

Humboldt County

Solid Waste Local Task Force

Cities of:

Arcata
Blue Lake
Eureka
Ferndale
Fortuna
Rio Dell
Trinidad

County of Humboldt

Humboldt Waste
Management Authority

*The Humboldt County
Local Task Force
serves in an advisory
capacity to individual
agencies and the
HWMA towards the
implementation of the
integrated management
of solid wastes and
recyclables.*

*The Local Task Force is
also responsible for
assisting in the
coordination, review
and implementation the
county and cities 5-Year
CIWMP Report.*

Agenda

Monday, October 15, 2018 (1pm-3pm)

Adorni Center Conference Room (1011 Waterfront Drive, Eureka CA)

Copies Available: Copies of the agenda materials are available electronically upon request by emailing msslattery@ci.eureka.ca.gov.

- 1. Call to order**
- 2. Introductions/Roll Call**
- 3. July 16, 2018 Minutes (attached) - Approval**
- 4. 5-Year CIWMP (attached) - Approval**
- 5. AB 1826 – Discussion**
- 6. AB 876 (attached) – Discussion**
- 7. Oral and Written Communications**

This time is provided for people to address the Task Force or to submit written communications concerning matters not on this agenda. Task Force Members may respond to statements, but any request that requires action will be referred to appropriate agency staff for review. Reasonable time limits may be imposed on both the total amount of time allocated for this item, and on the time permitted to each individual speaker. Such time allotment or portion thereof shall not be transferred to other speakers.
- 8. Representative Reports – Standing Item**
- 9. Adjournment**

July 16, 2018 Minutes

Humboldt County Local Task Force

Cities of:

Arcata
Blue Lake
Eureka
Ferndale
Fortuna
Rio Dell
Trinidad

County of Humboldt

Humboldt Waste
Management Authority

The Humboldt County Local Task Force serves in an advisory capacity to individual agencies and the HWMA towards the implementation of the integrated management of solid wastes and recyclables.

The Local Task Force is also responsible for assisting in the coordination, review and implementation the county and cities 5-Year CIWMP Report.

Meeting Minutes

July 16, 2018

Adorni Conference Room
1011 Waterfront Drives

Local Task Force Representatives:

Arcata	Julie Neander
Blue Lake	Nathan Sailor
Eureka	Miles Slattery
Ferndale	Jay Parrish
Fortuna	<i>Not Present</i>
Humboldt Co.	Charlotte Merkel
Trinidad	Dan Berman
Rio Dell	Kyle Knopp
HWMA	Jill Duffy

Members of the Public

Meeting was called to order by Miles Slattery at 1pm, with introductions by all attendees.

Oral and Written Communications – Cooperation Humboldt written communications and discussion with County of Humboldt Environmental Health staff were presented.

1. Agenda item: 9/29/16 Minutes Approval

Summary – Julie requested minutes be amended to reflect specific motion for ‘Review AB 939 LTF Membership Restructure Revisions and Proposed Approval Process’ item. Attached are the update 9/29/16 minutes

Motion/Second – Julie/Jay

Public Comment - NA

Vote – Passed Unanimously

2. Agenda item: 5-Year CIWMP - Review and Approval

Summary – The 5-Year CIWMP provided in the agenda has already been approved in July 2014. It was to cover the period of January 2012 to January 2017. There is a 5-year CIWMP pending that was

due in January 2017. The County will work with HWMA on drafting the now passed due 2017 CIWMP and present it at the next LTF meeting for approval scheduled for October 15th, 2018.

Motion/Second - NA

Public Comment - NA

Vote - NA

3. Regional Recycling – Update

Summary – Jill provided an update on the on-going negotiations with Recology on a recycling processing agreement. Negotiations are still on-going.

Public Comment - NA

4. AB 1826 – Discussion

Summary – Discussed potential option for regional organic waste diversion facility and other programs to divert organic waste. Discussed AB 1826 mandated implementation timeframes. Tasked members of each entity to gather information on all commercial customers in their jurisdiction with 4-yards of solid waste picked up weekly. That information needs to be presented at the October 15, 2018 meeting. We will continue to discuss options to address AB 1826.

Public Comment – Tamara McFarland representing Cooperation Humboldt expressed interest in supporting organic waste diversion efforts and would like to keep updated on future LTF discussion about AB 1826 implementation programs.

5. Membership Roster – Update

a. Selection of Officers

Summary – Representatives were established and officers elected. Attached is the updated roster.

Motion – Miles made motion for selecting Miles as Chair and Donna as Vice-Chair.

Vote – Passed Unanimously

Representative Reports – *Standing Item*

Adjournment – The Local Task Force is scheduled to next meet on October 15, 2018 from 1pm to 3pm at the Adorni Conference Room.

Humboldt County Local Task Force

Cities of:

Arcata
Blue Lake
Eureka
Ferndale
Fortuna
Rio Dell
Trinidad

County of Humboldt

Humboldt Waste Management Authority

The Humboldt County Local Task Force serves in an advisory capacity to individual agencies and the HWMA towards the implementation of the integrated management of solid wastes and recyclables.

The Local Task Force is also responsible for assisting in the coordination, review and implementation the county and cities 5-Year CIWMP Report.

Meeting Minutes

Thursday, September 29, 2016 at 10:00 AM
Adorni Center Conference Room
1011 Waterfront Drive, Eureka, CA 95501

Local Task Force Representatives:

Arcata	Julie Neander
Blue Lake	Cameron Mull
Eureka	Miles Slattery, Chair
Ferndale	<i>Not Present</i>
Fortuna	Mike Johnson, Vice-Chair
Humboldt Co.	Cheryl Dillingham
Trinidad	<i>Not Present</i>
Rio Dell	<i>Not Present</i>

HWMA	Jill Duffy Eric Keller-Heckman
------	-----------------------------------

Members of the Public

Brian Sollum
Chuck Schager

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Slattery at 10:05, with introductions by attendees.

Approval of June 30, 2016 Minutes Motion to approve as presented by Jill Duffy, Second by Mike Johnson.

No public comment.

Motion passed unanimously.

Review AB 939 LTF Membership Structure Revisions and Proposed Approval Process. Motion by Cheryl Dillingham, Second by Jill Duffy to 1) accept the draft resolution describing membership composition that each entity will present to their respective city council/Board of Supervisors; and 2) provide a copy of the approved resolution to the Chair of the LTF for the records.

No public comment.

Motion passed unanimously.

Representative Reports – Standing Item

Oral and Written Communication – None received

Schedule Next Meeting – To be determined by the Chair

Adjournment

**AB 939 LOCAL TASK FORCE
2017/18 MEMBERSHIP ROSTER & CONTACT INFORMATION**

Primary Representative

Jurisdiction	Name	Title	e-mail	Phone
Arcata	Julie Neander	Environmental Services Deputy Director	jneander@cityofarcata.org	707 – 825-2151
Blue Lake	Nathan Sailor	Parks and Recreation Director	parksdirector@bluelake.ca.gov	707-668-5655
Eureka	Miles Slattery (Chair)	Community Services Director	mslattery@ci.eureka.ca.gov	707-441-4184
Ferndale	Jay Parrish	City Manager	citymanager@ci.ferndale.ca.us	707-786-4224
Fortuna	Kevin Carter	General Services Superintendent	kcarter@ci.fortuna.ca.us	707-725-7630
Humboldt Co	Tom Mattson	Public Works Director	tmattson@co.humboldt.ca.us	707-445-7491
Rio Dell	Kyle Knopp	City Manager	kknopp@cityofriodell.ca.gov	707-764-3532
Trinidad	Dan Berman	City Manager	citymanager@trinidad.ca.gov	707-677-3876

Alternate Representative

Jurisdiction	Name	Title	e-mail	Phone
Arcata	Mark Andre	Environmental Services Director	mandre@cityofarcata.org	
Blue Lake	Mandy Mager	City Manager	citymanager@bluelake.ca.gov	
Eureka	Donna Woods (Vice Chair)	Deputy Parks & Recreation	dwood@ci.eureka.ca.gov	707-268-1858
Ferndale				
Fortuna	Merritt Perry	Acting CM-Public Works Director	mperry@ci.fortuna.ca.us	
Humboldt Co	Charlotte Merkel	Deputy Director of General Services	cmerkel@co.humboldt.ca.us	
Rio Dell				
Trinidad	Gabe Adams	City Clerk	cityclerk@trinidad.ca.gov	

5-Year CIWMP

Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report Template

Public Resources Code (PRC) Sections 41770 and 41822, and Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 18788 require that each countywide or regional agency integrated waste management plan (CIWMP or RAIWMP), and the elements thereof, be reviewed, revised if necessary, and submitted to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) every five years. CalRecycle developed this Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report template to streamline the Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP review, reporting, and approval process.

A county or regional agency may use this template to document its compliance with these regulatory review and reporting requirements and as a tool in its review, including obtaining Local Task Force (LTF) comments on areas of the CIWMP or RAIWMP that need revision, if any. This template also can be finalized based on these comments and submitted to CalRecycle as the county or regional agency's Five-Year CIWMP or RAIWMP Review Report.

The [Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report Template Instructions](#) describe each section and provide general guidelines with respect to preparing the report. Completed and signed reports should be submitted to the CalRecycle's Local Assistance & Market Development (LAMD) Branch at the address below. Upon report receipt, LAMD staff may request clarification and/or additional information if the details provided in the report are not clear or are not complete. Within 90 days of receiving a *complete* Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report, LAMD staff will review the report and prepare their findings for CalRecycle consideration for approval.

If you have any questions about the Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report process or how to complete this template, please contact your LAMD representative at (916) 341-6199. Mail the completed and signed Five-Year CIWMP/RAIWMP Review Report to:

Dept. of Resources Recycling & Recovery
Local Assistance & Market Development, MS-9
P. O. Box 4025
Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

To edit & customize this template, the editing restrictions (filling in forms) must be disengaged. Select the Review tab, Protect Document, and then Restrict Formatting and Editing (uncheck editing restrictions). There is no password (options). Please contact your LAMD representative at (916) 341-6199 with related questions.

General Instructions: Please complete Sections 1 through 7, and all other applicable subsections. Double click on shaded text/areas () to select or add text.

SECTION 1.0 COUNTY OR REGIONAL AGENCY INFORMATION			
I certify that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to complete this report and request approval of the CIWMP or RAIWMP Five-Year Review Report on behalf of:			
County or Regional Agency Name Humboldt County (Prepared by Humboldt Waste Management Authority)		County(s) [if a RAIWMP Review Report]	
Authorized Signature		Title Executive Director, HWMA	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Jill Duffy		Date	Phone (707) 268-8680
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Eric Keller		Title Programs Analyst	Phone (707) 268-8680
Mailing Address 1059 West Hawthorne St	City Eureka	State Ca	Zip 95501
E-mail Address ekeller@hwma.net			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.0	<u>BACKGROUND</u>	3
3.0	<u>LOCAL TASK FORCE REVIEW</u>	3
4.0	<u>TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE of REGULATIONS SECTION 18788 (3) (A) THROUGH (H) ISSUES</u>	3
4.1	<u>Changes in Demographics in the County or Regional Agency</u>	3
4.2	<u>Changes in Quantities of Waste within the County or Regional Agency; and Changes in Permitted Disposal Capacity and Quantities of Waste Disposed in the County or Regional Agency</u>	4
4.3	<u>Changes in Funding Source for Administration of the Siting Element and Summary Plan</u>	6
4.4	<u>Changes in Administrative Responsibilities</u>	6
4.5	<u>Programs that were Scheduled to be Implemented but were not</u>	7
4.6	<u>Changes in Available Markets for Recyclable Materials</u>	8
4.7	<u>Changes in the Implementation Schedule</u>	8
5.0	<u>OTHER ISSUES (optional)</u>	9
6.0	<u>ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW</u>	9
7.0	<u>REVISION SCHEDULE</u>	9

SECTION 2.0 BACKGROUND

This is the county's third Five-Year Review Report since the approval of the CIWMP.

The following changes have occurred since the approval of the county's planning documents or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Diversion goal reduction | <input type="checkbox"/> New city (name(s) _____) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New regional agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to regional agency | |

Additional Information (optional)

No Changes since last review.

SECTION 3.0 LOCAL TASK FORCE REVIEW

- a. In accordance with Title 14 CCR, Section 18788, the Local Task Force (LTF) reviewed each element and plan included in the CIWMP and finalized its comments
 at the _____ LTF meeting. electronically (fax, e-mail) other (Explain): _____
- b. The county received the written comments from the LTF on _____.
- c. A copy of the LTF comments
 is included as Appendix _____.
 was submitted to CalRecycle on _____.

SECTION 4.0 TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS SECTION 18788 (3) (A) THROUGH (H)

The subsections below address not only the areas of change specified in the regulations, but also provide specific analyses regarding the continued adequacy of the planning documents in light of those changes, including a determination on any need for revision to one or more of the planning documents.

Section 4.1 Changes in Demographics in the County or Regional Agency

When preparing the CIWMP Review Report, the county or regional agency must address at least the changes in demographics.

The following resources are provided to facilitate this analysis:

1. Demographic data, including population, taxable sales, employment, and consumer price index by jurisdiction for years up to 2006, are available at:
<http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Tools/DivMeasure/JuAdjFac.asp>. Data for years beyond 2006 can be found on the following websites:
 - Population: [Department of Finance](#)
 - Taxable Sales: [Board of Equalization](#)

- Employment: [Employment Development Department](#) Click on the link to Local Area Profile, select the county from the drop down menu, then click on the “View Local Are Profile” button.
 - Consumer Price Index: [Department of Industrial Relations](#)
2. The [Demographic Research Unit](#) of the California Department of Finance is designated as the single official source of demographic data for State planning and budgeting (e.g., find E-5 City/County Population and Housing Estimates under Reports and Research Papers and then Estimates).
 3. The Department of Finance’s Demographic Research Unit also provides a list of [State Census Data Center Network Regional Offices](#).

Analysis

Upon review of demographic changes since 2003:¹

- The demographic changes since the development of the CIWMP do not warrant a revision to any of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, _____.
- These demographic changes since the development of the CIWMP warrant a revision to one or more of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

Humboldt County has had less than a 5% total Population increase since 2003. Most Individual Years have seen less than .5% growth. Revising Planning Documents for such a small increase seems unnecessary.

Section 4.2 Changes in Quantities of Waste within the County or Regional Agency; and Changes in Permitted Disposal Capacity and Waste Disposed in the County or Regional Agency

A number of tools to facilitate the analysis and review of such changes in the waste stream are available from the following CalRecycle sources:

1. Various statewide, regional, and local disposal reports are available at <http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Reports/DRS/Default.aspx>.
 - a. CalRecycle's [Disposal Reporting System](#) tracks and reports the annual estimates of the disposal amounts for jurisdictions in California; additional California solid waste [statistics](#) are also available.
 - b. CalRecycle’s [Waste Flow by Destination or Origin](#) reports include solid waste disposal, export, and alternative daily cover. They show how much waste was produced within the boundaries of an individual city, or within all jurisdictions comprising a county or regional agency. These data also cover what was disposed at a particular facility or at all facilities within a county or regional agency.

¹ The year of the data included in the planning documents, which is generally 1990 or 1991.

2. The [Waste Characterization Database](#) provides estimates of the types and amounts of materials in the waste streams of *individual California jurisdictions* in 1999. For background information and more recent statewide characterizations, please see <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/WasteCharacterization/Study/>
3. CalRecycle's [Countywide, Regionwide, and Statewide Jurisdiction Diversion Progress Report](#) provides both summary and detailed information on compliance, diversion rates/50 percent equivalent per capita disposal target and rates, and waste diversion program implementation for all California jurisdictions. Diversion program implementation summaries are available at <http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/lgcentral/reports/diversionprogram/jurhist.aspx> and <http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/lgcentral/reports/diversionprogram/jurhist.aspx>.

Together, these reports help illustrate changes in the quantities of waste within the county or regional agency as well as in permitted disposal capacity. This information also summarizes each jurisdiction's progress in implementing the Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) and complying with the 50 percent diversion rate requirement (now calculated as the 50 percent equivalent per capita disposal target), see [Per Capita Disposal and Goal Measurement \(2007 and Later\)](#) for details

- The county or regional agency (if it includes the entire county) continues to have adequate disposal capacity (i.e., equal to or greater than 15 years).
- The county does not have 15 years remaining disposal capacity within its physical boundaries, but the Siting Element does provide a strategy² for obtaining 15 years remaining disposal capacity.
- The county does not have 15 years remaining disposal capacity and the Siting Element does not provide a strategy² for obtaining 15 years remaining disposal capacity. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Analysis

- Letters Regarding Landfill disposal capacity from Dry Creek Landfill, Potrero Hills Landfill and Anderson Landfill are attached.
- These changes in quantities of waste and changes in permitted disposal capacity since the development of the CIWMP warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

² Such a strategy includes a description of the diversion or export programs to be implemented to address the solid waste capacity needs. The description shall identify the existing solid waste disposal facilities, including those outside of the county or regional agency, which will be used to implement these programs. The description should address how the proposed programs shall provide the county or regional agency with sufficient disposal capacity to meet the required minimum of 15 years of combined permitted disposal capacity.

Section 4.3 Changes in Funding Source for Administration of the Siting Element (SE) and Summary Plan (SP)

Since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent), the county experienced the following significant changes in funding for the SE or SP:

- None

Analysis

- There have been no significant changes in funding for administration of the SE and SP or the changes that have occurred do not warrant a revision to any of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, _____.
- These changes in funding for the administration of the SE and SP warrant a revision to one or more of the countywide planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.4 Changes in Administrative Responsibilities

The county experienced significant changes in the following administrative responsibilities since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

- None

Analysis

- There have been no significant changes in administrative responsibilities or the changes in administrative responsibilities do not warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.
- These changes in administrative responsibilities warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.5 Programs that Were Scheduled to Be Implemented, But Were Not

This section addresses programs that were scheduled to be implemented, but were not; why they were not implemented; the progress of programs that were implemented; a statement as to whether programs are meeting their goals; and if not, what contingency measures are being enacted to ensure compliance with Public Resources Code Section 41751.

1. Progress of Program Implementation

a. SRRE and Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE)

- All program implementation information has been updated in the CalRecycle Electronic Annual Report (EAR), including the reason for not implementing specific programs, if applicable.
- All program implementation information has not been updated in the EAR. Attachment _____ lists the SRRE and/or HHWE programs selected for implementation, but which

have not yet been implemented, including a statement as to why they were not implemented.

b. Nondisposal Facility Element (NDFE)

There have been no changes in the use of nondisposal facilities (based on the current NDFEs and any amendments and/or updates).

Attachment _____ lists changes in the use of nondisposal facilities (based on the current NDFEs).

c. Countywide Siting Element (SE)

There have been no changes to the information provided in the current SE.

Attachment _____ lists changes to the information provided in the current SE.

d. Summary Plan

There have been no changes to the information provided in the current SP.

Attachment _____ lists changes to the information provided in the current SP.

2. Statement regarding whether Programs are Meeting their Goals

The programs are meeting their goals.

The programs are not meeting their goals. The discussion that follows in the analysis section below addresses the contingency measures that are being enacted to ensure compliance with [PRC Section 41751](#) (i.e., specific steps are being taken by local agencies, acting independently and in concert with _____, to achieve the purposes of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989) and whether the listed changes in program implementation necessitate a revision to one or more of the planning documents. _____

Analysis

The aforementioned changes in program implementation do not warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.

Changes in program implementation warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

There are no changes necessary regarding the NDFE, SE or the Summary Plan.

Section 4.6 Changes in Available Markets for Recyclable Materials

The county experienced changes in the following available markets for recyclable materials since the approval of the CIWMP or the last Five-Year CIWMP Review Report (whichever is most recent):

Analysis

There are no significant changes in available markets for recycled materials to warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.

Changes in available markets for recycled materials warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____. See Section 7 for the revision schedule(s).

Additional Analysis (optional)

Section 4.7 Changes in the Implementation Schedule

The following addresses changes to the county's implementation schedule that are not already addressed in Section 4.5:

Analysis

- There are no significant changes in the implementation schedule to warrant a revision to any of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.
- Changes in the implementation schedule warrant a revision to one or more of the planning documents. Specifically, _____.

Additional Analysis (optional)

Note: Consider for each jurisdiction within the county or regional agency the changes noted in Sections 4.1 through 4.7 and explain whether the changes necessitate revisions to any of the jurisdictions' planning documents.

SECTION 5.0 OTHER ISSUES OR SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (optional)

The following addresses any other significant issues/changes in the county and whether these changes affect the adequacy of the CIWMP to the extent that a revision to one or more of the planning documents is needed:

Analysis

No Significant issues or changes in the county affect the adequacy of the CIWMP

SECTION 6.0 ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW

- The Annual Reports for each jurisdiction in the county have been reviewed, specifically those sections that address the adequacy of the **CIWMP** elements. No jurisdictions reported the need to revise one or more of these planning documents.
- The Annual Reports for each jurisdiction in the county have been reviewed, specifically those sections that address the adequacy of the CIWMP (or RAIWMP) elements. The following jurisdictions reported the need to revise one or more of these planning documents, as listed.

Analysis

The discussion below addresses the county's evaluation of the Annual Report data relating to planning document adequacy and includes determination regarding the need to revise one or more of the documents:

SECTION 7.0 REVISION SCHEDULE (if required)

DRAFT

AB 876

Assembly Bill No. 876

CHAPTER 593

An act to add Section 41821.4 to the Public Resources Code, relating to solid waste.

[Approved by Governor October 8, 2015. Filed with
Secretary of State October 8, 2015.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 876, McCarty. Compostable organics.

The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989, which is administered by the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, establishes an integrated waste management program. Existing law requires each city, county, and regional agency, if any, to develop a source reduction and recycling element of an integrated waste management plan. On and after January 1, 2000, the element is required to provide for the diversion of 50% of the solid waste subject to the element, except as specified, through source reduction, recycling, and composting activities. Existing law requires each city, county, and regional agency to submit an annual report to the department summarizing its progress in reducing solid waste.

This bill would require, commencing August 1, 2017, a county or regional agency to include in its annual report to the department an estimate of the amount of organic waste in cubic yards that will be generated in the county or region over a 15-year period, an estimate of the additional organic waste recycling facility capacity in cubic yards that will be needed to process that amount of waste, and areas identified by the county or regional agency as locations for new or expanded organic waste recycling facilities capable of safely meeting that additional need, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program. The bill would also make legislative findings and declarations.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) With the enactment of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Division 30 (commencing with Section 40000) of the Public Resources Code), the Legislature required the Department of Resources

Recycling and Recovery and local agencies to promote recycling, anaerobic digestion, and composting over land disposal and transformation.

(b) Since the enactment of the act, local governments and private industries have worked jointly to create an extensive material collection infrastructure and have implemented effective programs to achieve a statewide diversion rate of greater than 50 percent.

(c) Although California now leads the nation in waste reduction and recycling, the state continues to dispose of more than 15 million tons of compostable organics each year in solid waste landfills.

(d) To reduce the landfilling of organics, increase composting and anaerobic digestion, and meet the state's organic diversion goals, cities and counties must plan for organics processing facilities that can process organics diverted from landfills and organics waste generators.

SEC. 2. Section 41821.4 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:

41821.4. (a) Commencing August 1, 2017, a county or regional agency shall include, in the annual report required pursuant to Section 41821, the following information:

(1) An estimate of the amount of organic waste in cubic yards that will be generated in the county or region over a 15-year period.

(2) An estimate of the additional organic waste recycling facility capacity in cubic yards that will be needed to process the amount of organic waste identified pursuant to paragraph (1).

(3) Areas identified by the county or regional agency as locations for new or expanded organic waste recycling facilities capable of safely meeting the additional organic waste recycling facility capacity need identified pursuant to paragraph (2).

(b) To provide the information pursuant to subdivision (a), the county or regional agency may use existing data regarding its diversion programs and is not required to perform additional waste characterization studies.

(c) For purposes of this section, "organic waste recycling facility" has the same meaning as defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 42649.82.

SEC. 3. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.