and plan to go live with it in May. There are requests for waste tire hauler exemption letters. There's a PRC exemption for municipalities. Information can be obtained from Cal Recycle by calling 1-866-896-0600. A rate increase may go to the Board of Supervisors in the Fall. SB 1383 is a huge legislative effort. Questions about food safety can be directed to Ben, Consumer Protection. Solid Waste Task Force 5 Year County solid waste plan is due September 1, 2021. It will need to go to LTF for approval then to Recology by January 31, 2022 to file.

HWMA – Can Mario reach out to code enforcement regarding tires? They usually come out of police efforts.

Eureka – The five-year report will be on the agenda for the June, September and December meetings.

Spencer Fine, Cal Recycle – An attachment was emailed to the group. There will be training on March 30<sup>th</sup> regarding SB 1383. The SB 1383 webpage and subpages have been revised and comments can be made on the website.

# **Humboldt County Local Task Force**

## **Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM**

There is a new waste procurement waste calculator tool. FAQs are being updated and will be posted when complete. Educational information on 1383 is online. Draft tools should be considered draft until official finalization. AB 26 threshold is lower to regulated businesses and Cal Recycle is working with individual jurisdictions to establish a timeline/plan for compliance. The next public meeting is April 20, 2021.

Wes Green – Took over composting operation on February 22, 2021 and things are going well. Want to secure a long-term solution for ground material. Taking in more material than able to market. Contact Wes at 496-0175 if anyone has any space.

Maggie Gainer, Zero Waste Humboldt, introduced Wendy Ring. On farm composting could be a solution to SB 1383. It would remove carbon dioxide from air by applying compost to agricultural land per scientists. There would be negative carbon emission and the sequester potential is 2.5 million metric tons. Farmers would like to use more compost but it is expensive for them so why not make it where it needs to go. There is interest from dairies and ranches. Farms in other states are doing it, less than an industrial facility and doesn't take much land. It would need to take away work needed for the farmers. Regulatory agencies are in the way and urban rules don't work in rural counties. A pilot opportunity is something that could be explored. Can a subcommittee explore on farm composting? A grant was found and partners are needed.

Humboldt County – Has there been any outreach to the Mennonite community? They may be interested and are concerned about field sustainability. Staff will send contact information.

LEA – Cal Recycle agreed to pilot project. Can ZWH provide who was connected with regarding this?

### **8. Proposed Future Tasks/Actions**

The LTF will identify tasks or action items to be addressed at a specified LTF meeting.

Maggie Gainer, Zero Waste Humboldt – When will the County update the plan, 9/2021 or 2022?

LEA - Add it to the June meeting agenda.

HWMA – Cal Recycle has changed how the 5-year plan is reported. HWMA can send the last planning documents for 2017 and can circulate the draft.

# **Humboldt County Local Task Force**

## **Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM**

Marshall Graham, Cal Recycle – It has been streamlined to ensure that concerns are addressed. Remind everyone of the 5-year review. Statute requires county and associated cities review to make sure that it still meets their needs. Revisions are time intensive for not anything tangible. Is there an NDFE amendment needed?

HWMA - Information is slim and engaging in discussion allows for a proactive approach. Recycling contamination is an issue and strategies are needed for moving forward.

Humboldt County – 1383 and AB 26 need to be a standing item on the agenda and monthly meetings needed. There could be a subcommittee for contamination for regional educational campaign.

HWMA – A special meeting of the LTF would be needed due to the Brown Act to identify subcommittee members. LTF needs to establish subcommittee and give them the charge and subcommittee meetings may need to be notice.

Humboldt County – A work around would be an Ad Hoc committee of less than a quorum of LTF.

Public Comment for non-agendized items was opened and closed at 3:20pm.

Rio Dell – Recommends creating an ad hoc committee for SB 1383.

**Motion:** Representatives from Fortuna, Arcata, Eureka, County convene an ad hoc committee on SB 1383 with amendment to add deliverable as a list of items to begin to plan for organics recycling to June LTF meeting.

Eureka – Recommends motion amendment to add deliverable as a list of items to begin to plan for organics recycling to June LTF meeting.

Rio Dell accepts amendment. Fortuna Seconds.

### **Motion passed.**

Ayes: Arcata, Eureka, Ferndale, Fortuna, Rio Dell, Humboldt County

Noes: None

Absent: Blue Lake, Trinidad

Abstain: None

Contamination Ad hoc

**Motion:** Develop regional public educational campaign to reduce contamination in the recycling collection system through a contamination ad hoc committee consisting of

# ***Humboldt County Local Task Force***

## **Meeting Minutes**

**Wednesday, March 24, 2021 at 2:00 PM**

Eureka, Ferndale, Rio Dell, Humboldt County, Recology, HWMA and Zero Waste Humboldt.

Zero Waste Humboldt – Suggest amendment to say educational instead of advertising.

Amendment accepted. Rio Dell Seconds.

### **Motion passed.**

Ayes: Arcata, Eureka, Ferndale, Fortuna, Rio Dell, Humboldt County

Noes: None

Absent: Blue Lake, Trinidad

Abstain: None

**9. Oral and Written Communications** – None received

**10. Adjournment** at 3:26pm. Next meeting to be determined by chair.

## Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report Template

Public Resources Code (PRC) Sections 41770 and 41822, and Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 18788 require that each countywide or regional agency integrated waste management plan (CIWMP or RAIWMP), and the elements thereof, be reviewed, revised if necessary, and submitted to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) every five years. CalRecycle developed this Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report template to streamline the Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP review, reporting, and approval process.

A county or regional agency may use this template to document its compliance with these regulatory review and reporting requirements and as a tool in its review, including obtaining Local Task Force (LTF) comments on areas of the CIWMP or RAIWMP that need revision, if any. This template also can be finalized based on these comments and submitted to CalRecycle as the county or regional agency's Five-Year CIWMP or RAIWMP Review Report.

The [Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report Template Instructions](#) describe each section and provide general guidelines with respect to preparing the report. Completed and signed reports should be submitted to the CalRecycle's Local Assistance & Market Development (LAMD) Branch at the address below. Upon report receipt, LAMD staff may request clarification and/or additional information if the details provided in the report are not clear or are not complete. Within 90 days of receiving a *complete* Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report, LAMD staff will review the report and prepare their findings for CalRecycle consideration for approval.

If you have any questions about the Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report process or how to complete this template, please contact your LAMD representative at (916) 341-6199. Mail the completed and signed Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report to:

Dept. of Resources Recycling & Recovery  
Local Assistance & Market Development, MS-9  
P. O. Box 4025  
Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

**General Instructions:** Please complete Sections 1 through 7, and all other applicable subsections. Double click on shaded text/areas ( ) to select or add text.

SECTION 1.0 COUNTY OR REGIONAL AGENCY INFORMATION			
I certify that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to complete this report and request approval of the CIWMP or RAIWMP Five-Year Review Report on behalf of:			
County or Regional Agency Name Humboldt County (Prepared by Humboldt Waste Management Authority)		County(s) [if a RAIWMP Review Report]	
Authorized Signature		Title Executive Director, HWMA	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Jill K. Duffy		Date	Phone (707) 268-8680
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Tyler Egerer		Title Adm. Svcs. Director	Phone (707) 268-8680
Mailing Address 1059 West Hawthorne Street	City Eureka	State CA	Zip 95501
E-mail Address jduffy@hwma.net			

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Section</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b><u>BACKGROUND</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b><u>LOCAL TASK FORCE REVIEW</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b><u>TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE of REGULATIONS</u></b> <b><u>SECTION 18788 (3) (A) THROUGH (H) ISSUES</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b><u>Changes in Demographics in the County or Regional Agency</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b><u>Changes in Quantities of Waste within the County or Regional Agency; and Changes in Permitted Disposal Capacity and Quantities of Waste Disposed in the County or Regional Agency</u></b>	<b><u>4</u></b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b><u>Changes in Funding Source for Administration of the Siting Element and Summary Plan</u></b>	<b><u>6</u></b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b><u>Changes in Administrative Responsibilities</u></b>	<b><u>6</u></b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b><u>Programs that were Scheduled to be Implemented but were not</u></b>	<b><u>7</u></b>
<b>4.6</b>	<b><u>Changes in Available Markets for Recyclable Materials</u></b>	<b><u>8</u></b>
<b>4.7</b>	<b><u>Changes in the Implementation Schedule</u></b>	<b><u>8</u></b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b><u>OTHER ISSUES (optional)</u></b>	<b><u>9</u></b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b><u>ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW</u></b>	<b><u>9</u></b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b><u>REVISION SCHEDULE</u></b>	<b><u>9</u></b>

**SECTION 2.0 BACKGROUND**

This is the county's fifth Five-Year Review Report since the approval of the CIWMP.

The following changes have occurred since the approval of the county's planning documents or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Diversion goal reduction   | <input type="checkbox"/> New city (name(s) _____) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New regional agency        | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to regional agency |   |

Additional Information (optional)

**SECTION 3.0 LOCAL TASK FORCE REVIEW**

- a. In accordance with Title 14 CCR, Section 18788, the Local Task Force (LTF) reviewed each element and plan included in the CIWMP and finalized its comments  
 at the \_\_\_\_\_ LTF meeting.  electronically (fax, e-mail)  other (Explain): \_\_\_\_\_
- b. The county received the written comments from the LTF on \_\_\_\_\_.
- c. A copy of the LTF comments  
 is included as Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.  
 was submitted to CalRecycle on \_\_\_\_\_.

**SECTION 4.0 TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE of REGULATIONS SECTION 18788 (3) (A) THROUGH (H)**

The subsections below address not only the areas of change specified in the regulations, but also provide specific analyses regarding the continued adequacy of the planning documents in light of those changes, including a determination on any need for revision to one or more of the planning documents.

**Section 4.1 Changes in Demographics in the County or Regional Agency**

When preparing the CIWMP Review Report, the county or regional agency must address at least the changes in demographics.

The following resources are provided to facilitate this analysis:

1. Demographic data, including population, taxable sales, employment, and consumer price index by jurisdiction for years up to 2006, are available at:  
<https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/DiversionProgram/AdjustmentFactors>. Data for years beyond 2006 can be found on the following websites:
  - Population: [Department of Finance](#) E-4 Historical Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State
  - Taxable Sales: [Board of Equalization](#)

- Employment: [Employment Development Department](#) Click on the link to Local Area Profile, select the county from the drop down menu, then click on the “View Local Are Profile” button.
  - Consumer Price Index: [Department of Industrial Relations](#)
2. The [Demographic Research Unit](#) of the California Department of Finance is designated as the single official source of demographic data for State planning and budgeting (e.g., find E-5 City/County Population and Housing Estimates under Reports and Research Papers and then Estimates).
  3. The Department of Finance’s Demographic Research Unit also provides a list of [State Census Data Center Network Regional Offices](#).

*Analysis*

Upon review of demographic changes since 2003:<sup>1</sup>

- The demographic changes since the development of the CIWMP do not warrant a revision to any of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.
- These demographic changes since the development of the CIWMP warrant a revision to one or more of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

*Additional Analysis (optional)*

Between 2003-2021, with an annual population change of less than +/- 1% per year, and with less than a change of 0.5% most years, revising planning documents due to population changes is deemed unnecessary.

**Section 4.2 Changes in Quantities of Waste within the County or Regional Agency; and Changes in Permitted Disposal Capacity and Waste Disposed in the County or Regional Agency**

A number of tools to facilitate the analysis and review of such changes in the waste stream are available from the following CalRecycle sources:

1. Various statewide, regional, and local disposal reports are available at <http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Reports/DRS/Default.aspx>.
  - a. CalRecycle's [Disposal Reporting System](#) tracks and reports the annual estimates of the disposal amounts for jurisdictions in California; additional California solid waste [statistics](#) are also available.
  - b. CalRecycle’s Waste Flow by [Destination](#) or [Origin](#) reports include solid waste disposal, export, and alternative daily cover. They show how much waste was produced within the boundaries of an individual city, or within all jurisdictions comprising a county or regional agency. These data also cover what was disposed at a particular facility or at all facilities within a county or regional agency.

---

<sup>1</sup> The year of the data included in the planning documents, which is generally 1990 or 1991.

2. The [Waste Characterization Database](#) provides estimates of the types and amounts of materials in the waste streams of *individual California jurisdictions* in 1999. For background information and more recent statewide characterizations, please see <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/WasteCharacterization/>
3. CalRecycle's [Countywide, Regionwide, and Statewide Jurisdiction Diversion Progress Report](#) provides both summary and detailed information on compliance, diversion rates/50 percent equivalent per capita disposal target and rates, and waste diversion program implementation for all California jurisdictions. Diversion program implementation summaries are available at <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/DiversionProgram>

Together, these reports help illustrate changes in the quantities of waste within the county or regional agency as well as in permitted disposal capacity. This information also summarizes each jurisdiction's progress in implementing the Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) and complying with the 50 percent diversion rate requirement (now calculated as the 50 percent equivalent per capita disposal target), see [Per Capita Disposal and Goal Measurement \(2007 and Later\)](#) for details

- The county or regional agency (if it includes the entire county) continues to have adequate disposal capacity (i.e., equal to or greater than 15 years).
- The county does not have 15 years remaining disposal capacity within its physical boundaries, but the Siting Element does provide a strategy<sup>2</sup> for obtaining 15 years remaining disposal capacity.
- The county does not have 15 years remaining disposal capacity and the Siting Element does not provide a strategy<sup>2</sup> for obtaining 15 years remaining disposal capacity. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

#### Analysis

- These changes in quantities of waste and changes in permitted disposal capacity since the development of the CIWMP do not warrant a revision to any of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.
- These changes in quantities of waste and changes in permitted disposal capacity since the development of the CIWMP warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

#### Additional Analysis (optional)

Letters regarding landfill disposal capacity, from Dry Creek Landfill and Anderson Landfill, are attached. These are the only landfills currently receiving solid wastes from the County of Humboldt.

---

<sup>2</sup> Such a strategy includes a description of the diversion or export programs to be implemented to address the solid waste capacity needs. The description shall identify the existing solid waste disposal facilities, including those outside of the county or regional agency, which will be used to implement these programs. The description should address how the proposed programs shall provide the county or regional agency with sufficient disposal capacity to meet the required minimum of 15 years of combined permitted disposal capacity.

### Section 4.3 Changes in Funding Source for Administration of the Siting Element (SE) and Summary Plan (SP)

Since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent), the county experienced the following significant changes in funding for the SE or SP:

- \_\_\_\_\_

Analysis

- There have been no significant changes in funding for administration of the SE and SP or the changes that have occurred do not warrant a revision to any of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.
- These changes in funding for the administration of the SE and SP warrant a revision to one or more of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

\_\_\_\_\_

### Section 4.4 Changes in Administrative Responsibilities

The county experienced significant changes in the following administrative responsibilities since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

- \_\_\_\_\_

Analysis

- There have been no significant changes in administrative responsibilities or the changes in administrative responsibilities do not warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.
- These changes in administrative responsibilities warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

\_\_\_\_\_

### Section 4.5 Programs that Were Scheduled to Be Implemented, But Were Not

This section addresses programs that were scheduled to be implemented, but were not; why they were not implemented; the progress of programs that were implemented; a statement as to whether programs are meeting their goals; and if not, what contingency measures are being enacted to ensure compliance with Public Resources Code Section 41751.

#### 1. Progress of Program Implementation

##### a. SRRE and Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE)

- All program implementation information has been updated in the CalRecycle Electronic Annual Report (EAR), including the reason for not implementing specific programs, if applicable.
- All program implementation information has not been updated in the EAR. Attachment \_\_\_\_\_ lists the SRRE and/or HHWE programs selected for implementation, but which

have not yet been implemented, including a statement as to why they were not implemented.

b. Nondisposal Facility Element (NDFE)

There have been no changes in the use of nondisposal facilities (based on the current NDFEs and any amendments and/or updates).

Attachment \_\_\_\_\_ lists changes in the use of nondisposal facilities (based on the current NDFEs).

c. Countywide Siting Element (SE)

There have been no changes to the information provided in the current SE.

Attachment \_\_\_\_\_ lists changes to the information provided in the current SE.

d. Summary Plan

There have been no changes to the information provided in the current SP.

Attachment \_\_\_\_\_ lists changes to the information provided in the current SP.

2. Statement regarding whether Programs are Meeting their Goals

The programs are meeting their goals.

The programs are not meeting their goals. The discussion that follows in the analysis section below addresses the contingency measures that are being enacted to ensure compliance with [PRC Section 41751](#) (i.e., specific steps are being taken by local agencies, acting independently and in concert with \_\_\_\_\_, to achieve the purposes of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989) and whether the listed changes in program implementation necessitate a revision to one or more of the planning documents. \_\_\_\_\_

Analysis

The aforementioned changes in program implementation do not warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.

Changes in program implementation warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

**Section 4.6 Changes in Available Markets for Recyclable Materials**

The county experienced changes in the following available markets for recyclable materials since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

Analysis

There are no significant changes in available markets for recycled materials to warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.

Changes in available markets for recycled materials warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

### Section 4.7 Changes in the Implementation Schedule

The following addresses changes to the county's implementation schedule that are not already addressed in Section 4.5:

#### Analysis

- There are no significant changes in the implementation schedule to warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.
- Changes in the implementation schedule warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, \_\_\_\_\_.

#### Additional Analysis (optional)

Note: Consider for each jurisdiction within the county or regional agency the changes noted in Sections 4.1 through 4.7 and explain whether the changes necessitate revisions to any of the jurisdictions' planning documents.

### SECTION 5.0 OTHER ISSUES OR SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (optional)

The following addresses any other significant issues/changes in the county and whether these changes affect the adequacy of the CIWMP to the extent that a revision to one or more of the planning documents is needed:

#### Analysis

### SECTION 6.0 ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW

- The Annual Reports for each jurisdiction in the county have been reviewed, specifically those sections that address the adequacy of the CIWMP elements. No jurisdictions reported the need to revise one or more of these planning documents.
- The Annual Reports for each jurisdiction in the county have been reviewed, specifically those sections that address the adequacy of the CIWMP (or RAIWMP) elements. The following jurisdictions reported the need to revise one or more of these planning documents, as listed.  
\_\_\_\_\_

#### Analysis

The discussion below addresses the county's evaluation of the Annual Report data relating to planning document adequacy and includes determination regarding the need to revise one or more of the documents:  
\_\_\_\_\_

### SECTION 7.0 REVISION SCHEDULE (if required)

\_\_\_\_\_