



Redistricting Advisory Commission

Humboldt County

AGENDA

Wednesday, October 27, 2021

10:00 AM

In accordance with Executive Order N-29-20 the Redistricting Advisory Commission meetings will be held virtually until further notice.

Instructions for Listening and Participation can be found at the end of this agenda.

- A. **SALUTE TO THE FLAG**
- B. **MODIFICATIONS TO THE AGENDA**
- C. **PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS**
- D. **DISCUSSION ITEMS**
 - 1. Approval of 10.15.21 Action Summary
 - 2. Staff Updates
 - 3. Review and discussion of 2nd round draft district maps
 - o Presentation of drafts by Redistricting Partners
 - 4. Recommendation of final map for Board of Supervisor Review
 - o [RAC Action Summary October 15, 2021](#)
 - o [Draft Map A2](#)
 - o [Draft Map A2 - web-based, higher resolution](#)
 - o [Draft Map C2](#)
 - o [Draft Map C2 - web-based, higher resolution](#)
 - o [Public Comment- Draft 1 Maps - Open Humboldt - through 10/25](#)
 - o [Public Comment- Draft 2 Maps - Open Humboldt - through 10/25](#)

- o [Public Comment- Community of Interest Survey - Open Humboldt - through 10/25](#)

E. **Additional Public Comment And Maps**

Documents:

Additional Public Comment Oct 25 and 26.pdf
community-of-interest-all-responses.pdf
draft-map-1- all-responses.pdf
draft-map-2-all-responses-through-10-27.pdf
Emailed Public Comment- Oct 26 evening.pdf
Humboldt County Plan A2 Updated w. NA pop Complete.pdf
Humboldt County Plan C2 Updated w NA pop Complete.pdf
RAC Public Comments via Email Oct 16-25.pdf

F. **ADJOURNMENT**

The County of Humboldt is committed to providing equal access to all county programs, services and activities through the provision of accommodations for individuals with disabilities as required under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). With 72 hours prior notice, a request for reasonable accommodation or modification can be made. Please contact the County Administrative Office at 707-445-7266 or by email cao@co.humboldt.ca.us or the ADA Coordinator at 844-365-0352 or by email at ada@co.humboldt.ca.us County of Humboldt Web Site: <https://humboldt.gov/3106/Redistricting>

Phone: 707-445-7266 • <https://humboldt.gov/3106/Redistricting> • Email:
CAO@co.humboldt.ca.us

825 5th St., RM 112, Eureka, CA 95501

TO LISTEN OR WATCH THE REDISTRICTING ADVISORY MEETING:

You may access the live stream of the meeting in two ways:

1. Via Web: <https://humboldtstate.zoom.us/j/89734220383>

2. Via Phone:

Dial: +1 669 900 6833 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 301 715 8592

Webinar ID: 897 3422 0383

TO SHARE PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THE MEETING:

Participate in the public comment period of the meeting in the following two ways:

1. Via Zoom:

“Raise your hand” by clicking the Raise Hand icon/button on the bottom of the Zoom window. When you click the Raise Hand icon, a hand icon will appear next to your name that will notify the host your hand is raised. You will also see the hand button turn green. When you want to lower your hand, you can click the hand icon/button again and your hand will be lowered. When you are called upon to speak the Zoom platform will ask you for permission to unmute your mic. If you encounter any issues, please use the call-in option below.

2. Via Phone:

Join the meeting as listed above. When the Commission Chair starts to discuss the item you wish to comment on, Press *9 to raise your hand. When you are called upon to speak, you will be prompted to unmute your mic. Follow those instructions and begin your comment.

TO SUBMIT PUBLIC COMMENT IN ADVANCE:

To submit public comment to the Redistricting Advisory Commission in advance of a meeting, please email cao@co.humboldt.ca.us and provide your name, the agenda item number(s) and/or topic on which you wish to make comment. If you do not have access to email, a voicemail may be left at 707 445-7266. Be sure to include the details listed for emailed public comments. All public comment submitted after the agenda has been published will be included with the administrative record after the fact.

Redistricting Advisory Commission
Public Comment Sent Via Email
Round 2 Draft Maps
Additional Comments from Oct 25 & Comments from October 26, 2021

In Favor of Map A2

From: Meaghan Langer <meaghanlanger@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 6:40 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Support for Map A

To whom it may concern;

We are writing in regards to give our input on the decision of the rezoning map. We are in full support for adopting Map A and getting rid of Map C.

Map A directly affects the growth and economy of this county. We believe map C is a terrible choice and will go directly against our rural communities! There is a huge difference with our rural communities compared to, example; Arcata. We would like leader ship that goes hand-in-hand with the community that it is in and represents! Please take this into consideration. Thank you for your time!

Justin & Meaghan Langer

In Favor of Map C2 (As second option, if minimal change map not available)

From: Edith F Butler <edith.butler@humboldt.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 1:57 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject:redistricting

Committee Members,

I am pleased that you are holding a meeting to receive testimony though I won't be able to "attend" the meeting.

I think the districts should remain as close to their current boundaries as possible. My second choice would be Map C2.

Edith Butler

Freshwater Area

From: Kim Puckett <kimleepuckett@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 1:59 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting map comments

My name is Kim Puckett. I live in the Arcata Bottom and have lived here for over 17 years.

Thank you for your efforts in this redistricting endeavor. I know you are volunteers and this is a daunting task.

I am hoping that the committee will recommend to the Board of Supervisors a map that makes the fewest changes to the current existing districts. The current districts are, for the most part, working well and require only minor alterations to balance populations. The proposed maps actually make population imbalances between districts worse.

At a minimum, the Committee should outright reject version A2. This version is a truly bad idea for Humboldt. For example, having Arcata in a district that is separate from the Arcata Bottom but includes part of Eureka makes absolutely no sense.

Version C2 is somewhat better but is still far from ideal. Please keep the districts as they are currently with a few minor adjustments (such as putting Rio Dell and Scotia in the same district).

Thank you again.

~Kim Puckett

From: diane ryerson <adryerson7@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 1:59 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting Comments

Dear Redistricting Advisory Committee Members,

Thank you for volunteering and carrying out this difficult process.

As a Humboldt County resident since 1992, I've lived in McKinleyville, 1992 to 2006, and the City of Arcata, 2006 to the present. Our current districts work well and require only minor changes to balance population.

Please reject map A-2. Parts of Eureka and Arcata should not be in the same district; we do not share similar issues and interests. Parts of McKinleyville and Arcata should not be in the same

district; city residents have more representation through our city council than county residents have, and unincorporated McKinleyville is located in the County.

Map C-2 is less bad than map A-2 but still makes more changes than are necessary to balance the district populations.

Please recommend to the Board of Supervisors a map that makes the least changes possible to our existing districts.

Thank you.
Diane Ryerson

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: william strider <wmstrider@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 1:36 PM

To: Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 1:22 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: re: redistricting, or not

Dear Supervisor Bushnell,

I am a citizen of Humboldt County and my message is simple regarding redistricting: If it ain't broke, don't fix it.

The maps you are presently considering, A2 and C2, are considerably worse than the status quo, which is more equal and less awkward than A2 or C2.

Thank you for your attention.

Bill Strider
Arcata

From: M Simmons <matthewsimmons42@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 11:04 AM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Humboldt County Redistricting

Dear Redistricting Advisory Committee,

My name is Matthew Simmons and I am a resident of Arcata. First, I want to thank you for volunteering for the redistricting committee and for all of the hard work that you have put in.

I have reviewed the two final maps that the committee will be deciding between at its Wednesday meeting and I am deeply distressed by them. Both of these maps do not represent my community of interest and they fail to meet the requirements set out by the California Constitution. These maps split up civic jurisdictions like Eureka and Arcata which the redistricting guidelines explicitly recommend against. These maps also worsen the population disparity between the districts which further disenfranchised certain folks in Humboldt.

In particular, map A2 does a terrible job of representing communities of interest. Why is Arcata lumped in with Old Town Eureka but Old Town Eureka is separate from the rest of Eureka? This is true while areas that are much closer to Arcata in terms of economy, culture, and history (like the Arcata Bottoms) are tied in with the McKinleyville district.

My advice to the committee would be to create a new map designed to make the least changes possible to the existing districts. The current district map is working well to represent Humboldt. There is no need for this bold reshaping.

Sincerely,
Matthew Simmons
Arcata Resident

From: Eugene Perricelli <ceperr@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 11:23 AM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bass, Virginia <VBass@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bohn, Rex <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Wilson, Mike <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: There is no excuse for this:

Dividing communities and making the districts less balanced? Really??? Please, just say "NO".
Thanks, Claire Perricelli, Eureka 95501

The County's Redistricting Process Has Been a Shambles, and the Maps it is Now Considering are Both Measurably Worse Than the Status Quo



From: John Webb <winnemuccaslim@hotmail.com>
To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>,
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:18 PM
Subject: Redistricting

My Name is John Webb and I live in Trinidad, Ca.

First I would like to thank the Committee for it's efforts in finding a fair way to draw political representation maps.

From my perspective it would seem there is little need to make any major changes in existing districts. Things work ok with current district lines as drawn. Only minor changes are needed to make the required adjustments due to population changes.

I would urge that the proposed districts in A2 and C2 be rejected. These proposed districts are awkward and unusual in their design furthermore they make population imbalances worse than would currently exists.

John Webb
Trinidad, CA
95570

From: John Webb <winnemuccaslim@hotmail.com>
To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>,
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:21 PM
Subject: Redistricting

My Name is John Webb and I live in Trinidad, Ca.

The Redistricting Advisory Commission is about to present it's new proposed district maps for approval by the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors.

From my perspective it would seem there is little need to make any major changes in existing districts. Things work ok with current district lines as drawn. Only minor changes are needed to make the required adjustments due to population changes.

I would urge that the proposed districts in A2 and C2 be rejected. These proposed districts are awkward and unusual in their design furthermore they make population imbalances worse than would currently exist.

John Webb
Trinidad, CA
95570

From: Mary Hurley <hurleymch@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:20 PM
Subject: Redistricting Public Comment for 10-27-21 Meeting

My name is Mary Hurley; I live in the third district in Eureka on Mitchell Road.

I want to thank the Redistricting Advisory Committee for their work on Humboldt County redistricting.

I am extremely concerned that the committee has not taken into account all public comments given thus far on the redistricting proposed maps. The purpose of the redistricting committee is to fairly draw up new maps if needed that are balanced and accurately represent districts in a nonpartisan manner.

The current proposed new draft maps A2 and C2 do not achieve this purpose. My concern is that there is a small minority in this County that wishes to consolidate a district with a higher concentration of liberal voters to allow the gerrymandering process to ensue in the future giving greater power to a more conservative block in other districts. The extreme compilation of draft map A2 illustrates this point.

This draft map A2 needs resoundly to be rejected and not passed to the Board of Supervisors for approval.

A better solution to the redistricting process is to make the minor changes to the current map to redress the minor population imbalances and keep intact fairer districts:

1. Put Rio Dell and Scotia in the same district

2. Give 3.5% of Arcata's third district to Eureka's fourth district with the Manila end of the peninsula

I expect this committee to review all public comments.

Thank you.

Mary Hurley
hurleymch@gmail.com

From: gkclark@reninet.com

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:22 PM

Subject: Redistricting 2020

Dear Committee Members:

Thank you for your volunteer commitment to ensuring that Humboldt County Districts have relatively equal populations, just as in Eureka's recent ward corrections that were long-overdue.

Please consider retaining the current configuration that appears to be more balanced than any of the proposed alterations.

Very truly yours,

George Clark

From: Daniel Chandler <dwchandl@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

CC: Madrone, Steve" <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:02 PM

Subject: Redistricting

Dear Humboldt County Redistricting Advisory Committee,

I have tried twice to participate in the redistricting process using a questionnaire that was sent to me via email (how was I chosen, was I part of a scientific sample?). The first time I struggled through, even though given a) no good explanation of what the intent was or what the problem with the current districts was, and b) given no explanation of why and how the new maps were drawn (and also none in relation to existing districts). I did submit a comment saying that the "communities of interest" that the redistricting committee was seemingly relying on did not make sense to me because Humboldt County has so many small communities that may differ in important ways and there is no way to group those. I live in District 5 and Orick, Trinidad, and McKinleyville seem to have only a general geographic location in common.

The second time, with revised maps, I couldn't get the maps and questionnaire to work. Whoever did the staff work for the committee should not be in this business.

Now we have two proposals, both of which seem worse than our current districts. One of them puts together parts of downtown Eureka with Arcata. Really!!!! The other option puts more people in my District 5, expanding it by over 7% and diluting my vote compared to other districts, especially the revised first district.

I am writing to say I am very frustrated and unhappy with the staff who advised you in this process. The process has been totally inadequate to produce legitimate maps, and I will make that view known to the Supervisors. Since the committee does not have time or funds to start over, I see no alternative to proposing to the Supervisors that we keep the existing districts with as few changes as necessary to balance the population across districts.

Thank you for considering my opinion. I am planning to attend your meeting on October 27 but wanted you to have in writing my experience and frustration with this process.

Dan Chandler

cc: Steve Madrone, District 5 Supervisor

Daniel Chandler, Ph.D.
436 Old Wagon Road
Trinidad, CA 95570
dwchandl@suddenlink.net
Phone: 707 677 3359
Mobile: 707 601 6127

From: Sky Blue <skyblues@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali"

<nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:09 PM

Subject: Please REJECT A2!

My name is Skylar Blue and I live in Hydesville CA. (3498 A ST #7, 95547)

I want to thank the committee for volunteering and for their work. I know that bad maps are not necessarily a reflection of your beliefs and you have volunteered your time on a frustrating and difficult process.

I would like to ask the committee to recommend to the board a map that makes the lease changes possible to existing districts. the current districts are working well and require only minor alterations to balance the population.

I believe it is also important to emphasize that the committee should reject a2 which would result in awkward in unusual districts.

*Take good care of yourselves and don't let people make you crazy.

Thank you so much for your help, support, and time.

Sincerely, Skylar Blue

Hydesville CA

From: Walter Paniak <wpaniak@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali"

<nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

CC: Wilson, Mike" <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:33 PM

Subject:Map comments

I am Walt Paniak a 7 year resident of Arcata.

I urge you to reject both map versions. The changes are unnecessary and break up natural communities. The current supervisor district only need a few adjustments for population and minor community changes.

I read that the maps are a result of a Sacramento consulting firm.

Having been a consultant on other subjects in past years the mind set is **not** “ If it’s not broke don’t fix it.” Thank you for your time and consideration.

Walt Paniak

From: david price <routedave@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:28 PM

Subject:Redistricting in Humboldt County

David Price

1749 Birnie Ln

Mckinleyville, CA 95519

Thank you for volunteering for the committee.

Please leave all the districts the way they are.

reject map A2 and C2

From: Marsha Davenport <davenfritzke@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 11:49 am

Subject:Redistricting maps being considered

I am sending this email to express my complete dismay at the new redistricting maps being proposed. The first known as A2 is just ridiculous. We live at the end of Jacoby Creek Road in Bayside and it proposes removing us from the current district 3 and attaching us to a district that runs east and south of us. The City of Arcata owns a large swath of property less than a 1/4 mile from our home and we coordinate with the city on the use/maintenance of a shared private road that accesses our properties. There is no logical reason that the entirety of the Bayside area should not be included in the same district as Arcata. We are 10 minutes from the center of Arcata and that you want to include us in a district including parts of Eureka down to Ferndale and beyond is absurd.

From: Rosemary Holifield <rmhapp46@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:44 am
Subject: Redistricting attempt

This ridiculous attempt of gerrymandering is obvious to all involved who make Humboldt area our home, and are appalled by the attempts to re draw district lines with no thought to the people who actually live here.

Give it a rest and leave the district lines the way it is. Redistricting should be done by elected local citizens who live, work and play and have interests in the area.

From: Richard Salzman <richard.w.salzman@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 8:29 am
Subject: Redistricting
To the Redistricting Committee:

I'm not sure what has lead you to the current options or why you have not included the most obvious choice keeping what are (essentially) our current lines?

Most of us who live here love Humboldt County love it the way it is. So why are you proposing such extreme solutions to a problem that does not exist

You still have time to correct this "oversight" and provide a solution that will be the least disruptive to all our lives. I hope that you do.

Sincerely,
Richard Salzman

From: mike@lost-coast-ranch.com <mike@lost-coast-ranch.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 8:24 am

Subject: Redistricting

To the Redistricting Committee:

I've reviewed all the alternatives from the beginning and made online comments on the drafts. None of the drafts are as good as the present configuration of the Districts.

It's a case of "If it ain't broke, don't fix it."

Please report back to the Supervisors that the present configuration conforms to the law and is more balanced in population numbers than any of the alternatives that surfaced in the committee's work.

Thank you for your service,
Mike

Michael Evenson

Lost Coast Ranch ®

PO Box 157, Petrolia, California 95558

707-629-3506

From: Robin Praszker <rmp459@humboldt.edu>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 7:44 pm

Subject: Redistricting comments for the committee

Thank you so much for providing the survey.

I want to bring to your attention that during the community mapping survey, you received 19 registered responses.

Your survey with 3 draft maps received 58 registered responses.

You received 3 times the amount of input with the draft maps, of which 60.9% of responses disapproved of Draft Map A

During your October 15th meeting the group repeatedly referenced the community of interest mapping outreach and seemed to disregard the survey results with the 3 drafts due to no mention

or discussion of this survey. This second survey solicited more voices which overwhelmingly disapproved of Map A.

I am not understanding how the October 15th meeting concluded that Map A is still a viable option.

I urge all committee members to review the 129 page survey results from the 2nd survey with the 3 draft maps which includes pages and pages of different people explaining why draft map A is unacceptable.

I urge you to not bring draft map A or A2 to the Board of Supervisors. If you do, I believe you must show in numerical data the support you have received for this map. The current data released to the public does not show any substantial support for this map.

Since I feel my survey results have been disregarded, I am resharing my thoughts below

Community of interest for the entire City of Eureka:

- Highway 101 goes right through town- this is unique and comes with many challenges (we have a very high pedestrian vs. vehicle rate and vehicle vs. vehicle rate)
- Parks and the Eureka Waterfront Trail
- Cultural Arts District Designation
- Old Town Eureka
- Eureka Main St.
- The Eureka Chamber of Commerce
- Walkability- you can walk from one end of the City to the other easily, meaning community networking and relationships are strong without being next-door neighbors
- The Humboldt Bay
- The Eureka Public Marina
- Woodley Island
- Humboldt Bay Harbor District
- History, many nationally registered historic places
- Government- The City of Eureka and Eureka City Council and related commissions and public services
- Public Art- over 100 murals

Sincerely

Robin (who lives in Eureka and knows it well)

From: Carol McFarland <cmfarl@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:46 pm

Subject: Redistricting

To the Commission:

I have lived on the Arcata Bottom for nearly 40 years and feel that our district has been well-served by the Supervisors who currently representing their constituents.

The drastic a rearrangement that will change our representation in ways that interfere with how we are served by public officials such as Jared Huffman, Mike McGuire, and so on. Therefore, I appreciate the difficult tasks you face in this work.

I hope the Committee will be able to make as few changes as possible to present maps of our districts as they seem to balance the population and coincide with coastal values and needs.

~~ *Carol McFarland*

From: Diane Des Marets <maretsprice@gmail.com>

To: "Madrone, Steve" <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bass, Virginia"

<VBass@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Wilson, Mike" <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bushnell, Michelle" <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bohn, Rex" <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>,

County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:52 pm

Subject: Redistricting

Hello,

My name is Diane Des Marets. I have lived in the 5th District since 1977. This unique community shares the incredible coastline with beautiful rivers and mountains. The McKinleyville area, where I live, is unincorporated and so relies heavily on the District Supervisor for governmental issues and needs. My assessment of the proposed maps for redistricting will not increase the ease of governing this district. Any population imbalance needing adjustment should not be done in a way that interferes with the 5th District lines, or confuses the areas that encompass Eureka and Arcata.

Map A2 and Map C2 both appear to dilute communities of interest and cause increased population imbalances between districts.

I am, therefore, requesting that the Redistricting Committee reject both Map A2 and Map C2 and recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the current Supervisor District lines be continued.

Thank you for your volunteer service on the Redistricting Committee.

Thank you for considering my input on this important issue.

Sincerely, Diane Des Marets

From:dkh <dorrekiddhoward@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office cao@co.humboldt.ca.us, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 2:46 pm

Subject: Redistricting

Dear Committee Members:

My name is Dorre Howard and I live just north of Fortuna city limits.

Thank you for the work that you have done drawing redistricting maps for consideration by the Board of Supervisors. You volunteered your time on a difficult and frustrating process.

However, the proposed maps make population imbalances between districts worse. Please reject map A2 as it would result in awkward and unusual districts. Map C2 is not as bad, however it also does not work.

I ask that the Committee recommend to the Board a map that makes the least changes possible to existing districts. The current districts are working well and require only minor alterations to balance population.

Respectfully,
Dorre Howard

General Comments/Questions

From: **Jeffrey Lytle** <jlcdb70@gmail.com>

To: "Madrone, Steve" <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bass, Virginia" <VBass@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Wilson, Mike" <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bushnell, Michelle" <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bohn, Rex" <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>

CC: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting map thread, thoughts

*** Multiple emails on Oct 26 from JL:

#1 On Tue, Oct 26, 2021, 8:44 AM Jeffrey Lytle <jlcdb70@gmail.com> wrote:

<https://kunsoo1024.wordpress.com/2021/10/23/second-round-of-redistricting-maps-oppose-the-m-both/?unapproved=166098&moderation-hash=3842f860ddf83cbcf685010b9ddb812#comment-166098>

Of course, fine-tuning and shaping is very doable for a coastline to east county-line layout to apply for all 5 Districts, adjusting only the metes and bounds of the boundaries that separate each District's North/South edges.

Sincerely,

JL

#2 On Tue, Oct 26, 2021, 9:37 AM Jeffrey Lytle <jlcdb70@gmail.com> wrote:

The thoughts can be "tied to" how the redistricting maps evolve, are the maps a result of:

- A) a plan to isolate 5 population centers and fill in around them.
- B) a plan to both equalize populations, as well as equalize (as closely as possible) District geography square mileage service areas.
- C) a plan that separates urban from rural.
- D) a plan that incorporates both urban and rural geographic service areas.
- E) a plan that combines "coastline to east county line" coverage for each District's service area, which defacto would include D) above, and allows all 5 Districts to have coastline access, eastern county edge access.
- F) a plan that uses semi-circle lines as boundaries with the point of origin being Eureka and working outward toward county-line land edges with other counties.

|
|)
|))
|)))
|))))
| x) y) zn/zs)
|))))
|))))

|)
|)
|

X = Inner semi-circle encompasses Humboldt Bay proper

Y = middle semi-circle that envelopes around x

ZN/ZS = outer semi-circle that envelopes around Y, is split into north and south districts

Optional: is to have Humboldt Bay proper combine portions thereof Arcata/Eureka into same District, have north/south remaining Arc/Eur portions in middle semi-circle with a north n/e & south s/e division line. The caveat is HWY 101 may separate Inner semi-circle from middle semi-circle, or say a certain distance as measured from the center of Humboldt Bay will create a northerly/southerly split of both Arcata and Eureka, meaning both cities would have 2 Supervisors with independent coverage service areas where geographic interests are better aligned.

Humboldt County likes to be different.

Offering two completely new ideas (semi-circle layout & full coastline to east county line layout) is different. Both new ideas make the Pacific Ocean a part of all districts.

Humboldt County could be the first county in USA coastal States to incorporate the semi-circle layout...making Humboldt Bay the epicenter, and working outwardly from ocean's water edge. I could easily draw the map ideas on paper since words can be less optical.

Sincerely,

JL

#3 On Tue, Oct 26, 2021, 10:09 AM Jeffrey Lytle <jlcdb70@gmail.com> wrote:

Here is an attempt from last night for Full-Width Districting. Representation is from coast to east county line, includes both urban and rural qualities, aspects, better equalizes travel, etc... Obviously voter blocks can be tinkered with, but the gist of the Full-Width idea is provided.

The only difficulty was the south coastline that had a very long voter block that limits purple coastline access to southwest corner. Not too big a deal since that is closer to Shelter Cove.

Semi-Circle attempt I'll partake tonight on a dinky screened smart phone. It would be great if the County could have a wall-mounted HUGE SCREEN MONITOR in Board Chamber for stuff like this to be presented without the need to pan in or out or slide screen, capable to see in plain sight all districting voter blocks simultaneously.

Sincerely,

JL

#4 On Tue, Oct 26, 2021, 12:07 PM Jeffrey Lytle <jlcdb70@gmail.com> wrote:

Full width example, lines still exchangeable, interchangeable.

All 5 Districts have:

Coast to east county line representation

Urban and rural representation

Equalized population loads

Non-partisan directive, more diversity

Travel coverages better equalized

All Supervisors responsible for representing coastal, midland and eastern inland issues

Limits adversely recognizable changes in the future for census related County Districting mappings, remappings

Sincerely,

JL

From: Jeffrey Lytle <jlcdb70@gmail.com>

To: Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 11:40 AM

Subject: Redistricting link on thoughts, ideas

<https://kunsoo1024.wordpress.com/2021/10/23/second-round-of-redistricting-maps-oppose-them-both/?unapproved=166107&moderation-hash=fb16d9c91fb660c42447769a22227d22#comment-166107>

Sincerely,

JL

From: Linda Atkins <ncwoman@sbcglobal.net>

To: Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 12:34 PM

Subject: Redistricting maps

I'm writing to ask that the redistricting map that makes the least changes to the current districts be recommended to the BOS. I live in Eureka and really think that keeping the City together in a district is the best way to represent us. We are the "big city" in the County and have a commonality of interest that comes from that fact.

Thanks for the work you're doing.

Linda Atkins

Eureka

From: Elaine Weinreb <elainejw@yahoo.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:14 AM

Subject: Re-districting maps

Dear CAO:

I have spent a great deal of time trying to get a legible, comprehensible version of any of these re-districting maps. They just don't come through as legible.

I still don't know what the heck is going on. When I call county officials, they say they have no trouble getting them. Well, of course they don't. Their digital access is already inside the county's website.

If somebody would bother to try to access this site from a platform OUTSIDE of the county's website, they would see what I mean.

Elaine Weinreb

From: robie tenorio <robieinthegarden@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 8:49 AM

Subject: Re-districting etc

Dear People,

I have made several attempts to examine the DRAFT maps and make comments on the redistricting website. Although I admit that I am not very computer savvy I was surprised and disappointed at how difficult it was to use the site.

-The maps were difficult to understand.

-The Questionnaire was hard to relate to and understand how the questions could address my concerns.

-When I clicked on certain prompts that were going to give me more information or more clarity
- up popped a box that told me that site was an error or didn't exist.

- After going through and just focusing on answering the questions and writing comments on what I could access I hit the SUBMIT button and up popped the message that I was UNSUBSCRIBED.

I gave up after that.

Actually the way the district maps are drawn now seem like they could work - if we have a population amount to balance - such as more growth in the 3rd District - we could just add Manilla or somewhere out Myrtle to the 4th District. Something easy, something simple - Something that works and keeps it culturally balanced.

Also one troubling aspect was that as far as looking at particular populations according to race, which is kind of weird, the Native people and members of Tribes were not included. This is probably our largest non-white population. And they have not just moved here.

Thank you,
Robie Tenorio
robieinthegarden@gmail.com

From: Joe Public <joe815700@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 3:49 PM
Subject: Redistricting
Greeting committee members,

I have had the opportunity to watch this process from the inception to where we are now, and after a greatly limited initial input from "communities of interest", we now have two maps that are fundamentally different.

Unfortunately, now that the work has been done, it seems as if it is going to be hard to make any real changes that will provide communities of interest acceptable to everyone.

Without wasting too much time, it is pretty clear that Map C2 is pretty much the same as things have been, not really balancing the population centers and not looking to Humboldt as it is moving forward over the next ten years, ie. Move a few rural areas and shift a street right and left, is not looking to the future to help Humboldt become more people friendly.

Map A2 is pretty progressive in its thinking, and more importantly, it takes a real look at how Humboldt is going to grow over the next 10 years, much of which will be occurring around the bay.

On the surface it does not seem that Arcata, the Peninsula and part of Eureka are a common community, but, in reality, they will be the epicenter of action over the next ten years and should now be looked at as a whole community.

Starting with the re-construction of the 101 Freeway, Eureka to Arcata are going to be joined. In addition to the Freeway, the bike path that connects the entire length of Eureka, Arcata and McKinleyville, is just finishing up. This will provide no carbon transportation paths between county services and Arcata and North. The new potential offshore wind farm will be using the bay, and all of the roads in and out to carry goods and supplies. The new fiber line, starting on the peninsula and then moving to Arcata before moving over the 299 has the potential to provide super high speed web access to rural Humboldt. These projects will all continue to join the two cities as one. It is important to have a supervisor that will represent this area with a common voice.

Thanks for listening and I hope that you support some real change in the community.

Joe

From: Robin Praszker <rmp459@humboldt.edu>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 5:30 PM

Subject: Community of Interest mapping tool

(See photos below)

COMMUNITY OF INTEREST MAPPING TOOL



INSTRUCTIONS

Please use this map as a tool to draw your community of interest. It is important to know about communities so new Supervisor district lines can amplify the voices of residents.

Examples of communities can include neighborhoods or planning zones, areas where many residents speak the same language, or even areas where the residents use the same county services or facilities.

To start, please include a title for your community, your name and email address, and a description of your community. Then draw your community on the map.

Eureka

COMMUNITY TITLE

Robin

NAME

EMAIL

Praszker

Rmp459@humboldt.edu

DESCRIPTION

SUBMIT YOUR MAP:


By Mail:
825 5th Street Eureka,
CA 95501

Or take a picture and
email it to:
CAO@co.humboldt.ca.us

Eureka is its own
community



ARCATA / EUREKA / MCKINLEYVILLE INSET





Community of Interest - all responses

October 26, 2021, 12:34 PM

Contents

i.	Summary of responses	2
ii.	Survey questions	4
iii.	Individual responses	5

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

Summary Of Responses

As of October 26, 2021, 12:34 PM, this forum had:		Topic Start	Topic End
Attendees:	93	September 3, 2021, 3:15 PM	October 26, 2021, 10:42 AM
Responses:	23		
Hours of Public Comment:	1.2		

QUESTION 1

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Answered	23
Skipped	0

QUESTION 2

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?

Answered	23
Skipped	0

QUESTION 3

What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.

Answered	22
Skipped	1

QUESTION 4

What else would you like to tell us about your community?

Answered	15
----------	----

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

Skipped

8

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

Survey Questions

QUESTION 1

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

QUESTION 2

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?

QUESTION 3

What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the Board of Supervisor redistricting process? Please describe how the issues before the Board of Supervisors has an impact on your community.

QUESTION 4

What else would you like to tell us about your community?

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

Individual Responses

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 1, 2021, 5:55 AM

Question 1

More places with sidewalks and the ability to walk without worrying about traffic. More police or get the ones we have to actually do something to take care of the people that continue to rip people off by targeting specific neighborhoods. Better services like phone, internet televisions cables so we don't have such spotty service. We also need less not more marijuana farms because our town smell from one end to the other and we would like to have the trimmers limited to locals only because we have a trash problem when the trimmers come in to our town.

Question 2

Willow Creek from 299 west of town to east at Dazeys, and Highway 96 to Whitsons plumbing.

Question 3

I don't think we need to be included in Redistricting unless you are going to give us a supervisor just for our area.

Question 4

No response

Carolyn Crnich

inside district 1

October 1, 2021, 6:56 AM

Question 1

Crime Rates. Lack of law enforcement presence/response. Chronic illegal dumping of garbage/trash/abandon vehicles on Eel River Drive south of Loleta.

Question 2

Between Eureka and Fortuna.

Question 3

Focus funds on security of unincorporated areas.

Question 4

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 1, 2021, 7:42 AM

Question 1

Children's and animal safety, too many people come down Spring Street to get to Winco and they are going way too fast and their are a lot of children and animals on our street. Street lights that work would be great so we can see at night and our cameras can catch people who are out trying to break into our cars, which happens way to often.

Question 2

Spring Street is located in Eureka our house is between Buhne and Wabash.

Question 3

We are in an area with low income housing and safe and sober housing. We have a community watch and the majority of us are democrat's and in such our district should be one that fits with our political views.

Question 4

No response

Louis Iglesias

inside district 2

October 1, 2021, 7:52 AM

Question 1

Continued support for our service district, housing availability, EV public transportation, county street maintenance and the development for local EV businesses are a few items of interest that I believe would benefit the Weott community.

Question 2

Weott is located in Southern Humboldt on the Hwy101corridor. We are situated within the Humboldt Redwoods State Park. Possible location for Electric Vehicle charging facility is ideally situated because of central mileage charging limitations.

Question 3

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

Future development for business and housing depends on how the County and State agencies plan and implement programs to attract and support these interests to our town.

Question 4

Our potential for a successful community will depend on smart planning opportunities. And future development can benefit our contribution for a more sustainable Humboldt County.

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 1, 2021, 10:01 AM

Question 1

Many in our community have farms or growing concerns, with large parcels. However, often, as in our case, small parcels are sandwiched between these large parcels, and are negatively impacted by the activity of these large growing productions, some of which are commercial. Our area used to be zoned "improved, rural residential", but now is rezoned "ag-exclusive", without regard for any existing uses, or protections of existing uses, which prohibits small landowners from taking action against the large agricultural operators, even though we were here before the change in land designation. So, there may also be a common interest among the regular residents of our area to receive help and relief from the privileges now allowed to growers.

Question 2

Dows Prairie area between Clam Beach Road and Crannell Road.

Question 3

Areas of great amounts of agricultural activity should have special regulatory or oversight focus, since issues such as depletion of ground water by large growing concerns, thus depriving small parcels of the use of their own wells, call for enforcement of riparian rights, for example. Our area requires someone to be able to help negotiate the problems and issues for this "mixed" community, which used to be zoned "rural residential" but has now had "ag-exclusive" imposed on us, with attendant problems of nuisance and exploitation of natural resources.

Question 4

Since neighbors in this community live somewhat farther apart from each other, we need help in coming together to become a cohesive group that attempts to be good neighbors to one another.

Name not shown

inside district 1

October 1, 2021, 11:13 AM

Question 1

Suburban unincorporated area are, closely allied with city if Eureka. Diverse neighborhood. Good schools.

Question 2

Cutten from the city limits between Walnut and Campton Road.

Question 3

This is a semi urban area with interests different from the more rural areas that make up the bulk if the district.

Question 4

Love it's neighborhood feel, families, walkability.

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 1, 2021, 11:26 AM

Question 1

Preservation of uses under previous zoning designations which are not protected when Planning changes zoning. For example, older Residences on Myrtle Avenue which were zoned residential are now zoned commercial. Anyone wanting to remodel or upgrade an older residence must comply with commercial requirements to get a permit including having a business and handicap parking or no permit.

I have a commercial nursery operating within mere feet of the North wall of my house. I am told by planning I can't file a complaint for noise, dust, odor and excessive traffic because I bought property adjacent to AG zoned land which I did not. I bought land adjacent to property zoned Improved Rural Residential in 1984. When Planning changed the zoning AG they did not address negative effects on adjacent parcels and there are no required permits for the nursery. certain setbacks should have been implemented from residences on adjacent properties. I have been advised a appraisal can be done to establish a diminution of value and present the bill to the County through my attorney. I encourage anyone who suffers from this bad planning to do the same.

As a professionally licensed Civil Engineer this is the kind of bone-head planning I have experienced in Humboldt County for the last 30 years. Another issue is preservation of the water table. Current historic uses are not taken into consideration when new AG uses are issued a permit for a new, deeper well thereby lowering the water table and reducing existing well levels to, potentially, dry. the 2014 Water Table Preservation act past

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

by the State legislature is not being implemented by the County apparently.

Question 2

Northern Mckinleyville, however, the effects of Bad planning exist throughout the County.

Question 3

The Board of Supervisors is charged with reviewing any changes to land use and defining any negative impacts on existing, historical uses whether it is zone and use changes or redistricting. Does the County have a run away planning department which creates policy which is not brought before the Board and evaluated by the Board??

Question 4

My community of property owners and professionals who develop projects would like County Panning to be "RE-IMAGINED" and provide changes to current zoning to protect negatively impacted properties arising out of rezoning, land use and redistricting changes.

Nichole Vasquez

inside district 5

October 1, 2021, 12:02 PM

Question 1

Family activities, child safety, walking paths, accessible and diverse businesses. Clean Beaches.

Question 2

Clam Beach south to end of Hammond Trail in Arcata Bridge. Pierson Park. McKinleyville forested walking trails.

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Timothy McDermond

inside district 3

October 1, 2021, 3:30 PM

Question 1

Positive response to climate change, working families, liberal leaning, democratic and lower/middle income.

Question 2

Live in Arcata- Sea to West, Mad river, Foothills,

Question 3

Arcata is a college town with progressive leanings. It needs to have these views represented,

Question 4

We enjoy our voice.

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 1, 2021, 5:47 PM

Question 1

How about safely enjoying and living together WITH our diversity without discrimination, ridicule, blacklisting, culture canceling, violence, hypocritical favoritism, etc. How about building an identity as a diverse community working together to enjoy our niche in the ecosystem and help each other as humans. This is of course virtually impossible. A joke. People have demonstrated that self-interest, racial and identity ideology and judging based on color, ethnicity, gender and sexual preference, etc. is just what people do. Nobody wins. Redistrict that.

Question 2

Planet earth, humboldt, trinity, del norte region.

Question 3

Obviously I am expressing a frustration; a sadness. There is no rationale. Redistricting will not help. You can't please everybody. It will aggravate somebody. You will have to decide which ideological sensitivities or conflicting political expediencies you prefer. I don't envy your job. Thanks for doing your best to look out for the interests of all.

Question 4

I don't feel any sense of community (especially since Covid), certainly not one you can re-district. I belong to a hated demographic (deservedly hated? is hate ever deserved? would that be a hate crime?) and many will be happy to see our eventual and soon demise. Good riddance. I can hardly wait.

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

Name not shown

inside district 2

October 2, 2021, 9:13 AM

Question 1

Clean healthy environment.

Question 2

Myers flat to piercy

Question 3

I'm pretty sure our town will continue on together in the same district. As it would be ridiculous to break up southern Humboldt but we have shared ideals... for the most part. And a strong sense of community, that needs representation as a whole.

Question 4

No response

Richard Salzman

inside district 3

October 6, 2021, 3:09 PM

Question 1

We are are located in or close to Arcata and HSU

Question 2

The 3rd District

Question 3

Please keep us as close to our current lines as possible.

Question 4

We do not want to be broken up.

Name not available

inside district 1

October 6, 2021, 4:28 PM

Question 1

My community of interest is the people who use their feet or assistive

devices as a main mode of transportation (as opposed to personal cars, motorcycles, or bicycles). Our common interests are building relationships with people (each other) and place (Eureka) by physically interacting as our main mode of getting around. We tend to have some of these values

-care about or reliance upon the environment in relationship to the quality of our lives

-preference for lower levels of stress or use of walking as necessary to engage or manage the stresses of our lives

- appreciation for or reliance on exercise and our bodies in order to live or access necessities associated with our daily lives

-interest in decreasing economic impacts of car based living

This community involves the streets, sidewalks, buildings & people who tend to interact on the sidewalks and streets of eureka while walking to get to the places we need to get to in order to meet the basic needs associated with living.

Question 2

The boundaries are the school past murphey's market in cutten, redwood acres, the bay shore mall, the waterfront in old town, Target. Not included are places that cannot easily be accessed on foot.

Question 3

My rationale is community cohesion. We need supervisors who are able to represent common interest.

For people who walk, our common ground is the places we get to by foot (mostly on the sidewalks of eureka). We rely on local policies that take into consideration how we access the different places where we need to go.

Pedestrians are an example of an interest community that spans economics.

I have concern that if eureka is redistricted to represent an area primarily made up of rental, business, or home owner sorts of buildings and properties that economic grouping of interest will further impact policy making. Our commonality (or community of interest) is how we access or move through the space of Eureka, not where specifically it is that we live. There are deep histories of social segregation related to the economics of property ownership. I'm more interested in districting in our community in ways that reflect grouped interests based on commonalities disassociated with economics & property ownership.

Question 4

There are many reasons why people choose pedestrianism. Health and wellness (both of individuals as well as of our community) are a big reason which is often overlooked. In eureka it often feels like poverty and

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

economics are the assumption made when pedestrians are discussed or considered.

If we are redistricting, it makes the most sense to me that the pathways that literally connect us to each other & our town be considered. By pathways I mean a built environment; the literal sidewalks and physically accessible places where we can safely walk and use our assistive devices. Walking is a mode of transport which involves actually interacting with our community instead of passing through it encased in a car.

Name not available

October 12, 2021, 3:32 PM

Question 1

Some obvious community interests that Eureka share are common government entities, i.e. the City Council and School District. A major issue that unites the community is Highway 101/Broadway; all of the traffic-safety issues surrounding this thoroughfare are very specific to the community. We are also united by business interests; most Eureka I know don't go shopping in Arcata. We have a very distinct identity, different from Arcatans, McKinlevillians, or even distinct from Cutten and Humboldt Hill, which are much more suburban.

Question 2

From Humboldt Bay to just south of the Zoo. Myrtle Avenue is roughly the Western border, plus the business district that is the northwestern gateway to the city. Basically the urban core of Eureka. As one moves more toward the outskirts of the city, the community of interest changes.

Question 3

My community of interest is the urban center of Eureka. This is the most densely populated area of the county and epicenter for a lot of the urban issues that affect the county, i.e. visible mental health and drug addiction issues, homelessness, traffic safety, lack of affordable housing. It's important that we are represented by someone who understands those issues and who isn't torn between advocating for what is best for their rural constituents versus their urban constituents. It is also the arts hub of the county and where people come to see live music and performances. It is the County Seat and where everyone who must do business with the County, whether that is with DHHS or the Planning and Building Department, has to come to do that business. As a result, county policy has an enormous direct effect on the community. Any DHHS-related decisions that the BOS makes directly impact the city of Eureka, since we are the major population center.

Question 4

As the largest and most diverse city in the County, it makes sense to keep the majority of Eureka as a single district, represented by one Supervisor

who would best understand the needs of the community and who wouldn't be torn between rural and urban concerns.

Name not available

October 12, 2021, 8:07 PM

Question 1

It's hard to define what sets Eureka apart from other areas of the county, but most folks do see Eureka as different from the other areas, both for good and bad reasons. Obvious common interests in Eureka are our common City government and School District. Other common interests are: the health of Humboldt Bay; the fog (we have more than other districts in Humboldt); the need for traffic safety and beautification of Highway 101/Broadway, which runs through the city and keeps claiming lives; lack of affordable housing and the crisis of houselessness, which is greater in Eureka because we are the urban center where most services are; our cultural diversity (in comparison to other parts of the county); our arts scene, including our murals; the port (and the potential development there) and fishing industry (and accompanying smells); our parks and trails, including Sequoia Park and the Hikshari Trail. People who don't live in Eureka often point to us dirty and crime-ridden, and this is the epicenter countywide for many related issues. But we also have a thriving arts scene and this is where people come to see the symphony.

Question 2

From Humboldt Bay to just south of the Zoo. Myrtle Avenue is the eastern border. The Bay is the western border. The northern business district of Eureka is also included. Basically, the urban core of Eureka. As you move towards the outskirts of the city, it becomes much more suburban.

Question 3

My community of interest is the urban center of Eureka. This is the most densely populated area of the county and epicenter for a lot of the urban issues that affect the county, i.e. visible mental health and drug addiction issues, homelessness, traffic safety, lack of affordable housing. Any BOS decisions that affect DHHS and other public health services greatly impact this community, since this is where people come for services. We are also where the jail is, so we are greatly affected by issues having to do with policing and criminal justice that are decided on by the Board.

Question 4

As the most diverse and populous city in the county, it's important that Eureka remain one district, to the extent that is possible. As an urban community, it is important that we are represented by a Supervisor who understands the issues affecting the community and who doesn't have to decide between advocating for the needs of urban constituents versus rural constituents. It's already difficult enough for people to engage in public processes (take, for example, the lack of engagement in this

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

redistricting process) and adding to the confusion by bifurcating the city of Eureka for BOS representation would only make this more difficult.

Name not shown

inside district 1

October 12, 2021, 8:08 PM

Question 1

It's hard to define what sets Eureka apart from other areas of the county, but most folks do see Eureka as different from the other areas, both for good and bad reasons. Obvious common interests in Eureka are our common City government and School District. Other common interests are: the health of Humboldt Bay; the fog (we have more than other districts in Humboldt); the need for traffic safety and beautification of Highway 101/Broadway, which runs through the city and keeps claiming lives; lack of affordable housing and the crisis of houselessness, which is greater in Eureka because we are the urban center where most services are; our cultural diversity (in comparison to other parts of the county); our arts scene, including our murals; the port (and the potential development there) and fishing industry (and accompanying smells); our parks and trails, including Sequoia Park and the Hikshari Trail. People who don't live in Eureka often point to us dirty and crime-ridden, and this is the epicenter countywide for many related issues. But we also have a thriving arts scene and this is where people come to see the symphony.

Question 2

From Humboldt Bay to just south of the Zoo. Myrtle Avenue is the eastern border. The Bay is the western border. The northern business district of Eureka is also included. Basically, the urban core of Eureka. As you move towards the outskirts of the city, it becomes much more suburban.

Question 3

My community of interest is the urban center of Eureka. This is the most densely populated area of the county and epicenter for a lot of the urban issues that affect the county, i.e. visible mental health and drug addiction issues, homelessness, traffic safety, lack of affordable housing. Any BOS decisions that affect DHHS and other public health services greatly impact this community, since this is where people come for services. We are also where the jail is, so we are greatly affected by issues having to do with policing and criminal justice that are decided on by the Board.

Question 4

As the most diverse and populous city in the county, it's important that Eureka remain one district, to the extent that is possible. As an urban community, it is important that we are represented by a Supervisor who understands the issues affecting the community and who doesn't have to decide between advocating for the needs of urban constituents versus rural constituents. It's already difficult enough for people to engage in

public processes (take, for example, the lack of engagement in this redistricting process) and adding to the confusion by bifurcating the city of Eureka for BOS representation would only make this more difficult.

Name not available

inside district 2

October 15, 2021, 7:09 AM

Question 1

Local ranching, timber and cannabis industry. Raising children in a rural setting with rural values.

Question 2

Hydesville. Surrounded by prairie and mixed woodlands yet 15 minutes from Fortuna.

Question 3

We need representation that is able to focus on a community with like industrial, family oriented values and vision for our district and counties. They need to take in our community and balance the needs accordingly.

Question 4

We need representation that will match our districts. Draft Map A is definitely a map that best represents our community

Name not shown

outside Humboldt County

October 19, 2021, 12:00 PM

Question 1

My Community includes: Titlow Hill, Upper and Lower Redwood Creek (Bair Rd), and Willow Creek (all rural, requiring ~1 hour along Highway 299 to get to the coast for a majority of services, and are part of the Trinity and Redwood Creek watersheds).

1. Titlow Hill is where I live and work. It is a beautiful area filled with native flora and fauna (some on lists of endangerment, etc.).
2. Upper and Lower Redwood Creek residents share concerns about Redwood Creek itself and fire safety.
3. Willow Creek offers access to its Farmers' Market, Ray's, Dazey's, River Song, etc. retail services and community activities.

Question 2

See above. Titlow Hill (Redwood Creek (both sides)/Highway 299/Six Rivers National Forest), Upper and Lower Redwood Creek (Headwaters

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

past Bair Road), and Willow Creek (city proper)

Question 3

Common concerns about fire safety, lack of local services, negative environmental and quality of life impacts from illegal cannabis grows, etc. All about one hour's drive to the coast along Highway 299.

Question 4

The Community should stay within District 5.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 20, 2021, 12:55 PM

Question 1

As a Eureka, common interests in the community include: geography, city-wide governmental issues, small business issues that affect the county seat, our waterfront and harbor, our economic development, and housing. These are important because they are the core of our community's wellness in the City.

Question 2

I am a Eureka resident, in the heart of the City. I believe it is important to keep the jurisdictional boundary of the City of Eureka together to the extent possible, as we are a community of interest. If it needs to be broken apart, the seeming community of interest lessens at the south of town around the golf course and to the east towards Cutten. The core of this community of interest is in the historic center of the City (Old Town/Downtown) and the adjacent neighborhoods.

Question 3

As a Eureka, I have much more in common with my fellow City residents than I do with folks who live elsewhere in Humboldt County. Eureka's have unique concerns, including transportation, the 101 corridor, and issues that are governed by our city government. Existing supervisorial districts also help shape communities of interest, and the committee can and should consider preserving these as much as possible. Having a supervisor who represents the full City would improve their relationship with the City Council, and would help them focus on the issues unique to the City.

Question 4

I am a small business owner and artist in Eureka, and we have unique challenges that are very different to folks who run businesses in nearby cities and rural areas. Real estate is unique in Eureka as well. There is a lot of pride from people who live in Eureka, and we should respect and

bolster this through redistricting.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 5:56 PM

Question 1

We live in the Arcata Bottom(s). It is primarily agricultural in zoning, and consists mostly of individual farmhouses and pasturelands. Residents include a number of "old timers", dairy/cattle farmers and such. But there are also a goodly number of families with links to the city of Arcata: students and others who appreciate a more rural surrounding still situated near a more urban community. There are artists, professionals (I am a physician) and such here. The area is frequented by folks enjoying the open, flat terrain (bicyclists, runners, walkers) and those seeking access to the Mad River and the beach. I believe we are much more linked to Arcata (plan B as far as I can tell) than to McKinleyville (plan A). Economically, we shop more in Arcata. Schools (Pacific Union, Arcata high) are in Arcata. McKinleyville is "across the river" psychologically.

Question 2

Physical boundaries are the Mad River, highway 101, Upper Bay Road/Lanphere Road, and the coastline.

Question 3

As noted above: geographical boundaries emphasize the economic and cultural affinity of the Arcata Bottom with Arcata itself, not with McKinleyville.

Question 4

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 10:20 PM

Question 1

Urban rural interface, orchards, small livestock, beekeeping, agriculture oriented

Question 2

Streets off of Myrtle down to the marsh; streets off of Harris between Mitchell and Lucia.

Question 3

Community of Interest - all responses

Document Your Community of Interest

If you are creating communities of interest we are more aligned with rural than urban. If you are placing the Eureka district more towards the west then it can be understood to include this interface. If Eureka continues to include the downtown, we are less aligned. Ultimately we all use the Eureka City Schools, and I wonder if looking at aligning our district maps to better match current school districts might be a helpful guide.

Question 4

No response

Question 3

Separating our community with two different supervisors would potentially reduce our representation and create difficulty in working together

Question 4

No response

Name not available

October 24, 2021, 8:22 PM

Question 1

Keeping development under strict controls. Maintaining a rural lifestyle. Preservation of old-growth forests. Proximity to the Pacific Ocean.

Question 2

To the north: Del Norte County line To the east: Trinity County line To the west: Pacific Ocean To the south: the Mad River

Question 3

Most people like our community just the way it is, and don't want to see a lot of changes in it. We don't want more highways, more big-box stores, more high-rise housing, more large industry.

Question 4

Our community is either rural or very small towns, some of which are unincorporated.

Leslie Castellano

inside district 4

October 25, 2021, 3:23 PM

Question 1

Shared transit, shared festivals (Street Art Festival, Rhododendron Parade, Arts Alive); shared trail network; shared community centers (Jefferson, Muni, Adorni Center, Library, Wharfinger)

Question 2

I live in a Eureka-centric community:
Myrtle to South Eureka/Elk River to Henderson Center



All Responses - Draft Map 1

October 26, 2021, 10:37 AM

Contents

i.	Summary of responses	2
ii.	Survey questions	17
iii.	Individual responses	21

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Summary Of Responses

As of October 26, 2021, 10:37 AM, this forum had: **Topic Start**

Attendees: 468 October 8, 2021, 1:57 PM
Responses: 111
Hours of Public Comment: 5.6

QUESTION 1

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Draft Plan A - Whole County

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		14.3%	13
2. Does not represent my community well		58.2%	53
3. Represents my community adequately		13.2%	12
4. Represents my community very well		14.3%	13

QUESTION 2

How well does "Draft Plan A, District A" represent your community?

Draft Plan A, District A

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		59.3%	54
2. Does not represent my community well		26.4%	24
3. Represents my community adequately		5.5%	5

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

		%	Count
4. Represents my community very well		8.8%	8

QUESTION 3

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District A"?

Answered	56
Skipped	55

QUESTION 4

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District A"?

Answered	39
Skipped	72

QUESTION 5

How well does "Draft Plan A, District B" represent your community?

Draft Plan A, District B

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		76.4%	68
2. Does not represent my community well		9.0%	8
3. Represents my community adequately		6.7%	6
4. Represents my community very well		7.9%	7

QUESTION 6

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District B"?

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Answered	35
Skipped	76

QUESTION 7

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District B"?

Answered	24
Skipped	87

QUESTION 8

How well does "Draft Plan A, District C" represent your community?

Draft Plan A, District C

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		41.3%	38
2. Does not represent my community well		41.3%	38
3. Represents my community adequately		3.3%	3
4. Represents my community very well		14.1%	13

QUESTION 9

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District C"?

Answered	64
Skipped	47

QUESTION 10

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District C"?

All Responses - Draft Map 1


1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Answered	45
Skipped	66

QUESTION 11

How well does “Draft Plan A, District D” represent your community?

Draft Plan A, District D



QUESTION 12

What would you change about “Draft Plan A, District D”?

Answered	56
Skipped	55

QUESTION 13

What would you keep about “Draft Plan A, District D”?

Answered	31
Skipped	80

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 14

How well does "Draft Plan A, District E" represent your community?

Draft Plan A, District E



QUESTION 15

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District E"?

Answered	39
Skipped	72

QUESTION 16

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District E"?

Answered	23
Skipped	88

QUESTION 17

Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan A" you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

Answered	47
Skipped	64


All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 18

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?


Draft Plan B - Whole County



QUESTION 19

How well does "Draft Plan B, District A" represent your community?

Draft Plan B, District A



QUESTION 20

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District A"?

Answered	43
Skipped	68

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 21

What would you keep about “Draft Plan B, District A”?

Answered	24
Skipped	87

QUESTION 22

How well does “Draft Plan B, District B” represent your community?

Draft Plan B, District B

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		76.2%	64
2. Does not represent my community well		17.9%	15
3. Represents my community adequately		6.0%	5

QUESTION 23

What would you change about “Draft Plan B, District B”?

Answered	27
Skipped	84

QUESTION 24

What would you keep about “Draft Plan B, District B”?

Answered	15
Skipped	96

QUESTION 25

How well does “Draft Plan B, District C” represent your community?

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B, District C

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		39.3%	35
2. Does not represent my community well		32.6%	29
3. Represents my community adequately		16.9%	15
4. Represents my community very well		11.2%	10

QUESTION 26

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District C"?

Answered	41
Skipped	70

QUESTION 27

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District C"?

Answered	24
Skipped	87

QUESTION 28

How well does "Draft Plan B, District D" represent your community?

Draft Plan B, District D

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		48.8%	42
2. Does not represent my community well		37.2%	32
3. Represents my community adequately		9.3%	8

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

		%	Count
4. Represents my community very well		4.7%	4

QUESTION 29

What would you change about “Draft Plan B, District D”?

Answered	40
Skipped	71

QUESTION 30

What would you keep about “Draft Plan B, District D”?

Answered	26
Skipped	85

QUESTION 31

How well does “Draft Plan B, District E” represent your community?

Draft Plan B, District E

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		68.4%	54
2. Does not represent my community well		16.5%	13
3. Represents my community adequately		12.7%	10
4. Represents my community very well		2.5%	2

QUESTION 32

What would you change about “Draft Plan B, District E”?

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Answered	27
Skipped	84

QUESTION 33

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District E"?

Answered	18
Skipped	93

QUESTION 34






Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan B" you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

Answered	30
Skipped	81

QUESTION 35

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Draft Plan C - Whole County

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		14.1%	13
2. Does not represent my community well		25.0%	23
3. Represents my community adequately		35.9%	33
4. Represents my community very well		22.8%	21
5		2.2%	2

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 36

What would you change about “Draft Plan C, District A”?

Answered	37
Skipped	74

QUESTION 37


What would you keep about “Draft Plan C, District A”?

Answered	22
Skipped	89

QUESTION 38

How well does “Draft Plan C, District B” represent your community?

Draft Plan C, District B



QUESTION 39

What would you change about “Draft Plan C, District B”?

Answered	31
Skipped	80

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 40


What would you keep about “Draft Plan C, District B”?

Answered	20
Skipped	91

QUESTION 41

How well does “Draft Plan C, District C” represent your community?

Draft Plan C, District C



QUESTION 42

What would you change about “Draft Plan C, District C”?

Answered	32
Skipped	79

QUESTION 43

What would you keep about “Draft Plan C, District C”?

Answered	25
Skipped	86


All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 44

How well does “Draft Plan C, District D” represent your community?

Draft Plan C, District D



QUESTION 45

What would you change about “Draft Plan C, District D”?

Answered	40
Skipped	71

QUESTION 46

What would you keep about “Draft Plan C, District D”?

Answered	30
Skipped	81


QUESTION 47

How well does “Draft Plan C, District E” represent your community?

Draft Plan C, District E

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review



QUESTION 48

What would you change about “Draft Plan C, District E”?

Answered	25
Skipped	86

QUESTION 49

What would you keep about “Draft Plan C, District E”?

Answered	23
Skipped	88

QUESTION 50

Any other thoughts on “Draft Plan C” you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

Answered	39
Skipped	72

QUESTION 51

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Any other comments you would like to share with the Redistricting Advisory Commission?

Answered 56

Skipped 55

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Survey Questions

QUESTION 1

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A - Whole County

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well

QUESTION 2

How well does "Draft Plan A, District A" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A, District A

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 3

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District A"?

QUESTION 4

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District A"?

QUESTION 5

How well does "Draft Plan A, District B" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A, District B

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately

- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 6

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District B"?

QUESTION 7

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District B"?

QUESTION 8

How well does "Draft Plan A, District C" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A, District C

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 9

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District C"?

QUESTION 10

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District C"?

QUESTION 11

How well does "Draft Plan A, District D" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A, District D

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

QUESTION 12

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District D"?

• 5

QUESTION 13

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District D"?

QUESTION 19

How well does "Draft Plan B, District A" represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan B, District A

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 14

How well does "Draft Plan A, District E" represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan A, District E

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 20

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District A"?

QUESTION 15

What would you change about "Draft Plan A, District E"?

QUESTION 21

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District A"?

QUESTION 16

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A, District E"?

QUESTION 22

How well does "Draft Plan B, District B" represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan B, District B

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well

QUESTION 17

Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan A" you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

QUESTION 18

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan B - Whole County

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well

QUESTION 23

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District B"?

QUESTION 24

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District B"?

QUESTION 25

How well does "Draft Plan B, District C" represent your community?

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Row choices

- Draft Plan B, District C

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 26

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District C"?

QUESTION 27

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District C"?

QUESTION 28

How well does "Draft Plan B, District D" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan B, District D

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 29

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District D"?

QUESTION 30

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District D"?

QUESTION 31

How well does "Draft Plan B, District E" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan B, District E

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community

- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 32

What would you change about "Draft Plan B, District E"?

QUESTION 33

What would you keep about "Draft Plan B, District E"?

QUESTION 34

Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan B" you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

QUESTION 35

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C - Whole County

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 36

What would you change about "Draft Plan C, District A"?

QUESTION 37

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C, District A"?

QUESTION 38

How well does "Draft Plan C, District B" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C, District B

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 39

What would you change about "Draft Plan C, District B"?

QUESTION 40

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C, District B"?

QUESTION 41

How well does "Draft Plan C, District C" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C, District C

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 42

What would you change about "Draft Plan C, District C"?

QUESTION 43

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C, District C"?

QUESTION 44

How well does "Draft Plan C, District D" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C, District D

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately

- 4. Represents my community very well

- 5

QUESTION 45

What would you change about "Draft Plan C, District D"?

QUESTION 46

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C, District D"?

QUESTION 47

How well does "Draft Plan C, District E" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C, District E

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 48

What would you change about "Draft Plan C, District E"?

QUESTION 49

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C, District E"?

QUESTION 50

Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan C" you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

QUESTION 51

Any other comments you would like to share with the Redistricting Advisory Commission?

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Individual Responses

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 8, 2021, 5:51 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Please do NOT split the City of Eureka in half. I understand the "Community of Interest" planning process, but I do not understand the justification for claiming a neighborhood in Eureka has more in common with a neighboring city 7 miles away than its own City it is a part of. If we were a larger region with major population disparity between districts, I understand the need to split cities. But the justification that West Eureka has more in common with Arcata is not true as I have lived in Eureka for 11 years in West Eureka where you are proposing to split. I walk 5 days a week from one end of the Eureka to the other, this is a small City that has the Community Interest of being an actual community. Furthermore, you have proposed to allocate a significant portion of Eureka to a new district, of which has the 101 corridor, a public marina, and a harbor which Arcata

has no commonalities with. Additionally, you are proposing to split Old Town Eureka in half which is absolutely a Community of Interest. This is a Nationally Registered Historic location, an Arts District (which is coveted and not handed out to many cities in the state), and part of Eureka Main Street. These are just a few reasons how the majority of Eureka have a Community Interest of their own, much stronger than trying to make relations to Arcata.

Question 10

I have heard that those along the peninsula would like to be together and that makes sense to me. Please keep Eureka in tact per the current District Map, NOT what you are proposing here.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Please do NOT split the City of Eureka in half. I understand the "Community of Interest" planning process, but I do not understand the justification for claiming a neighborhood in Eureka has more in common with a neighboring city 7 miles away than its own City it is a part of. If we were a larger region with major population disparity between districts, I understand the need to split cities. But the justification that West Eureka has more in common with Arcata is not true as I have lived in Eureka for 11 years in West Eureka where you are proposing to split. I walk 5 days a week from one end of the Eureka to the other, this is a small City that has the Community Interest of being an actual community. Furthermore, you have proposed to allocate a significant portion of Eureka to a new district, of which has the 101 corridor, a public marina, and a harbor which Arcata has no commonalities with. Additionally, you are proposing to split Old Town Eureka in half which is absolutely a Community of Interest. This is a Nationally Registered Historic location, an Arts District (which is coveted and not handed out to many cities in the state), and part of Eureka Main Street. These are just a few reasons how the majority of Eureka have a Community Interest of their own, much stronger than trying to make relations to Arcata.

Question 13

Nothing.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I am commenting on District 3 and 4, or on this proposal, C & D. I ask that you please do not move forward with this proposal due to the arbitrary splitting of Eureka in half based on some idea that this area has more in common with Arcata than the City it is a part of. I commend you for taking a fresh look at the map and attempting to think outside the box and look for improvement. The proposal to split Eureka in half is not an improvement and would sever a Community of Interest. Please do not do this.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

I would take the border more North East and include a similar perimeter to the current District 4 map. This example map is not showing any streets but it looks like it cuts off right at the Samoa Bridge which cuts off a portion of the Eureka Waterfront Trail which is a Community of Interest. The current District 4 map continues East of Harrison Ave. including

portions of Myrtle Town and along the beginning 101 corridor which is City of Eureka still. While this is an improvement from Draft Plan A, this map should encompass more of North and East Eureka.

I would ask if possible, any more draft maps include street names so I can give more accurate feedback.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

I would inch the West most border more East. I would focus on covering the unincorporated county areas outside Eureka city limits. Without street names, it is hard to tell, but I believe this is removing Sequoia Park and Zoo which is the City of Eureka's first and oldest Park. I find Sequoia Park to be a very strong Community Interest for all of Eureka as it originated in 1894 and there are generations of Eureka's parents, grandparents, and great grandparents have history with this park. It is VERY special to Eureka's.

Question 30

The City of Arcata seems to be in tact which is good for them. Not good for me as a Eureka resident.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I am commenting on Districts 3 and 4 or on this proposal, C and D. I appreciate at this version is a less dramatic split of Eureka than Plan A, and it is in closer proximity to Arcata. But it is still a significant departure from the current District 4 map, as it takes more of North and East Eureka than the districts currently are. I believe the current District 4 map covering Eureka works well and does not need to be changed short of inching a block or two for population related needs.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

I live in District 4 or on this map, D. I very much like this map as it keeps most of the City of Eureka in the same district. I have no changes for this map.

Question 37

I live in District 4 or on this map, D. I very much like this map as it keeps most of the City of Eureka in the same district.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

I would not change anything

Question 46

I would keep the coverage of the City of Eureka the same as it keeps it mostly in tact. I find it very important for the City of Eureka to remain together as much as possible within a district. And this is a very strong reflection of that. Thank you very much for offering a Plan which honors this.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Thank you so much for your time and effort on this topic. And thank you for being open-minded about the possibilities and improvements that this process offers.

I would like to again explain why I believe Eureka should remain mostly in tact for county districts, specifically why I am so opposed to Draft A.

A city shares so many different interests, including Eureka: history, commerce, parks, trails, highways, waterfront, bay, culture, communication, government, relationships, and programs.

Unlike cities such as in the bay area, Eureka does not border any other City. When leaving City limits in all directions, it becomes unincorporated county. Arcata is 7 miles north separated by a highway, and Fortuna or Ferndale is over 17 miles South separated by highway. Due to the isolated nature of the City of Eureka, I have not heard of a strong justification why Eureka needs to be split into 2 districts. Returning to the bay area reference, many cities border each other with invisible lines where cities bleed into each other and I can understand how in those situations cities may not get to stick entirely together in a district. This is not the case for Eureka.

After reviewing the committee meetings, I understand that there has not been a huge population shift in the districts. It sounds like we could inch over some lines slightly, and meet the requirements of the state. Rather, the committee sees an opportunity for more improvement beyond the state requirements. Which is awesome! But please, splitting Eureka is not an improvement.

The City of Eureka has the following Community of Interest that I can think of:

-Highway 101 goes right through town- this is unique and comes with many challenges (we have a very high pedestrian vs. vehicle rate and vehicle vs. vehicle rate)

-Parks and the Eureka Waterfront Trail

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

- Cultural Arts District Designation
- Old Town Eureka
- Eureka Main St.
- The Eureka Chamber of Commerce
- Walkability- you can walk from one end of the City to the other easily, meaning community networking and relationships are strong without being next-door neighbors
- The Humboldt Bay
- The Eureka Public Marina
- Woodley Island
- Humboldt Bay Harbor District
- History, many nationally registered historic places
- Government- The City of Eureka and Eureka City Council and related commissions and public services
- Public Art- over 100 murals

I am sure there are even more I could add. The only overlaps I see with Arcata that cannot be found with any other city or region in the county include proximity to the bay and ocean. Homelessness and housing are county-wide issues not unique to any City.

Lastly, I will say I wholeheartedly disagree that West Eureka and Arcata have more in common than West Eureka and Eureka due to rental rates and housing needs. Housing is a countywide issue and rental houses seem random as compared to every other social issue on our plates these days.

Thank you so much for this opportunity to fill out this survey and providing options. I hope you get a lot of responses and encourage you to place any paid advertising you can to get the word out about this community process. If for some reason the districts become dramatically changed, I really hope everyone it affects gets the opportunity before finalized to weigh in. I only just caught wind of this last week due to Lost Coast Outpost and I am very engaged in local issues. But I understand the challenges of getting the word out especially on issues which might seem boring to the average citizen.

Thank you again to everyone involved in this important public process. Your transparency has been stellar.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 8, 2021, 6:17 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Reunite the City of Eureka moving the "Arcata part" back together with the rest of Eureka

Question 10

Keeping Arcata together

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Reunite Eureka and cut off the district to the East and South

Question 13

The urban Eureka part

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 17

Splitting up Eureka in this way looks a lot like gerrymandering. It would drastically change the political slant of the districts in favor of conservatives.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

It's hard to tell details with this general of a map, but it looks pretty good.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This is the best one because it keeps contiguous areas together without changing the current districts a lot.

Question 51

Please don't adopt a map that changes the political nature of the districts. The communities of interest represented by the cities in Humboldt are important. The drafts that put Eureka with Arcata combined working class renters with college student renters and they have very little in common.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 9, 2021, 5:18 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

The difficult question is where to put the Willow Creek area. To have a district that extends from Shelter Cove to Willow Creek seems so geographically daunting for any supervisor to cover. They will have to constantly be on the road. Willow Creek would seem to belong better to any other District except D. I recognize that the challenge is to deal with the reality that the population centers are all in the north half of the county.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

The northern portion of Eureka is so different than Arcata with many different issues. Arcata seems more connected to Blue Lake.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This option seems to make the most sense to me. It does not split up Southern Humboldt, keeps Willow Creek with Hoopa, Orleans, and McK north, keeps Arcata whole.

Question 51

Thank you for your efforts!

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 9, 2021, 7:19 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2.Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4.Represents my community very well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No, but for my address this is better.

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 9, 2021, 7:24 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Willow Creek is an integral part of Northeast Humboldt. McKinleyville is not--it is much more closely allied with the population centers. If you need to balance population (a good idea) then please include less in the McK area and include Willow Creek to the Trinity County border in District E.

Question 4

everything west of Lord Ellis pass.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Dividing Eureka needs more explanation as to why there and why this is a good idea.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

Willow Creek is an integral part of Northeast Humboldt. McKinleyville is not--it is much more closely allied with the population centers. If you need to balance population (a good idea) then please include less in the McK area and include Willow Creek to the Trinity County border in this district.

Question 16

The rest of the map. This includes much of Karuk, Yurok, Hupa, and other tribal ancestral territories, including the Trinity and Klamath Rivers and the north coast of Humboldt County.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Willow Creek is an integral part of Northeast Humboldt. McKinleyville is not--it is much more closely allied with the population centers. If you need to balance population (a good idea) then please include less in the McK area and include Willow Creek to the Trinity County border in District E.

Question 21

Everything west of Lord Ellis.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

Willow Creek is an integral part of Northeast Humboldt. McKinleyville is not--it is much more closely allied with the population centers. If you need to balance population (a good idea) then please include less in the McK area and include Willow Creek to the Trinity County border in District E.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

not clear why District D would have so much of the coast north of its population.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

Please report Native populations in your census reporting for all plans. Other designations are important but Natives are an important component of Humboldt County.

Question 49

Better than draft plans A and B, thoroughly includes the Native communities within Humboldt County.

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 9, 2021, 7:43 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

This is the most gerrymandered, bizarre district of them all. Even if the elected supervisor had an electric car, they probably couldn't get to all their constituents in one charge.

Question 4

I still do not know why the southernmost districts are not divided horizontally on the map as opposed to this difficult-to-travel arrangement. Just cut off the north part--the arm that goes to Willow Creek and paste it on to the south. Petrolia and Willow Creek in the same district makes no sense.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Why is the cut between districts vertical as opposed to horizontal? Is Southern Humboldt so distinctly different on the east and west sides?

Question 7

The more southern part.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Get Eureka out! Include the Bottom--it is part of Arcata. Blue Lake shares more with Arcata than western Eureka.

Question 10

Arcata and the Peninsula fit well together.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Maintain Eureka as an entity; do not exclude one portion.

Question 13

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Most of Eureka is included.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

Willow Creek is not included, however Hoopa and environs are. Is the supervisor supposed to drive over Bald Hills to access his or her district? Ditto for including what looks like the Arcata Bottom. Districts need to be contiguous by transportation in order to make sense. Are we not supposed to be decreasing transportation-related emissions?

Question 16

Most of the north part of the district remains as it has been, which makes sense.

Question 17

Without having to access the guidelines for civility I would like to say, "What were you thinking?" Districts need to be contiguous in order for supervisors to be able to serve them adequately, particularly during winter and other times of hardship or disaster which may be coming our way, especially if we ignore that more than half of Humboldt County's pollution comes from vehicles. A supervisor should not have to tour portions of another elected official's territory in order to access his or hers.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

Makes the most sense.

Question 37

Of the options, this is best for the supervisor. It is contiguous and

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

accessible.

Question 38

No response

Question 39

Looks good.

Question 40

This looks like the easiest way for the supervisor of this district to access constituents.

Question 41

No response

Question 42

Looks good.

Question 43

Despite the strange shape, this one makes sense when considering roads and access.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

This one makes sense.

Question 46

Everything.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

This makes the most sense.

Question 49

Looks good.

Question 50

The districts look manageable. Bearing in mind that a supervisor needs access to his or her district, this overall provides that the best of the options.

Question 51

Plan A and B both have the same shortcomings. My comments on Plan A and B would be the same, so I omitted commenting on B. Draft Plan C is the most intelligent and least gerrymandered. Please think of the poor supervisors who are going to have to drive around their districts to meet with their constituents. Petrolia and Willow Creek do not belong in the same district!

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 7:56 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

This split of Eureka doesn't make sense, the part carved off has more in common with the rest of Eureka (e.g other industrial areas and high

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

density housing in the downtown area) than Arcata.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Don't split Eureka this way

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Keeps most of the dense core of Eureka together

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

No response

Question 30

This later developed side of Eureka fits better with Arcata and unincorporated areas

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Don't like district A

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 9, 2021, 7:57 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Do not take the heart of Eureka out and join it with Arcata. Eureka is connected to its downtown - and should continue to be through coherent political representation. I live near Carson Park and this version of the map bifurcates Eureka in a way that doesn't make sense - joining the actual downtown (business district) of Humboldt County's largest city with another town altogether. There are almost no similarities here - while I've heard that this makes sense because of a "high proportion of renters," that logic only holds if you ignore all the other dissimilarities. Arcata has a disproportionately higher population of students (who may or may not vote in Humboldt county). The problems facing Arcata have more to do with wealth inequality and lack of housing options for students and lack of affordable housing for the middle class, while Eureka struggles with long-term homelessness. In addition, Eureka has a really vibrant art scene that is connecting the city; it has many events that draw people downtown from around the city. Carving out Eureka's downtown and connecting it with Arcata is really insulting.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

This makes more sense. Here, there is a coherence to Eureka (you can see the bulk of Eureka as one city). While I understand that the entirety of the city can't be in one district, here there is connection and a logic. You keep Eureka mostly represented as one. The outlying areas, which are commuter-oriented, are cut off... that makes more sense than cutting out the middle of the city.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

Again - there is some coherence here. Eureka is mostly intact. I probably prefer the second option (Draft Plan B) but from my perspective, this at least retains the bulk of Eureka as one political unit. I think the question (for me, from my admittedly narrow point of view) is whether east Eureka is more Eureka, or south Eureka is more Eureka. I would say that south Eureka seems more connected.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 9, 2021, 8:12 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 12

No response

Question 13

Like that fact that it includes Cutten and Southern Eureka together

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

Interesting idea to combine parts of Eureka with Arcata

Question 30

I like the idea, but don't think it represents the variety of communities well

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

Add more of the Indianola area to the plan

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 9, 2021, 8:57 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

This is a terrible idea. The geographic area encompassed is far too vast to be adequately represented. Willow Creek and Shelter Cove (at least it appears that way-these maps are awful!!!) in the same district? Are you kidding?

Question 4

These maps are terrible. One cannot get a good sense of what communities are included unless it's a large population center. One should be able to zoom in on these to see where the actual boundaries are.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

These maps are terrible. One cannot get a good sense of what communities are included unless it's a large population center. One should be able to zoom in on these to see where the actual boundaries are.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Whose absurd idea is it to incorporate Eureka and Arcata into the same district? Gerrymander much? It would appear from this lousy map that the Bottom might not even be included in this district! That's outrageous. The Bottom is in the Sphere of Influence of the City of Arcata. Why do this?

Question 10

You need to start again. These proposed districts are absurd.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Why is Eureka not one district? Why is part of Eureka included with Arcata and the Arcata Bottom not included with Arcata? This is ridiculous.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

The Bottom should be included with Arcata. Willow Creek and Hoopa should be together and from this crappy map, it appears they would be indifferent districts.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

This is an absurd district. It would be almost impossible for a supervisor to adequately represent constituents

Question 21

These maps are terrible. One cannot get a good sense of what communities are included unless it's a large population center. One should be able to zoom in on these to see where the actual boundaries are.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

These maps are terrible. One cannot get a good sense of what communities are included unless it's a large population center. One should be able to zoom in on these to see where the actual boundaries are.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

It appears that the Bottom is not included with Arcata whereas parts of Eureka are. This is absurd.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

No response

Question 32

Arcata needs to be included in this. It looks like (thought it's hard to tell from these lousy maps) that the Bottom and Arcata are not in the same district.

Question 45

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

This is the best of the current options

Question 36

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 50

This is the best of three not great options, at least as far as one can ascertain from these inadequate maps. Also, why do you not have Native American populations represented?

Question 38

No response

Question 51

These maps are terrible. One cannot get a good sense of what communities are included unless it's a large population center. One should be able to zoom in on these to see where the actual boundaries are. Please use better maps that allow on to zoom in to see boundaries more accurately. Please have more publicity about future meetings.

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 9:08 AM

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

This is the best of the current options

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 43

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 44

Question 4

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 9, 2021, 9:09 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No comment

Question 4

No comment

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No comment

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 7

No comment

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Remove Arcata; move Eureka boundary east to include core older neighborhoods

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Remove eastern portion of older Eureka neighborhoods and move them to Cs

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No comment

Question 16

No comment

Question 17

I am most concerned with the division within Eureka and would like to see the core older neighborhoods retained within District C.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No comment

Question 24

No comment

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

Move boundary east in Eureka

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

No comment

Question 30

No comment

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No comment

Question 33

No comment

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No comment

Question 37

No comment

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No comment

Question 40

No comment

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No comment

Question 43

No comment

Question 44

No response

Question 45

This is closest to what I consider my community.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No comment

Question 49

No comment

Question 50

I prefer Draft Plan C overall but more specifically, I think it best represents what I consider my community.

Question 51

My compliments to the commission for their work on this and presenting different plans.

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 9:45 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 9, 2021, 9:58 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Don't divide Eureka.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Eureka and Arcata should remain in tact and in separate districts.

Question 10

Nothing.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 12

Eureka should not be split across 2 districts.

Question 13

Nothing

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

The entire map looks like an elaborate gerrymander. Eureka and Arcata have distinct and different interests. To the greatest degree possible, each city should remain wholly within a single and separate district from one another.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

I would keep Arcata and Eureka separate to the greatest degree possible.

Question 27

The current map keeps Eureka largely in tact, which is an improvement over Draft Plan A.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

This total map is superior to Draft Plan A by a million miles. However, it could be improved by doing more to keep Eureka and Arcata in tact and separate as these are distinct communities with very partic interests. For example, Eureka's chief concerns are around the harbor and the impacts of being the seat of county government and social services, whereas Arcata's chief concerns are related to the University and housing.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 5

Question 45

Nothing.

Question 46

Everything. This map recognized Eureka's special concern with the Harbor District and keeps it in tact and separate from Arcata to the greatest degree possible.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This map is the best of the three because it keeps Arcata and Eureka separate and in tact to the greatest degree possible, recognizing their unique issues and constituencies.

Question 51

Please scrap Draft Plan A. It is unacceptable to divide Eureka into multiple districts in this way. Eureka is the seat of County Government with distinct interests and challenges. It should not be hacked into pieces and divided amongst its neighbors.

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 10:36 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

I would include Blue Lake in E, not A; the community has much more in common with communities/areas to the north than it does with the rest of proposed District A.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

It seems odd to include western parts of Eureka with Arcata, rather than

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

with the rest of Eureka.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

I'd include the western portions of proposed District C, so that the main areas of Eureka stay together

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

I would include Blue Lake in District E

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

I would include Blue Lake and areas along 299 between Arcata and Blue Lake in District E.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

I would like Blue Lake to be included in District E. It's really difficult to tell from the maps whether it is or not!

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This is my preferred option.

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 2

October 9, 2021, 12:27 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

not sure

Question 4

the lower coast SoHum together.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

it would be nice to see some place names included on the maps so i could see more clearly which communities are being included in each district. it feels a little like all the rural areas are being put in separate districts from the urban districts. i would like to see a little bit of both in each district.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 20

i don't see a change between draft plan A and plan B.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

why is there the blue shoreline for district A? does that include Shelter Cove? i am finding these maps hard to understand.

Question 37

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

not sure. it looks more representative of Southern Humboldt.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 9, 2021, 12:59 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

I'm not sure where Willow Creek should go, but it does not seem to fit with McKinleyville and points north

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Your options don't tell us what most would look for, that is, the change in political make-up. Do any of the changes result in the likely switch of a supervisor from one part to another?

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 1:56 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

I would include more of southern Humboldt in Dist. A and omit the long northeastern tail north of the Eel River.

Question 4

The area below the Eel River, excluding Fortuna.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

I would remove the area south of the Eel River and add the area east of Eureka up to the Mad River.

Question 7

I would keep the area between the Mad River and the Eel River.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I would exclude the area within Eureka and add the Arcata bottoms and the dense part of McKinleyville.

Question 10

I would keep the Samoa Peninsula and the City of Arcata,

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

I would add the part of Eureka that is currently placed in District C.

Question 13

I would keep all of the rest of Eureka that is currently in the district.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

I would remove Arcata bottoms, add Blue Lake, and the rest of the county down to District B.

Question 16

I would retain all of the northern part of the county.

Question 17

Placing part of Eureka and Arcata in the same district does not make sense to me. Please explain the motivation for doing so. Was it to consolidate minority populations in a single district? What other criteria are you trying to address?

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

I would remove the northeastern peninsula from the district and add as much of the south part of the county as needed.

Question 21

I would keep the area south of the Eel River.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

I would remove the southern part of the county and add the area including Blue Lake.

Question 24

I would keep Fortuna and the area north of the Eel River.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

Why does the map show the district extending into the Pacific Ocean on the north? There is no land there.

I would remove the Samoa Peninsula and add more of Eureka.

Question 27

I would keep the part of Eureka already in the district.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

I would add the Samoa Peninsula and the Arcata Bottoms and remove the part of Eureka currently shown.

Question 30

All of Arcata.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

I would remove the Arcata Bottoms and add Willow Creek.

Question 33

I would keep everything else.

Question 34

The area within the Pacific Ocean is odd.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

I would remove the areas shown that are in the Pacific Ocean.

Question 37

Everything else.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 39

Nothing

Question 40

Everything

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

I would move much of the southern part of the district from C to E and I would add the area along CA 299 to include Blue Lake.

Question 43

Everything else.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

I would remove the part of the district shown in the Pacific Ocean.

Question 46

Everything else.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

I would remove Blue Lake and the area along CA 299 and add the south eastern part of District C.

Question 49

Everything else.

Question 50

The parts of district A and D that are in the Pacific Ocean are very odd and especially so for District D. What's going on there? Is this just a mistake?

Question 51

I would urge the Commission to articulate the criteria used to define these

districts.

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 3:44 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

I would decrease the size by removing expansion from the Elk river east.

Question 4

Just the southern section.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

reduce in size below a line from the eastern terminus of the Mad river to the Elk river.

Question 7

southern section

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

lop off the ocean view section, combine with section D, extend south to the Elk river and east to the eastern border near Willow Creek, south to the section B border. Divide the result into 5 politically balanced sections. One section assigned to A, B, E and 2 remaining sections are C and D

Question 10

Eureka, Arcata and surrounding areas

Question 11

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 12

see district C

No response

Question 13

nothing

Question 26

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 15

add the new section

Question 28

No response

Question 16

as is

Question 29

No response

Question 17

politics is the important demographic. Better balance politically and not so many concentrations of each philosophy.

Question 30

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 20

whats different?

Question 33

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 34

same as A as far as I can see.

Question 22

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 25

Question 38

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 39

expand to the southwest to Elk river

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

this may be the most balance. I would need to see the political demographics as well.

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 5:36 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

Include Cuten

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

These maps are almost impossible to read online. Doubt that they will help you get useful information. I'm just guessing at where the boundaries are that affect my community because I can't actually see it.

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 6:36 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 5

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Kathleen Pelley

inside district 4

October 9, 2021, 8:29 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I cannot tell from your maps what district I would be in. I live in Myrtle town outside the Eureka City limits. Because there are no streets on the maps, I am very confused so I do not know to approach the issue.

Name not available

October 9, 2021, 9:34 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

South county and east county shouldn't be represented in the same district. This is not "contiguous" or "compact" in any definition of redistricting.

Question 4

The southern extent should remain but the eastern extent should be revised.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Arcata bottoms should be included within this District as it is an important resource for the associated Arcata community of interest. City of Eureka territory should be removed.

Question 10

Entire Samoa Peninsula should remain but not include Eureka side of the bay

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Same comments as before. Combining south and East county in one

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

district is not "contiguous " nor "compact".

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

This is probably the best fit for Eureka.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Include Arcata Bottoms and Old Arcata Road into this option.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

Should include the entire Eel River Valley including Ferndale.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

Most Southern extent should include Kneeland and Maple Creek but not go much more south than that.

Question 43

I like how this option includes Arcata Bottoms, Old Arcata Road, Bayside and Blue Lake. Overall a good option for this District.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

Seems like a good option for this District.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

So far Draft Plan C is best.

Name not available

inside district 1

October 9, 2021, 9:49 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

South county and east county shouldn't be represented in the same district. This is not "contiguous" or "compact" in any definition of redistricting.

Question 4

The southern extent should remain but the eastern extent should be revised.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Arcata bottoms should be included within this District as it is an important resource for the associated Arcata community of interest. City of Eureka territory should be removed.

Question 10

Entire Samoa Peninsula should remain but not include Eureka side of the bay

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

This district should include entire Eel River Valley including Ferndale.

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

I like how this district includes Arcata Bottoms, Old Arcata Road, Bayside and Blue Lake.

Question 27

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

Best option thus far for Eureka district, including Samoa Peninsula.

Question 30

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

I like how it include tribal communities and 299 corridor.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

So far Draft Plan C is the best.

Name not available

October 10, 2021, 9:48 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

This version definitely screws district lines giving much more power to District 1, which is the least representative district of the entire county.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Why can't areas East of Arcata and McKinleyville be made an additional district? District 2 is east of Fortuna and Eureka. Not sure why the northern part of the map can't be divided similarly.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

Like I stated in Plan A, this option gives far too much weight to District 1. Does not represent our county accurately in my opinion.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Why is race such a key component in these restricting maps. Why isn't socio economic info or family structure included? I don't understand the value of redefining districts based on race. Illustrating districts based on race on perpetuates racism. Why is this so important? Oh and my overall critique of this plan presentation, use better maps with more labels. It's really hard to see where districts and then begin because there are no labels relating to landscape features.

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

This option appears less skewed than the other two. however, it still doesn't represent McKinleyville's true population since it encompasses far more outlier rural areas whose characteristics are much different than the McKinleyville township. I believe there should be another District that includes everything in District e, options 1 through 3, east of the 299 and 101 junction to County line

Question 49

No response

Question 50

Create another district and include Blue Lake to Willow Creek. Use better math with more features making it easier for the reader to determine boundary lines.

Question 51

I feel the redefining of these boundary lines as presented in this survey with District A being the main benefactor of increased land area, and therefore outlier rural population, heavily skews the redistricting to emphasizing more conservative values then our County actually represents. I just don't understand why District a is shown as including so much land area that could obviously be divided up into other districts.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 7:17 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Willow Creek and Rio Dell are not the same community. Separate them.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 9

Eureka is not part of the Arcata community.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Add the rest of Eureka to this map.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

Too geographically spread out. Make the district close together.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Do not include Eureka in the Arcata district. These are two distinct communities.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Arcata identifies more with McKinleyville than with areas further south. Keep the areas closer together.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

This is ridiculous. How is Arcata grouped with areas as far south as Fortuna. This is NOT our Arcata community. Arcata identifies from Bayside north

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

This is a great district!

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Keep communities together. Arcata and McKinleyville share school and fire stations. Arcata is not like Eureka, separate them.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 8:06 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Horrible map. Based on what I can see, I am between C & D but cannot confirm looking at map which applies to me.

Question 10

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

District C should not include McKinleville. Nothing in common. Eureka District C represents commerce and a heavily populated (working and middle class community). The McKinleyville portion represents higher end houses and a county area that is not associated with any city or major commerce.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Again, map so horrible, I cannot even determine where I am at and I work with maps for a living. Unable to zoom in to determine.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 11, 2021, 8:13 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 11, 2021, 8:51 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Separating Eureka into multiple districts doesn't make sense. This will be confusing and will make City and County wide issues more difficult to manage.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

The demographic data captured to represent these regions is inadequate and incomplete. To ignore the high number of Native American residents of Humboldt County is to deny the existence and the needs of a large portion of our community.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

This is a much more logical map of this District. This will allow the residents of Eureka to be best represented.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 9:06 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

While I am not in this district, I think the boundaries look ridiculous, almost Gerrymandered. The area in the NE of the district has little in common with the area in the far south.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Arcata and Eureka should not be in the same district.

Question 10

Is all of Arcata in District C? I cannot tell. If so, then good--all of Arcata should be in 1 district.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

The issues I have with District D relate to my comments on District C. See above.

Question 13

Keep Eureka portions, but add to them from District C and add other areas to District C. See above.

Question 14

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

For each district, you should have a summary paragraph describing in detail the boundaries and what areas are included. It is difficult to see this information from the map.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

While I am not in this district, I think the boundaries look ridiculous, almost Gerrymandered. The area in the NE of the district has little in common with the area in the far south.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

Add area east of Arcata to Blue Lake and Blue Lake area, and remove area south of Arcata between Eureka, to the extent possible while keeping the correct populations.

Question 30

Is all of Arcata in District D? I cannot tell. If so, then good--all of Arcata should be in 1 district.

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

For each district, you should have a summary paragraph describing in detail the boundaries and what areas are included. It is difficult to see this information from the map.

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

Is all of Arcata in District C? I cannot tell. If so, then good--all of Arcata should be in 1 district.

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

For each district, you should have a summary paragraph describing in detail the boundaries and what areas are included. It is difficult to see this information from the map.

Question 51

For each district, you should have a summary paragraph describing in detail the boundaries and what areas are included. It is difficult to see this information from the map.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 9:24 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

I would remove parts of Fortuna and expand southern Humboldt to the east. District A should not represent Fortuna.

Question 4

I would keep all outlying areas of municipalities with Draft A.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

I would make sure that Plan A covers all of Fortuna and less of northeastern Humboldt

Question 7

I would keep the parts of Fortuna as is

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I would remove all of the western part of Eureka and expand this district towards Freshwater and Bayside. Freshwater and Bayside best represents Sunnybrae area and Samoa and Manila. You could also expand Arcata to include all areas south of Mad River and west of Arcata. It doesn't make sense to divide the Arcata bottoms.

Question 10

I would keep central Arcata as is.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 12

I would expand west District D to include all of Eureka. You can also also give Freshwater area to District C or give the southern part of District D to District A

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

I would provide areas south of the Mad river to District C and expand eastern Humboldt to the south, towards Willow Creek.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

I would not include Fortuna in this district. I would expand District a to south eastern Humboldt.

Question 21

Keep: Willow Creek, Ferndale, Humboldt Hill, Fields Landing areas as is.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

I would make sure District B covers all of Fortuna and give up south eastern Humboldt to District A.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

This plan works.

Question 27

I like that most of Eureka is together and eastern part of Eureka is with the Arcata district.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

This plan works

Question 30

I like keeping eastern Eureka with Arcata district.

Question 31

No response

Question 32

Nothing

Question 33

All of it

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

I would remove the south eastern part of District C and provide that to District A.

Question 37

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Keeping Arcata together

Question 38

No response

Question 39

Nothing...this looks good.

Question 40

All of it

Question 41

No response

Question 42

Move the southeastern part of this district to District A.

Question 43

Keep all of Arcata and Arcata bottoms together.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

Nothing

Question 46

I like dividing Eureka north and south. Those two areas have different socio economic demographics

Question 47

No response

Question 48

Nothing this looks good

Question 49

I would keep this district as is.

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Plan A is the worst plan out of the three.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 11:46 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Eureka should be it's own district. Other population centers and surrounding rural areas should be localized. Willow Creek's district should not extend to the coast and all the way to the Southern Border of Humboldt County.

Question 4

Extend Southerly and Northerly along the interior of Humboldt County to add population to Willow Creek. Ferndale, Petrolia, etc. should not be grouped in with Willow Creek.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

Include Fortuna and Ferndale in same district. Add population in a more East/West orientation and less North/South. The lower Eel River Valley should be in the same district.

Question 7

The upper Eel River, Southern Humboldt, Southeast Humboldt in same district. Southwest Humboldt including Shelter Cove should be added to this district.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Eureka and Arcata should be separated.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 10

Include the bay communities, more North/South separation for population.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Keep more of Eureka together. Add more bay.

Question 13

Greater Eureka area.

Question 14

No response

Question 15

Remove Arcata and add Willow Creek area.

Question 16

Northern Humboldt.

Question 17

Redraw lines based on population centers and surrounding areas. Ignore where current politicians live. Don't gerrymander from the coast to extreme east Humboldt County. Keep school districts together in same supervisor district.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

See prior comments.

Question 21

See prior comments.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

See prior comments.

Question 24

See prior comments.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Better, still include more of Eureka.

Question 27

As much of the Southern Bay as possible.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Remove Eureka.

Question 30

More northern bay.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

Remove more Arcata, add Willow Creek.

Question 33

Northern County.

Question 34

Better, but still too much gerrymandering.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 36

Add Fortuna to A. Add to B from C and E.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

Remove Fortuna.

Question 40

Southern and Eastern Humboldt.

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

Remove Eastern Eureka.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

Add more Eureka.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

Best option yet, but still too much separation of the lower Eel River Valley.

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 11, 2021, 1:30 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Do not lump west Eureka with Arcata. They are different communities with different interests and needs.

Question 10

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

West Eureka should not be separate from the rest of Eureka.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

Include more of unincorporated Eureka.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 1:50 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I live in the Fortuna area. None of these options shows enough detail for me to know what district I would be in. I think the cities of Arcata, Eureka, and Fortuna should not be split up. The city limits of those locations (plus surrounding neighborhoods to achieve 27K residents) comprise 3 districts. The remaining two districts should represent Rural North and Rural South.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 3:32 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

More of Eureka needs to be contiguous, this is a terrible idea.

Question 10

Nothing.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Needs to keep west Eureka with the rest of Eureka to the extent possible.

Question 13

Needs to be reworked, other alternatives are much better.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

Nothing. Much better than Plan A!

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

This is a pretty good adjustment overall!

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

Pretty good, but Plan B is better.

Question 51

Plan A is absolutely terrible and makes no sense. It doesn't meet requirements for redistricting. Please throw out that option. Plan B is preferred, plan C is fine.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 3:35 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Shelter Cove is economically connected to Redway and Garberville and it is where the primary access comes from and to. The law states it must stay connected, period.

Question 4

Nothing. It is an abomination.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

See Above

Question 7

See above

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I stopped this sort of plan 30 years ago and will do what is necessary to stop it again.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

See my comments from Draft A. They were the same.

Question 21

Absolutely nothing.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

See comments on Draft A. This will not stand up in court.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 39

Nothing

Question 40

Best of a bad lot. Keep Shelter Cove in the Second and you won't suffer any grief.

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 6:19 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

The idea that the Arcata Bottoms, a key part of the town historically and geographically, would be part of McKinleyville which is separated by the Mad River and has not a single road connecting it to the Bottoms, is practically insane. The Bottoms have no separation whatsoever from Arcata while McKinleyville is literally across a major body of water. The idea that part of the City of Eureka, again separated geographically and historically, would become part of Arcata is once again to discount over a century of precedent, local geography, local cultural divergences and generally shows a lack of understanding of the areas people and politics.

Question 16

Nothing.

Question 17

See above. The Bottoms ARE a key and contiguous part of Arcata. The land which is clearly part of Eureka and like the Arcata Bottoms is geographically, logistically and socially contiguous with Eureka needs to remain part of Eureka. Please do not whack up the Humboldt Bay area in

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

a nonsensical manner based on computer projections and discussions at HSU. I was born and raised in Arcata and teach at a local high school. The geographical and city-centric differences I allude to are real. Please do not cut cities with established zones and politics in pieces based on some ideas from a think-tank.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

See comments on first page.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

See comments on first page about Arcata Bottoms.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

See comments on first page about Arcata Bottoms and proposed addition of portion of Eureka to Arcata.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

See previous comments.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

See previous comments.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

The purport of all my comments may be summed up as follows: geographical separation is a real thing and the idea that the Arcata Bottoms could be part of McKinleyville (even in redistricting) or that a very clear part of Eureka could be added to Arcata completely discounts the geography. In addition to being currently geographically contiguous, these two areas are socially, structurally, architecturally, logistically contiguous. The idea of redistricting them seems the conception of someone with no conception of the local people, their own perception of their towns and past political history.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 11, 2021, 7:12 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

stretching from the southern edge of Eureka to Shelter Cove makes no sense at all, southern Humboldt should be a contiguous, separate district from anything north of Ferndale.

Question 4

Nothing, this district makes no sense in relation to the community

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

Combine all of the southern half of Humboldt (everything south of Fortuna), keep all Fortuna addresses together.

Question 7

Keeping the portions east of Fortuna together as one district

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Everything. Eureka and Arcata are 100% separated here, you would have to go through District D to get from the Eureka part of District C to the Arcata part. Eureka and Arcata are completely separate cities with very culturally separate communities.

Question 10

Nothing. This district makes zero sense.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Follow the zip code division a bit more closely, 95501 and 95503. Neighborhoods south of Harris St and those on and north of Harris St could easily work as two separate districts.

Question 13

Keep Myrtle town with northeast Eureka, other than that this district doesn't make much sense.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

Combine the Arcata bottoms with the rest of Arcata, possibly exchange with Blue Lake or a similar sized community near Blue Lake.

Question 16

Everything else works well.

Question 17

Overall, this map demonstrates a completely uninformed concept of how Humboldt county's communities are realistically divided. There is a natural division in Eureka, and that is the division between north and south. The further north you go, the more cohesive the northern section of Eureka is. I've lived in Eureka my entire life in a few different neighborhoods, and I've been a delivery driver in Eureka for 2 years. The natural divisions are clear, and feedback from Eureka residents will reflect this.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

I don't understand the need to separate the coastal portion of Southern Humboldt from the eastern portion, yet combine with communities with Eureka addresses. I also don't understand why Willow Creek and Shelter Cove should be in the same district, they are as different and far apart as 2 communities can be.

Question 21

Nothing. Needs to at least be split in half and reallocated.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 23

Same feedback from Draft Plan A applies here

Question 24

See above

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

This separation of Eureka is equally as uninformed as that found in Draft A.

Question 27

The only thing that makes this one better is that it doesn't combine Eureka with Arcata proper.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Everything. Myrtle town and Arcata are not contiguous and have nothing in common unless you combine the rural section of Myrtle ave and Old Arcata Road with one or the other.

Question 30

Keep Myrtle town together and keep these parts of Arcata together, but not combined as one district.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

I've noticed Hoopa isn't combined with Willow Creek but it should be. If you have to drive through another district to get from one part to the other, it is likely poorly drawn. Also combine the Arcata bottoms with the rest of Arcata. Other than that, the rest of Northern Humboldt looks correct.

Question 33

Combine McKinleyville, Trinidad, Orick, and the communities directly east of them.

Question 34

Similar sentiment from Draft Plan A applies here, although Draft Plan B is slightly better than the former.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

Kneeland could be part of A, B or E. The southern section of Eureka should not be combined with Ferndale, Fortuna, or Petrolia.

Question 37

Pretty much everything else.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

I don't see Fortuna proper as part of southern Humboldt. Inland areas slightly north could be combined instead and still make sense.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

Leave Kneeland out, and combine with the rest of the Giuntolli region of Arcata.

Question 43

Everything else

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 5

Question 45

This is the best representation of Eureka that I can imagine. No changes.

Question 46

Everything.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

Nothing

Question 49

Everything

Question 50

This is much better than Draft Plan A or B.

Question 51

Draft Plan C is the only plan that makes actual sense in regards to community divisions, especially with regards to Eureka. Eureka should be divided primarily between north and south, and the southern coast of Humboldt should be combined with the rest of Humboldt that is south of Fortuna.

Name not shown

inside district 1

October 11, 2021, 7:27 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Keep First District more cohesive and not run on north and east - very different climate zones so different conditions of life

Question 4

Take in more of southern Humboldt

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

goes too far north

Question 7

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

absolutely crazy to have Eureka and Arcata in the same district for supervisor. They have city councils in each city. Respect those jurisdictions and not break Arcata into Eureka. Remember the Eureka voters passed a measure to have zone council members rather than at large. Neighborhoods matter.

Question 10

nothing - terrible plan

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

too far north n inland - widely different communities, climates, terrain

Question 21

just the southern part

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Breaks up the 101/Eel communities - no good

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

again, no good to mix eureka and arcata. they already have representatives on city councils - keep them separate

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

Take in more of fortuna

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

get rid of fortuna in the north as much as possible

Question 40

south county stays together

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

nothing

Question 46

all

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This plan c is the best of the 3 offered. The maps are very hard to read and it seems crazy not to offer better maps with more detail when asking questions about them. This committee is not trying hard to help citizens offer comments because we can't see the details.

Question 51

Why not offer the download of maps before starting the survey? What's the point of that? Big disservice. I just downloaded a map thinking I would get some detail but the downloads are no better than this website.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 7:28 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Keep Willow Creek, Blue Lake, etc. separate from areas South of Eureka. There is no reason reflective of community to mash these communities together.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

This is just bizarre. Arcata and Eureka are separate population centers with their own concerns and outlooks. I can't think of any legitimate reason why an entity would be in favor of this map.

Question 10

I wouldn't.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

I can't ignore that missing chunk of Eureka. Carving out bits of a city is not the way to solve a fairly minor County redistricting issue.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

If the map creator hadn't shoved parts of Eureka into Arcata, they might not have needed to give parts of Arcata to points North. Again, this is irrational unless there is some non community-centric agenda behind it.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Re. most of the County: Gerrymandering is not pretty.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Stop the "District A" land grab. Points East and North of Eureka don't belong with the area to the South of it.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

What a mess. Eureka and Arcata are their own places and communities. Splitting each of them down the center is absurd and ugly, in the political sense.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Put the contiguous areas back together. Eureka doesn't go with Manila across the Bay. Arcata does not go with Myrtle town. What even is this?? So many neighbors would be nonsensically split from each other by these lines.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Again, McKinleyville reaching into parts of Arcata for no known reason.

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

When the lines on the map go squiggly in novel ways, especially when dealing with small population numbers, it's not the community at large's voice and well being that's being put foremost.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

Seems all right.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

Given our population numbers, this is a good compromise

Question 50

Not sure what's up with those bands of ocean. Can't zoom in enough on the images to tell if there's something odd that's requiring their presence or if they're just an artifact of the map labeling. Given the options here, this one is the only one that's even reasonable so it's hard to quibble about slight redraws, but also I am not directly affected by the new lines.

Also this page - as it's showing for me - only has the check box for the full county option of Draft Plan C above, and then immediately switches to the detailed questions for "District A" without showing the detailed image of that area or the check boxes. This is different to the other two proposals. Not sure if some code is not displaying appropriately or if the page did not load correctly. Something to consider as the survey responses are compared.

Question 51

It would be good to highlight these "Download Copies of each draft" links on the pages of the survey discussing each.

Perhaps this is the better place to note that the Draft Plan C page did not display entirely correctly for me - Change/Keep for Full Option and Image and Check Boxes for District A were missing.

Certainly these options are a tremendous reminder to stay involved with local government processes at all times. I thank County workers for their efforts in putting this information and survey forward for the public.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 11, 2021, 7:52 PM

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I live in downtown Eureka and feel splitting of downtown and Eureka's commercial area between two districts does not represent my community. West and north Eureka have a lot in common in terms of opportunities and challenges and should in my view be kept together as a district.

Question 10

I appreciate the communities of the Samoa Peninsula being under the same district as they face the same challenges of sea level rise and economic development and have been working together through community initiatives on cross-peninsula issues.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Same answer as above.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Full county comment - Draft A appears to give much more representation in multiple districts to far flung rural areas sacrificing the community connectedness of downtown Eureka.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 26

Keep west and north Eureka in same district as has same identity, challenges and opportunities

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

Have the Samoa Peninsula together in one district

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

Downtown and commercial Eureka together in one district as has community connectedness and shared identity

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 8:05 PM

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

My neighborhood of West Eureka does not belong with Arcata. I can see no real reason for this other than political gerrymandering to corral lower income people and allegedly more progressive people all into the same district.

Question 10

I could see Manila and Samoa as part of Arcata being on HWY 255.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

It should include the rest of Eureka, my neighborhood of "West Eureka."

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Probably include Myrtle town.

Question 27

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

Maybe add both Manila & Samoa to Arcata district but I don't feel strongly about that.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

This includes most of what I think of as Eureka including my neighborhood of West Eureka, which should not be part of Arcata.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 8:24 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Stupid and sucks

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

Draw it in a way so I could see it! Honestly this plan sucks also

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Sucks.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

I'm on Fickle Hill right near Lazy L Stables. I like this plan because it keeps me in Arcata. I don't really agree politically w/ Arcata but I go there all the time because Fickle Hill Rd goes into Arcata and so that is the closest town to shop in. This plan keeps Eureka w Eureka and Arcata w/ Arcata - which is what YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO DO!! The other two maps are jokes and gerrymandered pieces of crap. One plan has me in the same district as Briceland! Are you kidding?! This map is the only one that remotely makes sense. And I'm kinda pissed off that I even have to take 5 minutes to tell you so...Because...This is YOUR job! Why aren't you doing it straightforward instead of creating problems? Really- Do Your Job

Question 51

No response

Jim Martin

inside district 1

October 11, 2021, 8:43 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

Nothing!

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 4

As is!

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Nothing!

Question 7

As is!

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Do not incorporate the City of Eureka with the City of Arcata!

Question 10

Keep it north of the Samoa & Woodley Island area.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Add the Northwest area of Eureka to the "Draft Plan A, District D".

Question 13

The rest of the area shown!

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Nothing!

Question 16

As is!

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 20

Nothing!

Question 21

As is!

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Nothing!

Question 24

As is!

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

Extend the Easterly line to the East of Myrtle Ave (Eureka Slough) and end the northerly line at the junction Samoa Bridge and Route 255.

Question 27

The rest of the area!

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

End the Southerly boundary at Murray Field; extend South westerly to Samoa bridge.

Question 30

The rest of the plan.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

Nothing!

Question 33

As is!

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

Nothing!

Question 37

As is!

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

Nothing!

Question 40

As is!

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

Nothing!

Question 43

As is!

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

Nothing!

Question 46

As is!

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

Nothing!

Question 49

As is!

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Thank you for the chance to share my thought's!

Name not available

inside district 3

October 11, 2021, 8:56 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Definitely would not add part of Eureka to Arcata, that is ridiculous.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Horrible idea, throw it in the garbage.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Would not have part of Eureka as part of Arcata. It does not make sense at all.

Question 30

Nothing.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 34

Horrible map, not sure why on earth anyone would add part of Eureka to Arcata other than for blatant gerrymandering reasons.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

It is the best of the three maps as far as the whole county goes.

Question 51

Not really happy with the gerrymandering that Virginia Bass is doing to try to keep her seat. Based on this fact draft 3 is the only one that makes sense.

Name not available

October 11, 2021, 9:38 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 11, 2021, 10:29 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

The state constitution requires the preservation of the geographic integrity of cities to whatever extent possible as well as "encouraging compactness". This district fails these charges and leaves a substantial chunk of Eureka in a culturally and geographically separate Arcata.

Question 10

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

I feel there is some merit to keeping Manila, Samoa, and Fairhaven together.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

As above: The state constitution requires the preservation of the geographic integrity of cities to whatever extent possible as well as "encouraging compactness". This district fails these charges and leaves a substantial chunk of Eureka in a culturally and geographically separate Arcata.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Draft Plan A, District C appears to be, at best, a poor attempt at redistricting that flies in the face of requirements laid out in the California Constitution and, at worst, gerrymandering. The geographical gymnastics required for the Draft A, District C to annex a portion of Eureka and place it into a district with Arcata boggle the mind and hint at the untoward.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

It's a bit hard to see on the maps provided, but having less of the southern reach of Eureka in Draft B, District C and more of the northeastern side of Eureka would make sense.

Question 27

I like that it largely keeps Eureka whole while keeping some of the exurbs of Arcata and Eureka that are culturally similar together. I also like that it keeps the North peninsula together.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

I'd like to see more continuity on the south end of Eureka, possibly at the expense of the eastern end of the district.

Question 46

I like that it preserves Eureka to a large extent.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I really hope that the Redistricting Advisory Commission rethinks Draft Plan A. This map is poorly implemented and against guidelines for redistricting set forth by the California Constitution.

Name not available

October 12, 2021, 9:16 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 9

No response

Question 10

Nothing

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

This is extremely weird, but I suppose it's necessary to balance the population? I don't know, the Willow Creek to Petrolia district is very strange. Maybe it's a good thing to have more population centers like Willow Creek in the district.

Question 21

Not sure.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 29

I think combining Blue Lake, Arcata and perhaps even Willow Creek makes more sense, and then the population of Myrtle town could be captured in the district that currently is shown as including WC.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

This is the best option for the Eureka community for the most part, but putting Myrtle town in with Arcata still seems odd. Why not have Arcata

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

with Blue lake and Willow creek? They're more similar.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Plan A is the pits.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 12, 2021, 9:34 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

District A covers too many communities with disparate cultural and geographical identities. It would be incredibly challenging for any elected official to give all the communities within their district attention, because it can be a three hour drive between the northern parts of the proposed district and the southern parts. Shelter cove has VERY different needs and interests than unincorporated Eureka, and both are very different than the eastern edge of the County.

I would change everything about this district boundary. Perhaps a feasible option would be to have "A" represent the communities south of Humboldt Bay but north of the Avenue of the Giants. So Fortuna, Rio Dell, out to Dinsmore. And the move "B" to capture southern Humboldt with all the communities on the Avenue, Shelter Cove, and Petrolia.

Question 4

ABSOLUTELY NOTHING

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 6

Like I mentioned under "A", perhaps a feasible option would be to have "A" represent the communities south of Humboldt Bay but north of the Avenue of the Giants. So Fortuna, Rio Dell, out to Dinsmore. And the move "B" to capture southern Humboldt with all the communities on the Avenue, Shelter Cove, and Petrolia.

Question 7

It has a contiguous boundary that is fairly easy to identify and follow. It represents communities with similar identities.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

EVERYTHING. The boundary is hard to understand, make sense of, and describe to others without looking at a map. Arcata and Eureka are not the same community. I would try to keep both cities as intact as possible and not split them between districts. I would modify this boundary to exclude Eureka but retain the Samoa peninsula, and then expand it to pick up more outlying areas surrounding Arcata. If anything, Arcata and McKinleyville should be in the same district. They have more commonalities with McKinleyville residents who often work and shop in Arcata, and their fire district serves both communities. Adding in Willow Creek could make sense too. Certainly more so than Eureka.

Question 10

Arcata and the Samoa peninsula make sense together. Otherwise, nothing.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

I would expand the boundary to include the parts of Old Town, the Clark District, and generally the north western parts of Eureka it currently excludes. And then to balance the population, exclude areas such as Indianola, Brainard, and other unincorporated areas that are more suburban-rural.

Question 13

Keep the parts of Eureka that are within city limits and if possible, some of the unincorporated areas that have strong identity ties with Eureka, such as Myrtle town, Rosewood, and Bayview.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

I would remove the Arcata Bottoms and other communities directly adjacent to Arcata. Then add Willow Creek and Blue Lake.

Question 16

It attempts to capture the northern communities of the County.

Question 17

The Draft Plan as a whole makes zero sense to me as a Humboldt County resident who has worked or lived in almost all of the County. As a whole, it splits several communities of interest into different districts (Eureka, Arcata, McKinleyville), covers too many geographically different areas, and makes it hard for the average person to describe and understand. Please, please, please do not use this map to redraw the district boundaries.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

It covers too many disparate areas, both geographically and culturally. I would remove the northern section above the 36.

Question 21

Nothing.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Expand it to include the western half of southern Humboldt and exclude the northeastern portion.

Question 24

Nothing

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 26

I would exclude the samoa peninsula and include more of the outlying areas around Eureka like Myrtle town.

Question 27

The district captures most of the community of Eureka.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Arcata and Myrtle town are not the same. Exclude Indianola and everything south. Expand it to include the Arcata bottoms and other areas more directly surrounding Arcata.

Question 30

The contiguity of most of Arcata in one district.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

Remove the arcata bottoms and add in willow creek and blue lake.

Question 33

It captures the more rural northern Humboldt all in one district.

Question 34

The option splits major communities into different districts.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

I would make some minor adjustments to the districts, but generally it does a great job of capturing similar communities and geographic areas.

Question 37

It keeps similar communities together in their respective districts. It has logical boundaries that are easy to understand.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

I would have it cover the Samoa Peninsula and then remove the areas on the eastern edge of Eureka.

Question 43

Arcata and its surrounding communities are kept together.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

I would remove the Samoa Peninsula and include more areas of Eureka on the south of the proposed boundary.

Question 46

Most of Eureka is kept together in the same district.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

Nothing. Looks great!

Question 49

Everything.

Question 50

Of the three options, this one makes the most sense and most appropriately captures the different Humboldt communities into similar districts.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 51

No response

Ron Dawson

inside district 3

October 12, 2021, 10:03 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

No response

Question 4

Living near Freshwater puts me in district 3 along with Arcata under Mike Wilson. Their interests and concerns do not reflect our rural and more conservative interests

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

This map is a non starter as it doesn't allow zooming in for enough detail to locate my home

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

Again this map does not provide enough detail zooming and street detail to locate my home

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

inside district 1
October 12, 2021, 12:42 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Keep old town and downtown eureka together.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Keep old town and downtown eureka together.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Keep old town and downtown eureka together.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Keep old town and downtown eureka together.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Keep old town and downtown eureka together.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Keep old town and downtown eureka together.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 12, 2021, 4:09 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 3

The Willow Creek area doesn't have much in common with the Southern Humboldt coast, but shares a lot with Hoopa, Orleans, etc.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Splitting Southern Humboldt in half doesn't make much sense. It is a relatively coherent community in its entirety. Similarly, splitting Fortuna in half doesn't make much sense either.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Eureka and Arcata should be in their own districts. Splitting Eureka in two and lumping it with Arcata, despite being connected by nothing but water, makes no sense.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Keep Eureka together!

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Keep Arcata in its own district, and keep Willow Creek with the rest of northeastern Humboldt.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

Willow Creek should be with other communities on 299 and 96, not with the Southern Humboldt coast.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Fortuna and Ferndale should be unified, and southern Humboldt should be too.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

Keep Eureka together, don't split it half.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Keep Eureka & Arcata in their own districts.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

Keep Arcata together, and keep Willow Creek with the rest of northeastern Humboldt.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

Fortuna/Ferndale should be the center of their own district, not split apart and lumped with southern Eureka.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

Keep Ferndale/Fortuna together

Question 40

Keep Southern Humboldt together, possibly with adjacent rural areas of Eastern Humboldt.

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

Arcata doesn't share much with Freshwater, Kneeland, etc.

Question 43

Keep Arcata the center of its own district.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

Replace Manila/Samoa with adjacent parts of south Eureka; put Manila/Samoa in the Arcata district.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

The County has 4 clear population centers: McKinleyville, Arcata, Eureka, and Fortuna/Ferndale. They should each be the heart of their own districts, with the fifth district encompassing the vast rural areas of the county.

Name not available

October 12, 2021, 4:49 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Jeff Witter

inside district 4

October 12, 2021, 5:16 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

It seems strange to include such a large portion of eastern Humboldt in this district - it's a three hour drive from Willow Creek to Shelter Cove, and it's hard to argue they're in the same community/

Question 4

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

This seems like a logical district to me.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

This district would include my home, and I'm frankly a little bit disturbed by this proposed district. There's no logic, in my mind, to grouping Arcata and Eureka together into the same district. They are different communities, with different issues and needs. Eureka is arbitrarily divided along a north-south axis. To me, this seems like a poorly-disguised attempt to pack as much of urban Humboldt into as small a district as possible.

Question 10

Nothing.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

To reiterate, I think it would be a grave mistake in political apportionment to put Eureka and Arcata into the same supervisorial district.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

Seems odd to have Willow Creek + Shelter Cove in the same district.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

This is not as egregious as Draft Plan A in its treatment of Eureka's West Side. The Samoa Peninsula would be natural addition here, but my biggest problem with this district is that it still splits Eureka down a north-south axis, dividing our city into two districts. Redistricting should focus on keeping defined, cohesive communities intact - and this map does not accomplish that. It would make a lot more sense to split southern Eureka from the rest of the city, if Eureka must be divided.

Question 27

I would keep the Samoa Peninsula in the same district as Eureka's West Side.

Question 28

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

This is a strange district, to me. The eastern portion of Eureka is carved out and bundled together with almost all of Arcata, stretching north as far as Highway 299. To me, this district seems designed to dilute the representation of Eureka's eastern residents.

Question 30

If this district stretched east to Blue Lake instead of south to Eureka, I think it'd make more sense.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Overall, this full county plan separates Eureka and Arcata along unnatural boundaries. It's better than Plan A, but not by much.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

Why does District A include such a long swath of ocean along the Lost Coast? Is Rex Bohn going to drive down there and hold meetings in the surf with the elephant seals?

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

District C keeps Arcata intact, adds Blue Lake, and I think it's totally logical to extend outwards to the Kneeland area to scoop up some of those communities. Looks good.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

Nothing, this district looks great.

Question 46

I feel that this Draft Plan C, District D by far goes the farthest in keeping my community intact. It does not divide Eureka along an artificial north-south line, and instead largely divides it along a natural boundary between Eureka proper and the communities to the south (Cутten, Rosewood, Pine Hill, etc.). A small portion of Southern Eureka is lost, but it's not much. I also really appreciate most of the Samoa Peninsula being included in this district.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

This is such a much better place for Willow Creek than the other draft plans - it is far more logical to include it with the other inland communities of Orleans and Weitchpec rather than putting it in a mega-district stretching to Shelter Cove.

Question 50

Draft Plan C is the clear winner of these three maps. It does far more to

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

keep communities together, and breaks down along more natural boundaries than the alternative options.

Question 51

Thanks for this opportunity to provide feedback!

Name not available

inside district 1

October 12, 2021, 7:46 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

Try to take more of Eureka out of this district and make it more rural

Question 4

It is nice to have a rural district that represents the less populated areas. When the rural districts include "highly populated" city areas, the voices in those areas are disproportionately represented, this map gives rural areas a stronger voice.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

It is refreshing to see looking at moving lines away from the status quo. This map allows for real change and real representation for like communities of interest. Shifting one block or two to the left or right doesn't allow for new thinking, changing communities, and like minded interests to be represented. It does allow for the people in power to maintain their holds on comfortable areas that they know they can win over and over again without ever listening to, in our particular case, "rural folks." In addition, the current break up of Eureka allows for the land owners to keep a grip on the renters. By putting the renters in a stronger community of interest it will give them more of a voice at the table. Don't forget about the people that rent spaces on boats.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 20

No response

Question 21

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

Pretty much what we have now.... same old business as usual.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 49

No response

Question 50

this is the same old, same old. Doesn't provide for strong rural representation.

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 12, 2021, 9:04 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 12, 2021, 10:15 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

This district makes no sense in terms of communities included - the coastal communities are totally different from the inland communities

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

This looks closer to the communities that should be grouped together.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 12, 2021, 11:00 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

This seems like a thinly veiled attempt to gerrymander the districts

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This is the only map that does not attempt to gerrymander the county. This map most fairly illustrates the population of our county

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 13, 2021, 7:25 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

Not a bit

Question 4

Looks great. All farmland and timber community

Question 5

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

This map looks at county in a fresh new way. Joining communities by similar experiences and needs rather than where a road happens to end is a much better way to vote.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Stop letting the supervisors pick the people they want to represent.
Power to the people.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 1

October 13, 2021, 11:00 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

Isolates the poorer side of Eureka with Arcata making the "red" portion of map a conservative enclave.
This is know as Gerrymandering.

Question 4

Move on to "Draft Plan B".

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 1

October 13, 2021, 11:06 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

A great deal. Why would Willow Creek be linked with the coast of Southern Humboldt? This map makes it look like its drawers either do not care generally or do not care to share their interest in a map of such shape.

Question 4

The are clustered around the southern end Eureka, the lower Elk River Valley, and the lower Eel River Valley.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Make it more like District B in Draft Plan C.

Question 7

The part that is like District B in Draft Plan C.

Question 8

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Make it more like District C in Draft Plan C. Also don't have it extend into, ya know, the ocean.

Question 10

The part that is like District C in Draft Plan C.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Make it more like District D in Draft Plan C.

Question 13

The part that is like District D in Draft Plan C.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Make it more like District E in Draft Plan C. Also don't have it extend into, ya know, the ocean.

Question 16

The part that is like District E in Draft Plan C.

Question 17

The entire county looks like a careless and/or compromised out-of-towner drew it.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Same as Plan A, District A: Why is Willow Creek linked with the Southern Humboldt Coast in one district? Make it look like District A in Plan C. And don't have the district go into, ya know, the ocean.

Question 21

The part that looks like District A in Plan C. Except for, ya know, the ocean.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Make it look like District B in Plan C.

Question 24

The part that looks like District B in Plan C.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

Make it look like District C in Plan C. And don't have the district go into, ya know, the ocean.

Question 27

The part that looks like District C in Plan C. Except for, ya know, the ocean.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Make it look like District D in Plan C.

Question 30

The part that looks like District D in Plan C.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

Make it look like District E in Plan C. And don't have the district go into, ya know, the ocean.

Question 33

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

The part that looks like District E in Plan C. Except for, ya know, the ocean.

Question 34

Plan B is too similar to Plan A in that looks like the lovechild of venality and carelessness.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

The ocean parts could be done away with.

Question 37

Other than the ocean, I'd call it close enough for government work.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

The ocean parts could be done away with.

Question 40

Other than the ocean, I'd call it close enough for government work.

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No change.

Question 43

I'd call it close enough for government work.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

The ocean parts could be done away with.

Question 46

Other than the ocean, I'd call it close enough for government work.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

The ocean parts could be done away with.

Question 49

Other than the ocean, I'd call it close enough for government work.

Question 50

This Plan C map produces the greatest continuity from our previous district maps, something of value to rural areas like our county. Humboldt doesn't change very rapidly, so let's not futz with something too much when it only happens once a decade.

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 13, 2021, 11:27 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

This District C version is very upsetting to me. I Live in Eureka and my community concerns are focused on Eureka. I in no way identify with the college town dynamics represented by the City of Arcata into which my voice would now be lumped. This breaks up the City of Eureka, which is now starting to turn a corner and develop a healthy identity, and splits it between more affluent areas and renter dominated areas, which creates a distinct disconnect within the city.

Question 10

I do not think the Draft Plan A District C should be considered further.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

This needs to include more of the City of Eureka, and should not have an artificial divide through the center of town. See above comment.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Draft Plan A includes gerrymandering that unnecessarily divides the City of Eureka. Draft plan A should not be considered further on account of the District C and District D redrawing.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 13, 2021, 12:12 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Seems like a lot of area for 1 sup to cover. Willow creek would be more aligned with either the B the district or the E district. Also keep Glendale and Blue Lake together within the E district.

Question 4

like the balance of rural and semi rural areas

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

put willow creek in district b and possibly shelter cove

Question 7

has a good balance of rural and urban

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 9

No response

Question 10

like the idea of district c having the entirety of the bay and its resources all represented in 1 district and west eureka is more culturally and economically tied with much of arcata. its time for a change in representation

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

put the line separating districts d and c along the 101 corridor and keep the bay together

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

keep blue lake and glendale together and include willow creek in this district of district b

Question 16

keep the agricultural lands of the bottom areas along mad river in district e

Question 17

like it the best with some minor tweaks would work well

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

put willow creek into either district e or b and keep blue lake in district e

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

add willow creek and shelter cove area

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

put west eureka and all of the bay into district d. keep the east side of eureka together in district b

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

take out the east side of eureka and put in the west side of eureka and keep the bay together

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

put blue lake in district e

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 33

keep the ag lands in this district

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

rural areas are split up to much

Question 37

nothing

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

should not include the eastern part of this. that area is very rural with totally different needs culturally and economically

Question 43

nothing

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

splits the bay up too much

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

keep blue lake in this district as well as willow creek

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 13, 2021, 2:16 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 13, 2021, 2:20 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 13, 2021, 3:13 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

Nothing. I like the way it links us in my part of Eureka to Arcata

Question 10

Everything

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

I like the Eureka and Arcata connection in plan A

Question 27

nothing

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

I like Draft A

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Draft A seems to serve all the districts very well

Name not available

October 13, 2021, 3:52 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

I would not change it

Question 10

I would leave it just as drawn

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

I like Draft A with my part of Eureka connected to Arcata

Question 27

change to look like Draft A

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 45

I like draft A

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Timothy McDermond

inside district 3

October 13, 2021, 4:52 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

It is important to recognize the areas defined by physical routes- this plan is far too removed for easy representation for existing routes. Mixes in Willow creek area for maximum travel times for Supervisor from one end of district to the other.

Question 4

Southern part

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

District B looks ok.

Question 7

ok

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Arcata is not Eureka. The inclusion of the "rental population of Eureka" are not the same as the student renters of Eureka. Again, natural and transportation corridors are ignored.

Question 10

Arcata area,

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Include a better disposition of physical area of Eureka

Question 13

Based on Eureka

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Include Willow Creek area and move boundaries back above the Mad River

Question 16

Good coverage of native population,

Question 17

Ditch this pro-developer/incumbent plan

Question 18

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

Once again a spread out mess with difficult travel.

Question 21

Southern section

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

B still seems ok

Question 24

seems ok

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Once again Arcata is not well represented by adding Eureka. Too great an attempt to serve the interests of the current board,

Question 27

Arcata

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Should better incorporate the natural physical and boundaries of Eureka.

Question 30

Based on Eureka

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

Incorporate Willow Creek

Question 33

Native areas

Question 34

Once again looks to minimize cultural and political real area grouping and unrealistic travel problems.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

Looks to be fairly close to the current plan.

Question 37

Good basic for minor adjustments.

Question 38

No response

Question 39

Not sure from these maps but if still current basics then would adjust to include all of the route 36 corridor,

Question 40

basic area

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

from the crude maps unable to really tell about the Southern extension but may work,

Question 43

Arcata based

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 45

Seems better

Question 46

Based upon Eureka,

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

As with district B 36 Corridor could be an issue,

Question 49

Move Mad River area of Ruth lake to B as part of 36 corridor.

Question 50

Plan C seems to keep more of the current lines and has better geographic and transportation considerations.

Question 51

When looking at districts it is more important to look at areas of service and accept diversity, Better districts can incorporate good interaction between municipalities and special districts and County Supervisors.

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 13, 2021, 5:04 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I would not lump the city of Eureka residents in with Arcata residents. Arcata has different needs and issues than Eureka does. Also blue lake could be lumped in with Arcata

Question 10

The Samoa peninsula

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

District D should include all of eureka, including myrtle town and cutten

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

Include myrtle town and cutten

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

This would be the best representation of the county.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

I still think Myrtle town should be lumped in with the rest of Eureka.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

include Cutten

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 46

This is the best representation of the district

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

My preference is for Draft Plan C.

Name not available

October 13, 2021, 7:41 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Keep blue lake in district e as well as willow creek

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Put shelter cove in district b

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 9

No response

Question 10

Like keeping the bay in 1 district and old town is more like arcata. A little bit excentric

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Looks good

Question 13

Nothing

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Put blue lake and willow creek in it

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Generally looks good

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

Leave shelter cove out

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Add shelter cove

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Don't split eureka this way keep the bay under 1 district

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Don't split the east side of eureka this way

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

Put blue lake in this district

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

Include rural areas of kneeland

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

The rural areas of kneeland south have nothing in common with arcata and wouldn't be represented at all

Question 43

Nothing

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

Don't split up the bay

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 13, 2021, 8:38 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

I dont think I would make any changes

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I like that Old Town Eureka is adjoined with Arcata. We have much more similar needs with Arcata then east side of Eureka

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Prefer draft A

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

Prefer draft A

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

I prefer draft A

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 13, 2021, 10:20 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 3

October 14, 2021, 8:29 AM

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

The Native American population needs to be analyzed. Combining a piece of Eureka with Arcata takes power away from Eureka, which isn't right.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

The Native American population needs to be analyzed. I would put the chunk of Eureka back in it.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I don't want this option.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 27

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

The Native American population needs to be analyzed. Put the chunk of Eureka back in Eureka.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I don't want this version.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 37

I like how the county is divided.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

The Native American population needs to be analyzed. This makes more sense geographically.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 46

This seems like a more accurate representation of Eureka demographically.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

The Native American population needs to be analyzed.

Question 49

No response

Question 50

I choose this Draft Plan C.

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 3

October 14, 2021, 10:28 AM

Question 1

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

I would use Bear River as the southern boundary of District A and extend District B into that zone.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 39

Extend the western border all the way to the coast. Adjust northwestern boundary by delineating at Bear River.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 42

Extend the northwestern boundary to the coast.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

I would use Tide Road to delineate the border of District D, and extend District C into that zone.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 14, 2021, 11:19 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Leave Willow Creek in District 5.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 4

Nothing

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Add portions of southern Eureka to District 2.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Add portions of Southern Eureka to District 1. Do not combine Eureka with Arcata.

Question 10

Nothing

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

Willow Creek should stay in District 5. It makes no sense to combine it with District 1.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

These changes make no sense at all. It seems like gerrymandering. Leave Districts 3 and 5 as they are. Move portions of Southern Eureka into Districts 1 and 2.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

No response

Question 49

It looks like this puts Blue Lake back in District 5, which is a good idea.

Question 50

District 1 would become mostly District 2.

Question 51

No response

Thomas Peters

inside district 4

October 14, 2021, 12:28 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

It is geographically unwieldy. I don't know how one supervisor could cover it all.

Question 4

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

This seems to put areas of similar interest together.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I would consolidate the district around Eureka and separate Arcata. The Eureka district should include King Salmon, Humboldt Hill, and Cutten. It would shift the epicenter south.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Shift the district south to cover more of Eureka and outlying areas. Arcata should be rejoined with McKinleyville.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

District E should put McKinleyville, Blue Lake, and Willow Creek together as areas of common interest. If that's too many people then shift areas like Fieldbrook south. Arcata and Eureka have very different interests and always vote differently.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Keep Arcata in "E" and add areas to the east to "B" if necessary. Willow Creek has more in common with Orick than Arcata.

Arcata has very different concerns than Eureka (college, etc.).

The current plan looks like the famous definition of a "CAMEL" as a HORSE put together by a committee!

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

This would be a tough district to cover geographically but could work because of common interests. A supervisor would have to enjoy driving! It's a long ways from Willow Creek to Shelter Cove.

Question 21

This alignment makes some sense in terms of community interests.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

"B" works well including Fortuna and south as common interest areas. I would not significantly change "B"

Question 24

"B" seems to work well.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

I'm not sure why Eureka must be cut in half. Putting the urban eastern section of Eureka with the rural District A makes little sense. If Eureka was kept as one district I don't know how it would mess up the other districts' balance.

Question 27

I would try to keep Eureka as 'together' as possible. It represents one large common interest group that is different from the more rural areas.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Half of Eureka should not be lumped in with Arcata which has many very different interests. Maybe "D" could be expanded north, south, and west so that it does not include Eureka. Eureka could expand District "C" to include Cutten and Myrtle town, areas obviously aligned with Eureka.

Question 30

It needs serious rearranging.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

I would add Blue Lake and maybe Fieldbrook to Arcata's district D and remove Eureka from District D. District E would then include Arcata north to the border.

Question 33

See "changes"

Question 34

Districts C, D, and E should be better arranged to reflect common interests. Arcata should be aligned North. If Eureka was removed from D, D could add Blue Lake and Fieldbrook.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

Again this appears to split Eureka but it makes more sense than Draft A or B

Question 37

I can't zoom in on District A But District C and A look like they work together.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

Nothing

Question 40

This appears to put rural areas together so their common interests are well represented

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

I'm not sure how one person could cover Arcata and all of the area south and southeast physically but if they could it could work. Some of those rural areas would have FAR different interests from Arcata. I think I would move the area north to include Blue Lake and less of the southeast portion.

Question 43

I like the fact that Eureka would be separated from Arcata.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

Manila should not be cut in half. No need.

Question 46

Otherwise it looks pretty good.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

This district seems to make sense.

Question 49

No response

Question 50

Of the 3 Drafts, this one makes by far the most sense. It mostly groups like interests within minimum splitting of communities.

Question 51

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 12:53 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

Shelter Cove, Whitethorn, Etterburg are considered "Southern Humboldt" and should be aligned with Garberville, Redway, etc -as the So Hum HealthCare District and the So Hum School District includes them.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

Shelter Cove, Whitethorn, Etterburg are considered "Southern Humboldt" and should be aligned with Garberville, Redway, etc -as the So Hum HealthCare District and the So Hum School District includes them.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Shelter Cove, Whitethorn, Etterburg are considered "Southern Humboldt" and should be aligned with Garberville, Redway, etc -as the So Hum HealthCare District and the So Hum School District includes them.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

Shelter Cove, Whitethorn, Etterburg are considered "Southern Humboldt" and should be aligned with Garberville, Redway, etc -as the So Hum HealthCare District and the So Hum School District includes them.

Question 24

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

Shelter Cove, Whitethorn, Etterburg are considered "Southern Humboldt" and should be aligned with Garberville, Redway, etc -as the So Hum HealthCare District and the So Hum School District includes them.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 51

Do NOT split the traditional Soutehrn Humboldt community (as the east - west split of Dist 2 maps propose). That is very challenging logistically and does not represent the long held fields of interest, inter-dependent community and economic services of our community. AND the school and hospital public elected district maps include So Hum from far the eastern side to the ocean.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 14, 2021, 2:03 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

Draft Plan C is the only acceptable plan

Question 4

Nothing

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Draft Plan C is the only acceptable Draft

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Draft Plan C is the only acceptable plan

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Draft Plan C is the only acceptable plan

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Draft Plan C is the only acceptable plan

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

This is the only acceptable plan that has been presented. It is the closest to what is currently in place.

Question 51

Draft Plan C is the only acceptable plan that has been presented. It is the closest to what is currently in place. The other maps are contorted and seem to have been envisioned by someone without common sense.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Name not available

inside district 1

October 14, 2021, 3:16 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

I would change nothing. Keep as is.

Question 4

Keep it as is.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

I would not change anything.

Question 7

I would keep it as depicted.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

I would not change anything.

Question 10

I like this plan.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

I would move the south boarder of this Draft Plan A, District D a little bit south.

Question 13

Just move south boarder a few streets south and you are good!

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

Please keep this as proposed.

Question 16

Please keep this as proposed.

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 3:23 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Splitting Fortuna into two districts doesn't seem right. It seems like an artificial split to divide a community like that.

Question 4

Putting Willow Creek and Garberville in the same district seems good.

Question 5

No response

Question 6

Splitting Fortuna into two districts doesn't seem right. It seems like an artificial split to divide a community like that.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I would not split off part of Eureka and adding it to the district Arcata is in. I would not split off the Arcata Bottoms and add them to the McKinleyville District. I'd keep the waterfront neighborhoods of Eureka together and if necessary, put parts of the inland/outer neighborhoods in a different district.

Question 10

Keeping the Samoa Peninsula communities in one district is the only thing this map gets right.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

I would not split off part of Eureka and adding it to the district Arcata is in. I would not split off the Arcata Bottoms and add them to the McKinleyville District. I'd keep the waterfront neighborhoods of Eureka together and if necessary, put parts of the inland/outer neighborhoods in a different district.

Question 13

Most of the unincorporated areas just outside Eureka city limits belong in the same district.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

I would not include the Arcata Bottoms and other areas south of Mad River in this District.

Question 16

At least it doesn't attempt to divide McKinleyville like Draft A proposes to divide Eureka, Arcata, and Fortuna!

Question 17

It's difficult to understand the logic in splitting most of the larger communities into such disparate districts.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Again, splitting Fortuna up seems wrong. Including so much of Myrtle town in Arcata's district is hard to understand the logic behind.

Question 21

Keeping Willow Creek and Garberville together makes sense, although I don't live in either one.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

Again, splitting Fortuna up seems wrong.

Question 24

Other than half of Fortuna, this district seems like a cohesive community of interest in terms of culture and concerns.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

I would keep Myrtle town with Eureka and put the less "urban" areas south of Eureka in neighboring district.

Question 27

The waterfront areas of Eureka belong in the same district, and go well with Samoa because of the waterfront docks and industry.

Question 28

No response

Question 29

Myrtle town belongs with Eureka, not Arcata.

Question 30

The unincorporated areas north of Eureka seem ok to lump with Arcata (more so than Myrtle town, which is more "urban").

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

Areas south of Mad River seem like an awkward fit for the same district as McKinleyville. Maybe goes better with Manila?

Question 33

I like that it keeps McKinleyville in one district.

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

Again, dividing Fortuna seems wrong.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

I would keep Fortuna and its outlying areas that are oriented toward Fortuna in the same district.

Question 40

All of Southern Humboldt in one district seems appropriate.

Question 41

No response

Question 42

This is the first one that seems ok!

Question 43

I like that Mad River forms the boundary.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

This one seems really good. Part of why Eureka should be in one district is that Eureka is so unique in that it has a lot more jobs than homes - so tons of people from other areas go there on a weekly or daily basis for work and various services.

Question 46

Keeping as much of Eureka in one district as possible is appropriate.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

Nothing, I like that Mad River forms the boundary, and that McKinleyville is all in one district.

Question 49

The Mad River boundary.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 50

This one seems pretty good overall. One reason I think Eureka should be in one district is that Eureka is so unique in that it has a lot more jobs than homes - so tons of people from other areas go there on a weekly or daily basis for work and various services.

Question 51

This was rather time-consuming - it's hard to imagine a lot of people putting in the time it took. Also, some introduction to the goals and rules of redistricting would be helpful to provide better input.

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 3:25 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Splitting Fortuna into two districts doesn't seem right. It seems like an artificial split to divide a community like that.

Question 4

Putting Willow Creek and Garberville in the same district seems good.

Question 5

No response

Question 6

Splitting Fortuna into two districts doesn't seem right. It seems like an artificial split to divide a community like that.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I would not split off part of Eureka and adding it to the district Arcata is in.

I would not split off the Arcata Bottoms and add them to the McKinleyville District. I'd keep the waterfront neighborhoods of Eureka together and if necessary, put parts of the inland/outer neighborhoods in a different district.

Question 10

Keeping the Samoa Peninsula communities in one district is the only thing this map gets right.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

I would not split off part of Eureka and adding it to the district Arcata is in. I would not split off the Arcata Bottoms and add them to the McKinleyville District. I'd keep the waterfront neighborhoods of Eureka together and if necessary, put parts of the inland/outer neighborhoods in a different district.

Question 13

Most of the unincorporated areas just outside Eureka city limits belong in the same district.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

I would not include the Arcata Bottoms and other areas south of Mad River in this District.

Question 16

At least it doesn't attempt to divide McKinleyville like Draft A proposes to divide Eureka, Arcata, and Fortuna!

Question 17

It's difficult to understand the logic in splitting most of the larger communities into such disparate districts.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 20

Again, splitting Fortuna up seems wrong. Including so much of Myrtle town in Arcata's district is hard to understand the logic behind.

Question 21

Keeping Willow Creek and Garberville together makes sense, although I don't live in either one.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

Again, splitting Fortuna up seems wrong.

Question 24

Other than half of Fortuna, this district seems like a cohesive community of interest in terms of culture and concerns.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

I would keep Myrtle town with Eureka and put the less "urban" areas south of Eureka in neighboring district.

Question 27

The waterfront areas of Eureka belong in the same district, and go well with Samoa because of the waterfront docks and industry.

Question 28

No response

Question 29

Myrtle town belongs with Eureka, not Arcata.

Question 30

The unincorporated areas north of Eureka seem ok to lump with Arcata (more so than Myrtle town, which is more "urban").

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

Areas south of Mad River seem like an awkward fit for the same district as McKinleyville. Maybe goes better with Manila?

Question 33

I like that it keeps McKinleyville in one district.

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

Again, dividing Fortuna seems wrong.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

I would keep Fortuna and its outlying areas that are oriented toward Fortuna in the same district.

Question 40

All of Southern Humboldt in one district seems appropriate.

Question 41

No response

Question 42

This is the first one that seems ok!

Question 43

I like that Mad River forms the boundary.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 45

This one seems really good. Part of why Eureka should be in one district is that Eureka is so unique in that it has a lot more jobs than homes - so tons of people from other areas go there on a weekly or daily basis for work and various services. The

Question 46

Keeping as much of Eureka in one district as possible is appropriate.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 48

I like that Mad River forms the boundary, and that McKinleyville is all in one district.

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Some introductory info on the goals/rules of redistricting would have been helpful. Also, it was quite time-consuming - I can't imagine that many people will take the time, but hopefully I'm wrong.

Name not available

inside district 1

October 14, 2021, 3:55 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

Leave it as it is.

Question 4

Leave it as it is.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

Nothing.

Question 7

Keep it as it is.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

Nothing.

Question 10

Everything.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

Move the Southern border a few streets farther South.

Question 13

Keep the plan the same except for the Southern border.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

Nothing.

Question 16

Keep it as it is.

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 4:04 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

Keep As Is

Question 4

Keep As Is

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 6

Keep As Is

Question 7

Keep As Is

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

Keep As Is

Question 10

Keep As Is

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

Keep As Is

Question 13

Keep As Is

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

Keep As Is

Question 16

Keep As Is

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 5

October 14, 2021, 4:09 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

move Blue Lake into the 4th or 5th district rather than as proposed and keep Willow Creek in the 5th district. Change your maps from the District A, B, etc to District 1,2 etc. as they are normally referenced so we know which district is which - calling them A through E is confusing. Expand the eastern boundary and shrink the northern boundary

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

add Blue Lake and Willow Creek

Question 16

most of it but I would put Blue Lake and Willow Creek into the 5th district (E)

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

remove the northeastern parts of District A in to District D or E; modify the boundary between districts A and B to make up for the loss of the northeastern area

Question 21

the southwest portion looks OK but the northeast section should be 4th or 5th district (D or E)

Question 22

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

District A - I prefer plan C

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

nothing

Question 27

all of it

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

No response

Question 30

it looks OK

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

keep Willow Creek in the 5th District along with Hoopa and Orleans. Communities north of Hwy 299 have more in common than with the communities of southern Humboldt

Question 33

the rest looks OK

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 5

Question 36

use the same terminology that we use now - Districts 1 thru 5, rather than A thru E, to avoid confusion

Question 37

this looks like the best alignment to me

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

nothing - this looks like the best alignment to me

Question 40

all of it

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

nothing

Question 43

all

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

nothing

Question 46

all

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 5

Question 48

nothing

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 49

all of it

Question 50

the whole County looks good

Question 51

keep Humboldt aligned with other coastal counties rather than inland counties

Name not available

inside district 3

October 14, 2021, 4:32 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

Inappropriate for my community and comment

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

Retain same boundaries

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I request that the community and the public in general be allowed to

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

continue to study the drafts and provide community with more time to evaluate this body of information. We are at a severe disadvantage since this information was not publicized or provided to us in a form adequate for serious study. All of the maps must provide far more detail to make decisions.

I currently prefer Draft Plan C.

Name not available

inside district 3

October 14, 2021, 5:56 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

This map is unrealistic. I worry that this area has no diversity. It is unfair to our real population.

Question 4

nothing.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

This again doesn't represent Humboldt County and the diverse incomes and populations.

Question 7

Nothing

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I believe that this district is too small, although it does show more diversity, it is under represented.

Question 10

nothing

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

this whole mapping has to be done on a more honest, realistic basis.

Question 13

nothing

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

This is extremely confusing and incomplete. I am very certain that most

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

populations are not well represented other than the white population.
This is troubling.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 14, 2021, 6:43 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

It seems odd to combine Fortuna and Willow creek, but I don't know enough about those communities to say

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I'd extend Arcata north instead of south, so it includes me--I'm west of Arcata in the bottoms but Arcata is my town

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

I like having most of eurka together, that makes sense

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

As I said above, I think the Arcata Bottom should be with Arcata not McKinleyville

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Mostly I think it's really odd to kind of go around Humboldt Bay to connect Fortuna and Willow Creek

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

seems like a really odd way to split Eureka

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

move the northern boundary west and the southern boundary north so it doesn't include part of Eureka

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

I don't know how the population numbers work but it seems odd to put Fortuna with the southwest part of the county and not with the small towns near it.

Question 40

I like it that it's kind of neat, a low boundary/area ratio

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

I like including blue lake, fickle hill, and Kneeland with Arcata, plus the Arcata bottom

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

I'd extend the south boundary a little further south so Henderson center was with the main part of eureka. but I don't know what parts of Eureka I'd exclude. But it does look odd, that cutout section with Henderson Center and Sequoia Park. Run it straight across, further north if you need to, but it looks like someone's manipulating something.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 50

Full county:
I'm biased in favor of this one because it puts me where I want to be, but I also like it in general because the districts look logical, they don't have odd extensions in various directions. It might put voters with different perspectives together but that could be a good thing

Question 51

Please don't design it around where any of the current supervisors live or any other factors that shouldn't matter.

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 6:48 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information. Remove the coastal area including Shelter Cove

Question 4

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information Shelter Cove and Honeydew need to be included

Question 7

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 10

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 13

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 16

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 17

Not good for District B communities

Question 18

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information Divides traditional Southern Humboldt communities

Question 21

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2.Does not represent my community well

Question 23

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information The southern Humboldt Coast should be in District B

Question 24

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1.This is not my community

Question 26

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 27

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1.This is not my community

Question 29

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 30

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1.This is not my community

Question 32

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 33

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information No

Question 34

No on Plan B

Question 35

No response

Question 36

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 37

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 2.Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 39

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information. Hard to comment when don't know what is included

Question 40

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information/ Hard to comment when don't know what areas included or excluded.

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 43

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 46

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to

map did not reveal information

Question 49

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information

Question 50

Inadequate information to make specific comments. How about some better maps

Question 51

The maps do not contain enough information to be able to locate where the proposed District lines are. Need main roads, highways and towns. Zoom in to map did not reveal information. Better maps with more information please

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 14, 2021, 7:19 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

District A to also include all of So Humboldt, and release all of Fieldbrook/Glendale/Blue Lake and Willow Creek to Arcata District,

Question 4

Question 5

No response

Question 6

District B to Not include any So Humboldt, and to Include Ferndale, Rio Del, and Scotia. The VanDuzen is the boundary line. All of So Hum to be 1 united District.

Question 7

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

Include Fieldbrook, Blue Lake and Willow Creek in District C.
There should be zero, 0, Eureka in District C. Why split Eureka???.
Manila and Samoa to be in Eureka's District.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

District D should include All of Eureka.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

District E should release Fieldbrook, Glendale and Willow Creek to District C.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

It's important to keep Communities Together, to solve their own problems as much as possible.

It's important to keep watersheds together, as much as possible. If needed use creeks, rivers and ridge lines as boundaries.

Let smaller communities, like in So Hum, be 1 larger community. Do Not split up smaller communities with boundary lines, as with Glendale and Blue Lake, and So Hum communities. It doesn't support resilience and self reliance. As times get possibly harder, we need to support community self reliance, which happens when communities have community centers, not splitting lines in the center, as in Glendale's Liscom road being the boundary. Everything about that is dump. The

northern dividing line should be above North Bank Rd. and making Glendale/Blue Lake and Fieldbrook part of Arcata Dist. I'm sure Willow Creek would like to be included with Blue Lake, since it's the closest community to them. Otherwise, they have no solidarity with anyone. Imagine you live in each community.. what makes sense to you within that community? Maybe Arcata doesn't feel like they are a part of Blue Lake, because they are the bigger community. But imagine living in Blue Lake, or Fieldbrook.. besides Glendale, where do you shop. No, we don't go to Mckinleyville, unless we are going to more than 1 store. Just imagine and you'll understand.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

Just put Fieldbrook, Blue Lake and Glendale together, and with Willow Creek if possible

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

Keep. So Hum needs to be together.

Question 41

No response

Question 42

Eureka should not be split (not in the Arcata District), Release all inland South of Eureka to Fortuna District, and Take Blue Lake/Glendale/Fieldbrook and Willow Creek.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

District D should go all the way to south Elk River.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

District E should Include Fieldbrook/Glendale/Blue Lake together, and with Willow Creek.

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 8:22 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 14, 2021, 8:27 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 14, 2021, 10:24 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Arcata should not be with Fortuna.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 9

Eureka and Arcata should be kept separate. Different cultures.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

I can't zoom in to see if part of Arcata is in this. Disagree if that is the case. Even so, Eureka and Willow Creek together? NO!

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Keep our districts the way they are.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

Nothing. But I wish I had the time to investigate this further. Unfortunately, just received this tonight.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

It seems to be the best plan of the three.

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 14, 2021, 10:53 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

This alignment crosses multiple watershed drainages, and bisects communities. The District representation would be bound by each of the other four Districts. This alignment is too expansive and has too many different communities. This alignment doesn't follow a logical alignment of interests such as river drainages which is a logical delineation of political boundaries to reflect watershed boundaries when at all possible.

Question 4

Start District A on the top of the Wildcats to the South of Fortuna and add the coastal area to the ridgeline of the Eel River Valley.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

This alignment crosses multiple watershed drainages. This alignment is block shaped and doesn't follow a logical alignment of interests such as river drainages which is a logical delineation of political boundaries to reflect watershed boundaries when at all possible.

Question 7

I would match the ridgeline with District A - District B would encompass the Eel River watershed and would release the Mad River watershed to District E

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

The south side of the Mad River should be included in District C as well as the eastern side of Humboldt Bay to encompass the smaller watersheds of Jacoby Creek all the way to Faye Slough - this would extend eastward for quite some distance until intersecting with the Mad River drainage

Question 10

The entire northern Humboldt Bay and Harbor - everything that is shown

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Bisecting the community of Eureka is not a good idea. Release the area north of Faye slough to the northern District C

Question 13

The focus on Eureka

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

Expand District E to include Mad River watershed boundaries and Trinity River boundaries

Question 16

Keep the rest and add in Mad and Trinity River boundaries

Question 17

District A's expansiveness is way overreaching and mixes interests across the whole County. I fully reject Draft Plan A, it does not reflect the best interest of these communities.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

This alignment crosses multiple watershed drainages, and bisects communities. The District representation would be bound by each of the other four Districts. This alignment is too expansive and has too many different communities. This alignment doesn't follow a logical alignment of interests such as river drainages which is a logical delineation of political boundaries to reflect watershed boundaries when at all possible.

Question 21

I would start on the top of the Wildcats to the South of Fortuna and add the coastal area to the ridgeline of the Eel River Valley.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

This alignment crosses multiple watershed drainages. This alignment is block shaped and doesn't follow a logical alignment of interests such as river drainages which is a logical delineation of political boundaries to reflect watershed boundaries when at all possible.

Question 24

I would match the ridgeline with District A - District B would encompass the Eel River watershed and would release the Mad River watershed to District E

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

No response

Question 27

The entire northern Humboldt Bay and Harbor - everything that is shown

Question 28

No response

Question 29

The south side of the Mad River should be included in District D as well as the eastern side of Humboldt Bay to encompass the smaller watersheds of Jacoby Creek all the way to Faye Slough - this would extend eastward for quite some distance until intersecting with the Mad River drainage.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

The Northern Humboldt Bay focus

Question 31

No response

Question 32

Expand District E to include Mad River watershed boundaries and Trinity River boundaries - including Blue Lake and Willow Creek in this District; release the south side of the Mad River to District D

Question 33

Keep the rest and add in Mad and Trinity River boundaries

Question 34

District A's expansiveness is way overreaching and mixes interests across the whole County. I fully reject Draft Plan A, it does not reflect the best interest of these communities.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 5

Question 36

Reduce the northern extent and widen the southern area of influence to the east until the ridgeline of the Eel River watershed

Question 37

Limited northern reach, consolidating the representation in the Fortuna and Wildcats

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 5

Question 39

Release the coastal zone to District A, extend to the north to encompass more of the Eel River watershed, release the MAd River watershed to District E

Question 40

Eel River basin focus

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 5

Question 42

Follow alignment in the south more closely along watershed boundaries; encompass more of Humboldt Bay

Question 43

most of it

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 5

Question 45

Mostly good

Question 46

Keep most of it

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 5

Question 48

extend to the south to include all of the MAd River watershed

Question 49

most of it

Question 50

This is the best option that is being presented to allow for adequate representation of our communities with each supervisor.

Question 51

Please allow the Districts to follow watershed boundaries and please limit a District shape that crosses multiple communities, watersheds and intersects with each other District such as is proposed in Plan A and Plan B.

Plan C is the logical best choice from these options.

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 7:50 AM

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I don't understand why half of Eureka is in this district.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

This makes a little more sense than plan A

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 15, 2021, 8:12 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Separate Eureka and Arcata with all of downtown and central Eureka plus Fairhaven and Samoa in District D

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

See above

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Spitting of Eureka and Arcata is not appropriate

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I am assuming that you don't want public input--this is an incredibly difficult way to propose and survey! Could you please refer to the district by number instead of lettering them, and then lettering the proposals also? Where could we find a map of the current districts? Is there a way to overlay these maps to see proposed changes? Thank you for your consideration.

Name not available

inside district 3

October 15, 2021, 8:50 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 7

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

This area should include eastern Eureka.

Question 9

Why in the world would you group part of Eureka with Arcata? This makes no sense. Arcata would be better grouped with parts of McKinleyville instead of west Eureka. Also, group Samoa with Eureka, not Arcata. West Eureka has more in common with East Eureka than with Arcata.

Question 21

No response

Question 10

Keep Arcata and Manila together.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 23

No response

Question 12

This seems adequate but I would not group Downtown Eureka with Arcata if at all possible. Cutten should be grouped with Myrtle town

Question 24

No response

Question 13

Seems acceptable to group areas outside of the downtown core of Eureka together as there are common interests.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 17

Question 29

Why would you group any part of Eureka with just Arcata? Even outer Eureka is a very different community than Arcata. Different interests, different infrastructure needs, different priorities all around. Please don't do this! Divide this area in half and keep the north part intact. Give the south part to the area to the east.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

Nothing.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

If I had to choose, this configuration represents my community much better than the other drafts. It keeps areas of Eureka with common interests together and groups Samoa with Eureka.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Please recognize that most areas of Eureka have much less in common with Arcata than with areas to the east and south of Eureka, so should not be grouped only with Arcata.

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 8:51 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 9

I would not encroach McKinleyville into the south west part of the map on the south side of Mad River.

Question 10

The rest of the plan

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Shoreline Being only part of Eureka to the west

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

I would include the Shoreline

Question 26

The extension out to Freshwater Area

Question 27

Draft Plan C, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 28

The Shoreline should be included in Arcata

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 1:11 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I support Draft Plan C.

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 1:55 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Respectfully.

Plan A = 'urban-centered' | 'other'.

It could be said Plan A | District A—plan is kitchen-sink—HUGE.

As much as I verily appreciate Elk River, Loleta, Ferndale, Fortuna and many hamlet-communities south, as

well as our eastern hamlet-communities, this proposal appears to be a collective afterthought and dismissal

in scope and relationships. NOT an attempt to or adequately address the

Bays' neighboring communities' of Old Arcata Road-Myrtle Avenue-

Indianola-Freshwater-Kneeland concerns for proper representation.

With appreciation and every good intention.

Question 4

N/A

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

N/A

Question 7

N/A

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

N/A

Question 10

N/A

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

N/A

Question 13

N/A

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

N/A

Question 16

N/A

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

Respectfully.

As previously observed in overall Plan A—overall Plan B = 'urban-centered' | 'other'.

It could be said Plan B | District A—plan matches Plan A | District A and continues to be catch-all, kitchen-sink—HUGE.

Again as previously stated and as much as I verily appreciate Elk River, Loleta, Ferndale, Fortuna and many hamlet-communities south, as well as our eastern hamlet-communities, this Plan B | District A proposal appears to be a mirror of collective afterthought and dismissal in scope and

relationships. NOT an attempt to or adequately address the Bays' neighboring communities' of Old Arcata Road-Myrtle Avenue-Indianola-

Freshwater-Kneeland concerns for proper representation.

With appreciation and every good intention.

Question 21

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

N/A

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

N/A

Question 24

N/A

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

N/A

Question 27

N/A

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

N/A

Question 30

N/A

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

N/A

Question 33

N/A

Question 34

Please see above.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

uncertain

Question 37

N/A

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

N/A

Question 40

N/A

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

It is hoped that those smaller even-more-rural and isolated eastern hamlets within Plan C | District C—feel a mutual connection with this proposed option.

Question 43

Respectfully.

It could be said that three times is a charm.

Plan C | District C—appears to, attempt to or adequately address the Bays' neighboring more-rural agrarian valleys and forested mountain communities along the greater Old Arcata Road-Myrtle Avenue-Indianola-Freshwater-Kneeland area's interests. It aligns more with the bottom-land and populated Arcata-Sunny Brae, as well as smaller Blue Lake, it's surrounding neighborhoods—and the further afield eastern hamlets, in scope, relationships and proper representation. With appreciation and every good intention.

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

N/A

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 46

N/A

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

N/A

Question 49

N/A

Question 50

No response

Question 51

Respectfully.
It would be suggested to offer those non-Eureka-Arcata dwellers among us, more representational options.

Thank you for your time, thoughtful consideration and responsible actions.
Every good intention.

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 3:13 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Exclude Myrtle town from District D. Myrtle town is more aligned with District C

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Grouping the communities of Myrtle town and Arcata via the Humboldt 101 corridor

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 3:17 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Exclude Myrtle town from District D. Myrtle town is more aligned with District C

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Grouping the communities of Myrtle town and Arcata via the Humboldt 101 corridor

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not available

inside district 3

October 15, 2021, 8:53 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Name not available

October 15, 2021, 11:01 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

West side Eureka send out of place here

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

West side Eureka send to be needed here

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Blah

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

Weird lumping of communities but not terrible

Question 27

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

Send like a weird confluence of communities

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Meh

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

The coastal strip is weird

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

No response

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 17, 2021, 1:33 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 3

Take the northeastern section of the County out of District A.

Question 4

The southeastern section of the County.

Question 5

No response

Question 6

It appears to be alright.

Question 7

All of it.

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Take eastern Arcata out of this District altogether. Eastern Arcata has no similarities with the alignment of Manila, Samoa, & Eureka. Enlarge Eureka to incorporate all of its western/ coastal section. Put Manila, Samoa, Eureka. & western part of Arcata in District C.

Question 10

Manila, Samoa, & Eureka together in a District.

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Take out western side of Eureka out of District D.

Question 13

No comment.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

Put the Willow Creek area in this District E.K

Question 16

Keeping northern coastal areas together.

Question 17

Side Comment: As Manila & Samoa grow & develop, in the future, it seems appropriate to discuss incorporating them into the City of Eureka.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 20

Put Willow Creek in District E.

Question 21

All of it.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 23

Nothing.

Question 24

All of it.

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 26

In District C, put the section of Eureka that is west of hwy 101.

Question 27

Having Manila, Samoa, & Eureka together in one District.

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 29

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Take the section of Eureka west of hwy 101 out of District D & put it in District C.

Question 30

Arcata area & eastern Eureka area together.

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 32

Nothing.

Question 33

All of it.

Question 34

Really, approve of this Draft Plan B, District C. This Draft Plan B represents the County better than the other Draft Plans.

Side Comment: As Manila & Samoa grow & develop, in the future, it seems appropriate to discuss incorporating them into the City of Eureka.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 36

Include more of Eureka.

Question 37

Coastal area together.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

Include less of the western/ coastal area of the County.

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

Take Manila out of District C. Put Manila in with Samoa & Eureka. Take out the western/ coastal area of Arcata from District C.

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

Appears to divide Manila into two Districts. Keep all of Manila together with Samoa, Eureka, & coastal Arcata.

Question 46

Samoa in with Eureka.

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

Nothing.

Question 49

Appears alright.

Question 50

District C & District D does not represent the County. Most of Draft Plan C does not represent the County.

Side Comment: As Manila & Samoa grow & develop, in the future, it seems appropriate to discuss incorporating them into the City of Eureka.

Question 51

Out of the three Draft Plans, Draft Plan B with a little realignment appears to best represent the County.

Name not available

October 17, 2021, 7:55 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

I would not extend the district to Blue Lake and Willow Creek.

Question 4

I would retain Shelter Cove because it is connected to Fields Landing and King Salmon through fishing.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

It could extend further north to include other areas of Eastern Humboldt.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Arcata and the west side of Eureka should not be in one district. Where possible, the majority of Eureka should be included in a single district.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

The west side of Eureka should be included in this district.

Question 13

Myrtle town being included with Eureka.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

The Arcata bottoms being included in a McKinleyville-centric district. The Mad River represents a logical border.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

District C would be unfair to residents of the west side because they would largely be an afterthought since it's not connected to the rest of the district and most residents live in Arcata.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

It should not include Blue Lake and Willow Creek.

Question 21

Shelter Cove being included.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 23

This district deviates pretty significantly from the target population.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

Myrtle town should be included with the rest of Eureka.

Question 27

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

It would make more sense to join Arcata with Freshwater than Myrtle town.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

The Bottoms should not be in this district.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 48

Blue Lake feels more connected to McKinleyville/Northern Humboldt than the Redwood Creek Valley and Willow Creek.

Question 49

Largely uses the Mad River/299 as a border from the Arcata-based district.

Question 50

The map is acceptable overall and much better than the other two options.

Question 51

Plan C is similar to the current district lines and much better than the other two draft plans.

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 17, 2021, 8:12 PM

Question 1

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

The district should not include Blue Lake and Willow Creek.

Question 4

I would have it retain Shelter Cove to make it the coastal Southern Humboldt district.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

I would have it extend further north to encapsulate more of eastern Humboldt, which seems culturally similar.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Eureka's west side should not be included in an Arcata-centric district.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 12

The west side of Eureka should be included in this district.

Question 13

Myrtle town being included with Eureka.

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

District C would be a mistake because west side residents would largely be an after-thought due to their geographic isolation.

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

It should not include Willow Creek and Blue Lake.

Question 21

I would have it keep Shelter Cove.

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 23

I would have it extend further north.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 26

Myrtle town should be included with the rest of Eureka.

Question 27

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 29

Freshwater should be included with Arcata instead of Myrtle town.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

In my view, District A should not extend past Eureka.

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

Seems like a reasonable outline for a district anchored in southern Eureka.

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 45

No response

Question 46

A large portion of Eureka is kept together.

Question 47

No response

Question 48

I would include Blue Lake in this district instead of Willow Creek. Eastern Humboldt seems somewhat distinct from McKinleyville.

Question 49

It is separated from the Arcata-based district at the Mad River.

Question 50

Overall, this draft plan is much better than the other two plans.

Question 51

Draft Plan C hues closest to the status quo and would make much more sense than the other two plans.

Name not available

October 19, 2021, 9:17 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

This is a very confusing survey. The maps are hard to read. It's hard to do on a mobile device. And it would be helpful to have narrative justification of the district changes representing multiple sides.

Name not available

October 19, 2021, 9:21 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

This survey was not user friendly. The maps were difficult to interpret; I needed a second device open with the more detailed LoCo maps alongside it to understand where my house was. Option 5 was empty for multiply choice.

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 10:30 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

I prefer to have the entire Mattole river watershed represented in one community

Question 4

The long lost coast.

Question 5

Draft Plan A, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

I consider my community the whole Mattole watershed and would prefer it in one district

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Again I would prefer Arcata be in one district

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan B - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Draft Plan B, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 20

Though the Mattole river watershed is in one district, it breaks apart other

watersheds

Question 21

the Mattole river watershed in one district

Question 22

Draft Plan B, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 23

Again, hard to keep watersheds intact in districts, for example the Eel and Van Duzen are fragmented from the mouth.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

Draft Plan B, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

Draft Plan B, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

Draft Plan B, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 34

No response

Question 35

Draft Plan C - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 36

I don't understand why the district takes in the off shore coast of District B.

Question 37

No response

Question 38

Draft Plan C, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 39

I would include off-shore waters of the Lost Coast

Question 40

No response

Question 41

Draft Plan C, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

Draft Plan C, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 45

I still don't understand the off shore boundaries and why they include Arcata and McKinleyville's offshore areas.

Question 46

No response

Question 47

Draft Plan C, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

It would be good for watersheds to be along district boundaries if possible.

Name not available

October 25, 2021, 2:10 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

I can not read the map well enough to know where I am on it so I am not checking the boxes above.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

With no place names this is not an acceptable way for me to try to read this map.

Question 7

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

No response

Question 9

Can not tell. Can not see street or place names on these maps.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

The site does not allow me to see the maps in any detail so it is impossible to comment.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

No response

Question 51

I was looking forward to providing input but can not see any detail in these maps when I try to enlarge them. I can not tell which district am in.

Name not available

October 25, 2021, 4:48 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.
<https://distrctr.org/plan/69829>

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.
<https://distrctr.org/plan/69829>

Question 7

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 8

No response

Question 9

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the southern rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

All Responses - Draft Map 1

1st Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 3 options to review

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>

Question 35

No response

Question 36

No response

Question 37

No response

Question 38

No response

Question 39

No response

Question 40

No response

Question 41

No response

Question 42

No response

Question 43

No response

Question 44

No response

Question 45

No response

Question 46

No response

Question 47

No response

Question 48

No response

Question 49

No response

Question 50

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>

Question 51

I made this as an example. It keeps Eureka whole, does not violate the rural community of interest, follows boundaries such as the Mad River or Hwy 299 and towns on the app and the population is within .03%. The drawbacks it has are shared by all the other drafts.

<https://districtr.org/plan/69829>



Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

October 27, 2021, 8:45 AM

Contents

i.	Summary of responses	2
ii.	Survey questions	12
iii.	Individual responses	15

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Summary Of Responses

As of October 27, 2021, 8:45 AM, this forum had:	Topic Start
Attendees: 279	October 22, 2021, 4:34 PM
Responses: 48	
Hours of Public Comment: 2.4	

QUESTION 1

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		17.5%	7
2. Does not represent my community well		65.0%	26
3. Represents my community adequately		10.0%	4
4. Represents my community very well		7.5%	3

QUESTION 2

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District A" represent your community?

Draft Plan A2, District A

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		48.8%	20
2. Does not represent my community well		43.9%	18
3. Represents my community adequately		4.9%	2

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

		%	Count
4. Represents my community very well		2.4%	1

QUESTION 3

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District A"?

Answered	27
Skipped	21

QUESTION 4

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District A"?

Answered	14
Skipped	34

QUESTION 5

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District B" represent your community?

Draft Plan A2, District B

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		68.4%	26
2. Does not represent my community well		23.7%	9
3. Represents my community adequately		7.9%	3

QUESTION 6

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District B"?

Answered	13
----------	----

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Skipped 35

QUESTION 7

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District B"?

Answered 7

Skipped 41

QUESTION 8

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District C" represent your community?

Draft Plan A2, District C



QUESTION 9

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District C"?

Answered 32

Skipped 16

QUESTION 10

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District C"?

Answered 16

Skipped 32


Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

QUESTION 11

How well does “Draft Plan A2, District D” represent your community?

Draft Plan A2, District D



QUESTION 12

What would you change about “Draft Plan A2, District D”?

Answered	19
Skipped	29

QUESTION 13

What would you keep about “Draft Plan A2, District D”?

Answered	6
Skipped	42

QUESTION 14

How well does “Draft Plan A2, District E” represent your community?

Draft Plan A2, District E



Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

		%	Count
2. Does not represent my community well		8.6%	3
3. Represents my community adequately		11.4%	4
4. Represents my community very well		8.6%	3

QUESTION 15

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District E"?

Answered	13
Skipped	35

QUESTION 16

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District E"?

Answered	6
Skipped	42

QUESTION 17

Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan A2" you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

Answered	17
Skipped	31

QUESTION 18

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		8.6%	3
2. Does not represent my community well		22.9%	8
3. Represents my community adequately		34.3%	12
4. Represents my community very well		34.3%	12

QUESTION 19

What would you change about "Draft Plan C2, District A"?

Answered	19
Skipped	29

QUESTION 20

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C2, District A"?

Answered	13
Skipped	35

QUESTION 21

How well does "Draft Plan C2, District B" represent your community?

Draft Plan C2, District B

		%	Count
1. This is not my community		64.7%	22
2. Does not represent my community well		17.6%	6
3. Represents my community adequately		8.8%	3

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

		%	Count
4.Represents my community very well		5.9%	2
5		2.9%	1

QUESTION 22

What would you change about “Draft Plan C2, District B”?

Answered	13
Skipped	35

QUESTION 23

What would you keep about “Draft Plan C2, District B”?

Answered	6
Skipped	42

QUESTION 24

How well does “Draft Plan C2, District C” represent your community?

Draft Plan C2, District C

		%	Count
1.This is not my community		54.3%	19
2.Does not represent my community well		2.9%	1
3.Represents my community adequately		28.6%	10
4.Represents my community very well		11.4%	4
5		2.9%	1

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

QUESTION 25

What would you change about “Draft Plan C2, District C”?

Answered	10
Skipped	38

QUESTION 26


What would you keep about “Draft Plan C2, District C”?

Answered	11
Skipped	37

QUESTION 27

How well does “Draft Plan C2, District D” represent your community?

Draft Plan C2, District D



QUESTION 28

What would you change about “Draft Plan C2, District D”?

Answered	19
Skipped	29

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

QUESTION 29


What would you keep about “Draft Plan C2, District D”?

Answered	16
Skipped	32

QUESTION 30

How well does “Draft Plan C2, District E” represent your community?

Draft Plan C2, District E



QUESTION 31

What would you change about “Draft Plan C2, District E”?

Answered	9
Skipped	39

QUESTION 32

What would you keep about “Draft Plan C2, District E”?

Answered	10
Skipped	38

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

QUESTION 33

Any other thoughts on “Draft Plan C2” you would like to share? Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are commenting on.

Answered	20
Skipped	28

QUESTION 34

Any other comments you would like to share with the Redistricting Advisory Commission?

Answered	32
Skipped	16

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Survey Questions

QUESTION 1

How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A2 - Whole County

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well

QUESTION 2

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District A" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A2, District A

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 3

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District A"?

QUESTION 4

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District A"?

QUESTION 5

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District B" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A2, District B

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately

- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 6

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District B"?

QUESTION 7

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District B"?

QUESTION 8

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District C" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A2, District C

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 9

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District C"?

QUESTION 10

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District C"?

QUESTION 11

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District D" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan A2, District D

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

• 5

QUESTION 12

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District D"?

QUESTION 13

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District D"?

QUESTION 14

How well does "Draft Plan A2, District E" represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan A2, District E

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 15

What would you change about "Draft Plan A2, District E"?

QUESTION 16

What would you keep about "Draft Plan A2, District E"?

QUESTION 17

**Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan A2" you would like to share?
Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are
commenting on.**

QUESTION 18

**How well does the above draft map showing all proposed districts
represent your community?**

Row choices

• Draft Plan C2 - Whole County

Column choices

• 1. This is not my community

- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 19

What would you change about "Draft Plan C2, District A"?

QUESTION 20

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C2, District A"?

QUESTION 21

How well does "Draft Plan C2, District B" represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan C2, District B

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 22

What would you change about "Draft Plan C2, District B"?

QUESTION 23

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C2, District B"?

QUESTION 24

How well does "Draft Plan C2, District C" represent your community?

Row choices

• Draft Plan C2, District C

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

QUESTION 25

What would you change about "Draft Plan C2, District C"?

QUESTION 26

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C2, District C"?

QUESTION 27

How well does "Draft Plan C2, District D" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C2, District D

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 28

What would you change about "Draft Plan C2, District D"?

QUESTION 29

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C2, District D"?

QUESTION 30

How well does "Draft Plan C2, District E" represent your community?

Row choices

- Draft Plan C2, District E

Column choices

- 1. This is not my community
- 2. Does not represent my community well
- 3. Represents my community adequately
- 4. Represents my community very well
- 5

QUESTION 31

What would you change about "Draft Plan C2, District E"?

QUESTION 32

What would you keep about "Draft Plan C2, District E"?

QUESTION 33

**Any other thoughts on "Draft Plan C2" you would like to share?
Please specify if it is the full county or a specific district you are
commenting on.**

QUESTION 34

**Any other comments you would like to share with the Redistricting
Advisory Commission?**

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Individual Responses

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 6:33 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 25

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 6:54 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2.Does not represent my community well

Question 12

You are not even showing the fucking District D map. How am I supposed to comment in it??

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Give us the correct maps to look at

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 7:19 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 9

Remove the Eureka portion and include more of Arcata or McKinleyville in this district.

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

Arcata, Orick and Willow Creek do not seem to belong in the same district.

Question 28

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 31

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 34

No response

Question 22

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 7:54 AM

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Eureka is being divided

Question 4

Nothing

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

Do not divide Eureka

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 8:33 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

No response

Question 4

I like that the district is made up of rural and not thrown in with high density Humboldt Bay. Living east of Arcata proper, I feel more inline with Shelter Cove than I ever did with Arcata. Also like that it uses Highway 299 for division between A and D as that is how it naturally divides, no tby the Mad River

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

You do not have the correct map above for district D, so I would say, change your map to the correct district D map

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I like that it puts rural areas together so that their supervisor can better represent the people in their district. The 2010 plan put high density Humboldt Bay area into several districts and then the rural population around these areas had no representation.

Question 18

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

inside district 1

October 23, 2021, 8:40 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I remain very opposed to splitting up the heart of Eureka so do not like Plan A or A2.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Not much. Perhaps shift the outer part of Myrtle town out to put more of the area between Henderson and Harris in the Eureka district.

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

looks good

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

I like this configuration. I like that it keeps the Fickle Hill area and south east in District C

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

I live in Eureka and while I would like to be in District D, I really feel strongly that the heart of Eureka should be kept together.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

This is my preferred plan.

Question 34

No response

James Martin

inside district 1

October 23, 2021, 8:55 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 3

Nothing.

Question 4

Keep it all.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Nothing.

Question 7

Keep it all.

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I would stop the south westerly boundary at the Samoa Bridge, so that area North stays close to the Arcata City limits.

Question 10

Keep everything else where it is.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Nothing.

Question 13

Keep as is.

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

Nothing.

Question 16

Keep as is.

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Nothing.

Question 20

Keep as is.

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

Nothing.

Question 23

Keep as is.

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

Nothing.

Question 26

Keep as is.

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

Nothing.

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 29

Keep as is.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

Nothing.

Question 32

Keep as is.

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I feel it is not right to put that west portion of Eureka into the District with Arcata. Please keep it where it was, because that way it stays within the District of the one supervisor.

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 9:09 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

I like that the river communities, including the ancestral territories of the Yurok, Karuk (in Humboldt County), Hupa, and other native peoples are all represented by one supervisor. I like seeing Willow Creek included.

Question 17

PLEASE include distribution of Natives in your census summary data. Natives are an essential component of all communities in Humboldt County and should not be erased from the summaries.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

At least Eureka isn't divided between districts.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 31

No response

Question 32

This draft makes District E even more rural and less about McKinleyville, which increases the likelihood that our supervisor will focus on rural

topics. However, it is a huge district for one person to physically cover.

Question 33

See comment on Draft Plan A2 regarding documenting distribution of Native people by district.

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 9:26 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 9:26 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Fortuna north is a much different community than South of Fortuna to The Mendo Border . They should be represented separately.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Rio Dell and south Should be tucked in with sohum's area.

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 9:29 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 10:33 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

All of Sunny Brae, buttermilk/golf course neighborhood and jacoby creek are the same Arcata community

Question 10

Manila is also part of Arcata community

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

Should include Manila

Question 26

Arcata, Sunny Brae, buttermilk/golf course, jacyby creek should be together

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 11:36 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

What is the purpose of the district coming up along the coast of McKinleyville? If that comes with decision making power, I'd prefer the coastline to be overseen by district E.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

Adequate if the coastline is also under District E's supervision

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 28

Again, I'm confused about the coastal representation. Shouldn't the coastline of District E be represented by district e?

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 31

No response

Question 32

I appreciate keeping the mad river waterway within a district.

Question 33

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

I wish you also had demographic data on indigenous populations. Northern Humboldt in particular should have a very large native population. It is a concerning oversight not to include us.

Question 34

Please add demographic data for Native populations. To not see ourselves in both drafts is concerning, considering the size of the Native population here.

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 23, 2021, 12:21 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Blue Lake should not be included in a Southern Humboldt district. It belongs with either Arcata or McKinleyville.

Question 4

It makes sense to keep Shelter Cove with the rest of the Southern Humboldt coast instead of putting it with the inland district.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

This district is pretty significantly underpopulated (-1275). Combined with the lower deviation of District A, this is reducing the voting power of Eureka, Arcata, and McKinleyville.

Question 7

Other than needing to pick up more residents, the lines of this district are reasonable.

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

The west side of Eureka should not be placed in a district centered on Arcata. As it sits, this district is also over-populated.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 12

The west side of Eureka should be included in this district and not with Arcata.

Question 13

Even though it's not within city limits, it makes sense to keep Myrtle town with the majority of Eureka.

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

The Mad River should be the boundary between the McKinleyville and Arcata districts. Therefore, the Arcata Bottoms should not be in this district. This district is also over-populated. The current 5th district gained population since the last redistricting so needed to shed residents. Therefore, it seems dubious that it's actually gaining population when compared to keeping the lines exactly the same.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

The combination of Arcata and the west side of Eureka in District C doesn't make much sense. West side residents would continually be at risk of being an after-thought due to the district's population being centered on Arcata and they would be separated from their current political ties with the rest of the City of Eureka. Beyond this, Blue Lake does not share much in common with the rest of District A.

This draft map as a whole also doesn't seem to reflect the county's population trends over the last ten years, where Arcata and McKinleyville have grown relative to the rest of the county. Despite this, the Arcata- and McKinleyville-based districts are set to gain population relative to leaving the current boundaries as they are. This seems antithetical to the whole reason for redistricting in the first place and dilutes the influence of residents in Northern Humboldt.

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

I would add Shelter Cove to this district. That would put Shelter Cove with other coastal communities, which I think constitutes a community of interest, and would bring District A closer to the target population.

Question 20

Anchoring this district on southern Eureka keeps it close to the current makeup and seems fairly rational - much better than having it extend past Blue Lake.

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 5

Question 22

The map shown above appears to be the wrong version. The main change I would make would be to move Shelter Cove to District A.

Question 23

Overall, this district is similar to the current boundaries and seems fairly reasonable.

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 5

Question 25

I wouldn't change anything beyond minor tweaks needed to balance populations between the districts.

Question 26

This boundary seems very reasonable and is similar to the current makeup of District 3.

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 5

Question 28

Nothing. It appears to be similar to the current boundary, with the addition of a few neighborhoods near Redwood Acres, to bring it closer to the target population.

Question 29

I think this is a very reasonable boundary and there are no significant changes I would make.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 31

This district needs to lose a significant amount of territory. It seems most rational to do this by removing part of the Redwood Creek Valley and Willow Creek, which are culturally and economically different than McKinleyville and Blue Lake, particularly due to the prevalence of cannabis cultivation. Northern Humboldt has gained population over the last ten years and redistricting should reflect that.

Question 32

I would keep Blue Lake with McKinleyville, Glendale, and Fieldbrook. These communities are fairly connected and all sit on the north side of the Mad River.

Question 33

I think this draft is much better than the other option put forward in this round. The boundaries are fairly similar to what they are now and seem to do a better job of keeping smaller jurisdictions whole.

The main thing that needs to be addressed is the significant overpopulation of District E, which dilutes the voting power of Northern Humboldt and does not reflect population growth over the last 10 years.

Question 34

I am strongly opposed to Draft Plan A2, particularly the inclusion of the west side of Eureka with Arcata.

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 12:27 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

I would reunite Eureka and Arcata rather than dividing them along lines

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

that don't seem to make any sense.

Question 4

Keeping Fortuna in one district and McKinleyville in another rather than dividing either of those communities is good.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

It seems like old-fashioned gerrymandering to divide up Eureka and Arcata like that.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

It seems pretty good.

Question 20

It looks good relative to the other alternatives that have been presented in Round 1 or Round 2. I don't have time to try to make my own maps, so it's hard to evaluate what other options might work better.

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Please don't divide large communities into different districts (Eureka, Arcata, McKinleyville, Fortuna).

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 12:40 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Leave Bayside in the district with Arcata and Mike Wilson. I live in Jacoby Creek and don't want Rex Bohn, and have no association with southern Humboldt.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

How many voters live on the ocean west of McKinleyville? Why the big swath along the beach?

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

Silly mapmakers; why the big circle around Redding Rock? How many voters live there?!

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

WTF! Who lives on the ocean? How many voters west of McK live on the ocean? Who drafted these silly maps?

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

Get a better map maker!! Nobody lives on the ocean; cut off the colored mapping at the coast!

Question 34

If I click the back button, it goes to the beginning "Take the Survey"; why? At the end, I have the option of showing my name, but there is no box for entering my name, only my email address!!! Who designed this thing? Where is the "current districts" map? How do I compare to current districts unless I have encyclopedic knowledge of current boundaries?

Name not available

October 23, 2021, 12:47 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

It includes communities that are very far from each other and do not relate to each other on a regular basis. I would not extend it so far east.

Question 4

Not very much at all.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

It goes too far north and not to our west to include the coast - Southern Humboldt should stay southern Humboldt not some of the north and not some of the south.

Question 7

not much

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

?

Question 10

?

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

this is too dramatic of a change - it looks like you have the wrong map for this section

Question 13

wrong map.

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

perhaps this may be the one are that is not too bad.

Question 16

this may be okay.

Question 17

the redistricting of 1 & 2 are too dramatic and will not allow the communities that are dependent and connected to each other to remain in the same districts.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

splits southern Humboldt in half.

Question 20

half of it.

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I find this website difficult to navigate and the maps difficult to understand. I do think that these new ideas of district boundaries are very good approaches to solving the small problem of population decrease etc.

It seems to not take into account the actually geography of our very rural districts. In some instances it seems rather absurd like connecting Shelter Cove to the area near Willow Creek. These rather distant from each other communities do not have the same financial hubs let alone use the same roads. I would think we can do much much better. Thank you.

Name not shown

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 12:49 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

The Bayside/Jacoby Creek area should be left with Arcata. We are the last house at the end of Jacoby Creek Rd above the rock quarry now owned by the City of Arcata. Lumping us with southern parts of the county makes no sense. We are 15 minutes out of Arcata and are part of the 95524, Bayside, area. We are neighbors with the City of Arcata and share a private road with them.

Question 4

nothing

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I would put the Jacoby Creek Rd/Bayside area back in this District. We have historically been attached to Arcata. Our property is near the rock quarry owned by the City of Arcata at the end of Jacoby Creek Rd. We share a private road with the city. We should be represented in the same district as Arcata.

Question 10

Nothing unless our area is added.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 25

No response

Question 26

All of it

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Removing the Jacoby Creek Rd/Bayside area from what is now currently District 3 represented by Mike Wilson is completely unacceptable to me. We share a neighborhood with property/forest owned by the City of Arcata and it makes absolutely no sense that we would not be in the same district as Arcata. We interact with the city on an ongoing basis regarding maintenance of our private road that also runs through the city property. We also interact with them regarding future plans to log their properties less than a 1/4 mile from our home. Putting us in a district that is south of us is nonsensical.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 3:17 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

it seems like either Cutten or S. Broadway or both should be with most of Eureka

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I'd move the boundary on S. Broadway further north, so just old town was included with Arcata, and maybe also split the peninsula at the bridge (but really the peninsula as one piece isn't bad). I'd make the N. boundary more straight across, maybe at Mad River Road, and also include the clump of streets on the w. side of Alliance--both sides of Ernest Way and up to Parton, that's certainly part of Arcata.

Question 10

I kind of like Old Town with Arcata, it makes sense to me if you're going to put the two towns together at all--but I rather prefer map C2 that instead extends Arcata out to the southeast.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 15

Get rid of the nose in the SW corner, move that boundary to 101 and either Mad River Road or the Mad River and put that area (where I live!) with Arcata. That would also eliminate the issue with the houses in the neighborhood of Ernest way, which are certainly part of Arcata and should be included with it.

Question 16

otherwise it's basically fine

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

basically I think it's fine but I wonder about the N. boundary through Eureka--it's looks really odd, there are places where it looks like a single alley or property is cut out. For instance, why does E/W boundary cut over to Montgomery street for two blocks and then go back to Harrison? And why is there another chunk extending west & north on the south side of Erie street? Or why not just go across on Harris or even further south? (I suppose you'd add more people, but maybe the peninsula could go with Arcata to make up for it?)

Question 20

I like that it's a single unit instead of extending around the outside of the Bay like the equivalent in the other plan

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Question 26

I like the Mad River as a N. boundary

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

Again, I think the cutout on the south boundary where part of Henderson Center goes with Eureka and the rest goes with Loleta and Ferndale is a little odd. Also does it really include the ocean north to McKinelyville and if so why?

Question 29

I like keeping most of Eureka together

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

basically a nice compact shape

Question 33

No response

Question 34

thanks for your work, never an easy task!

Thomas Peters
inside district 4

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

October 23, 2021, 3:19 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

This is a geographic monster. One person would have great difficulty covering a district that is so spread out. I would try to attach the eastern portion to another district.

Question 4

Retain the coastal portion from Humboldt Bay south.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

I would extend it to the north to pick up the odd part of District A to make it geographically more workable

Question 7

Keeping the western portion in one District is a good idea

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Putting Arcata together with parts of Eureka is a bad idea. They have very different interests and politics. If the effort is to dilute Arcata's more liberal vote, this is the way to do it.

Question 10

nothing

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 12

Try to keep at least most of Eureka in one district. Do not attach parts of

Eureka to Arcata.

Question 13

I would include a picture of District D!

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

District E should include Arcata. Maybe dropping the eastern edge of E south and the northern edge of District B north

Question 16

It resembles the famous "camel that was a horse put together by a committee"

Question 17

Draft Plan A2 may have been drawn to population lines but it does not come close to working from a geographic standpoint. Any plan must consider how difficult it would be for any one person to cover and represent. A2 provides maximum driving and minimum shared interests.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

I might try to include more of Humboldt Hill and south Eureka but it's not a deal breaker.

Question 20

C2 appears to be similar to the existing district which seems to work pretty well

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

??

Question 23

It looks similar to the existing district and puts similar interest groups together

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

This appears to be a hermaphrodite, lumping Arcata with a large part of the rural areas east.

Question 26

If the rural areas are happy with being joined to Arcata then it's OK with me

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 28

If anything I would try to add more of south Eureka and Humboldt Hill into District D. They share many similar interests. I don't like fragmenting communities unless absolutely necessary

Question 29

Draft C2, District D keeps most of Eureka together. To that extent it avoids conflict within areas with the same interests but falling in two districts. For example, South Eureka should remain aligned with the rest of Eureka. For example, if District D disagreed with District A about an issue "on the line" south Eureka interests would almost certainly be more aligned with Eureka than south county.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

District E has always been large geographically but has worked well so far.

Question 32

This arrangement probably gives the Native American population more representation by separating off Arcata.

Question 33

Of the options I have seen Draft Plan C2 probably represents the most logical and workable alternative.

Question 34

Please try to consider geography and the physical demands on any one Supervisor to cover his/her territory.
Also consider community interests (ie: Arcata, Eureka, rural areas). Your Draft Plan C2 comes the closest to these goals.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 4:24 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

It is absurd to not have the Arcata Bottom included with Arcata and to include parts of Eureka with Arcata. This is a terrible idea and does NOT represent my community well at all. The Bottom is part of Arcata's "Sphere of Influence" and should be included in the same district as Arcata city. To include part of Eureka with Arcata and not include the Bottom with Arcata is ridiculous. Whose political agenda does this serve?

Question 10

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Question 26

This is a far better option than the Draft plan A2 District C. Keeping Arcata Bottom with Arcata rather than including parts of Eureka as Draft Plan A2 does makes a lot more sense. Draft Plan A2 needs to be deleted.

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

This, Draft Plan C2 is much better than having parts of Eureka with Arcata-Draft Plan A is absurd.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Draft plan A2 needs to be deleted. C2, as proposed here, is a much better option.

Name not shown

inside district 3

October 23, 2021, 9:31 PM

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 3

Take more off of district 'B', and give Humboldt Hill and Loleta areas to district 'D'. Also, the northeastern areas of this district have very little in common compared with the rest of the district.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I would not include downtown Eureka in with Arcata, instead placing Blue Lake first, and parts of McKinleyville second in the district

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Here is a link to my suggestion for the full county's map <https://davesredistricting.org/join/ec4d2e4e-b4e6-4023-b223-e1d8bfae2ad8> I believe this is the most appropriate map for the community. Eureka's core is isolated, its suburbs are separate, Arcata and Blue Lake are together, Loleta is separate from Ferndale, and Trinidad, Hoopa, and McKinleyville make up the north. Additionally, a Republican could feasibly win the district representing Fortuna, making sure that there is not complete political control by one party.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

It's pretty much perfect.

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 28

I would not group samoa and manilla under Eureka, its interests are more closely alligned with that of Arcata voters.

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

This one is better than the original plan, and is similar to the one which I came up with. If you have to choose between the two, choose this one. However, having samoa and manilla in with eureka leaves a bad taste in my mouth.

Question 34

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/ec4d2e4e-b4e6-4023-b223-e1d8bfae2ad8> Please do just look at this, I believe it represents the general feel of the county split in 5

Name not available

October 24, 2021, 7:46 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

This plan splits Eureka and is not representative of the population.

Question 4

Nothing

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

This splits Eureka and does not adequately represent the population use of the district.

Question 10

Nothing

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 17

This does not adequately represent the populations within the districts due to the splitting of Eureka

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Nothing

Question 20

The district boundary

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 28

Nothing

Question 29

The district boundary

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

The maps are not navigable and the survey questions are not set up in a fair way since there isn't a mechanism to prevent repeated survey attempts

Name not available

October 24, 2021, 11:44 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 31

Ensure that includes all of the Redwood Creek Watershed, Titlow Hill (at least to the Six Rivers National Forest's Horse Mountain Botanical Area), and out to the Humboldt / Trinity line.

Question 32

Redwood Creek Watershed (from headwaters to ocean), Highway 299 corridor (Arcata to Willow Creek and north to Del Norte line),

Question 33

See comments from Round 1.

Question 34

Make sure links in e-mails and webpages work (this is the e-mail that I

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

sent to my Supervisor who should have better things to do with his time and the community shouldn't have to waste their time or get dissuaded from participating):

An "Announcements from Humboldt County" e-mail was sent out on 10/23. The two draft maps on "2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review" are broken (" We're sorry, but there is not a web page matching your entry."):

1. Open e-mail, click on the Read More button. The "2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review" page opens

(https://www.opentownhall.com/portals/131/Issue_11286)

2. Click on Draft Plan A2

(<https://humboldt.gov/DocumentCenter/View/100255/Humboldt-County-Draft-Plan-A2-with-Existing-Lines---1021-HTML>) which goes to a page that says " We're sorry, but there is not a web page matching your entry."

3. Repeat for Draft Plan C2 and get the same result.

The map links on the Redistricting webpage

(<https://humboldt.gov/3106/Redistricting>) do work.

Maybe the:

1. Person posting emails and website content should be made aware that errors like this dissuade people from participating.

2. Redistricting webpage could include links for "More Information" (answer questions that are answered in the currently linked materials) and "Technical Assistance" (like broken links, help using the interactive maps, etc.)?

Name not shown

inside district 1

October 24, 2021, 1:34 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2.Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Should not go so far north

Question 4

keeps south county whole

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1.This is not my community

Question 6

Eel River bisects the community in the south - put it back together

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1.This is not my community

Question 9

Remove all Eureka from district so that you are not splitting communities with already existing ties to jurisdictions in either Eureka or Arcata. There are none linking residents of those two incorporated areas. Put Arcata bottoms/mad river bottoms back in the district

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1.This is not my community

Question 12

don't bisect eel river communities in the south of district - they all shop Garberville and should stay together

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1.This is not my community

Question 15

Put bottoms back in arcata's district

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

nothing

Question 20

whole thing

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 22

it appears this map for C2 is inaccurate. We in 95558 are both in District A and B

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

-maps extremely hard to see streets and features clearly under color layer
-very slow loading maps
-should NEVER join any part of Eureka with any part of Arcata - keep incorporated areas intact as much as possible (except Eureka too big for just one district)

Name not available

October 24, 2021, 3:52 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

Not able to determine location of lines because of lack of relevant information, but it is obvious that whoever thought this was a good idea knows nothing about the community connections that extend to the coast.

Question 7

Need more information, the available maps don't have roads or towns or rivers other landmarks. Poor web site.

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

Full county: the "Zoom in to interactive map to see cross streets and landmarks is not working. Need to see the cross streets and landmarks to make informed comments. This process is very frustrating and the web site is totally inadequate.

Question 34

Full county: the "Zoom in to interactive map to see cross streets and

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

landmarks is not working. Need to see the cross streets and landmarks to make informed comments. This process is very frustrating and the web site is totally inadequate.

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 24, 2021, 5:35 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Please keep Eureka together. It is very disappointing to see another version of maps continuing to split Eureka up. Furthermore, when this map is clicked to be enlarged, it shows the wrong map on the new tab.

Question 10

Nothing.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Please do not split Eureka up any more than the current district map does.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

Include more of South Eureka.

Question 29

It keeps Eureka more in tact than the other version.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

If it is between the other version and this version, it is much better. But more south eureka could be included.

Question 34

Please do not split up Eureka. It is a ridiculous proposal to split Eureka into different districts.

Name not available

October 24, 2021, 7:01 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

don't split so hum, current or prior plans better

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

Not chop so hum in half. Keep an older plan

Question 7

the colors, but not in the ocean

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

why not include around Arcata instead of chunking off a bit of Eureka

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 17

Meh. Perhaps we need one rural district arcing from Shelter Cove to Blocksburg to Willow Creek and Arcata/McKville, Eureka north, Eureka South, and Fortuna (one of the last 3 would have a chunk of rural behind it).

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Don't split sohum

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 22

don't split sohum

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

Same as last thoughts

Question 34

Please consider a redo with a #1 rural District arcing from Fields Landing west of 101, out 36, Loleta to S. Cove to Garberville and east up to Freshwater to Willow Creek, #2 McKinleyville/ blue lake and north, #3 Arcata/Manila/Indianola/Myrtle town, #4 Eureka plus Samoa, Fairhaven, King Salmon, and #5 Fortuna/Humboldt Hill/Ridgewood Heights/Bayview/Cutten. I did it at District as "Whole Eureka" and was within .49% on the population while respecting all boundaries and mostly using highways etc as dividers.

Name not available

October 25, 2021, 7:57 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Big swooping U on its side. If it doesn't look logical it's gerrymandering. Include school districts, community service districts, etc. It no longer includes Willow Creek, but still looks forced.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 6

Fortuna should be included with Ferndale and the Eel River Valley.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Less Eureka, more Arcata bottoms.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Follow School Districts.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Not so much North South. More East West. Follow School Districts.

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 22

This looks interesting, but doesn't follow the other mapping presented in draft plan C2.

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

Stop going crazy with the ocean boundary. Keep it Westerly of the population.

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 33

Eel River Valley Communities should be in the same district.

Question 34

No response

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 25, 2021, 8:25 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I am stunned to see that this gerrymandering redistricting option has made it into the second draft. This version removes the voices from the poorest section of Eureka from having representation within their own city. Instead they will be lumped in with the wealthier college town of Arcata. This Draft Plan A2 District C does not represent my community. We have Eureka issues, not Arcata college town issues.

Question 10

Draft Plan A2, District C should not be considered further.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

I would have liked to see a more north south extent and less of an east west extent of District D, but I think this represents my community adequately.

Question 29

I like how this district keeps Eureka intact and does not unnecessarily divide the city into rich and poor districts.

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Please reject Draft Plan A2, specifically the District C and D divide. Dividing Eureka into two districts down the middle does not represent Eureka accurately and places the poorer voices of the city (where I live) into the wealthy college town of Arcata, effectively removing our voices from the city of Eureka politics.

Name not available

October 25, 2021, 12:43 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Do not join any part of Eureka to Arcata.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

I'm unclear on the ocean boundary lines, if they are included in redistricting than I would think that they would be associated with adjacent communities.

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 25, 2021, 12:58 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I live in Eureka. It is unbelievable that one of the draft plans to make it through the last phase would include this gerrymandered mess. Taking the downtown of Humboldt County's largest town, and linking it with another town? Completely dissolving the political unity of a whole town? This is nonsense.

Question 10

Nope nothing nada

Question 11

No response

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I think this plan reflects the input of a few people who are MOST CERTAINLY trying to game the system. To what ends? Is there a "Take Back Humboldt" element to this? Sure does look like it. A line along D street, one street from Supervisor candidate Natalie Arroyo's house? Hilarious. This map is at least transparent in its crookedness, if not who is

proposing/pushing it.

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

While neither map is great (excising Shelter Cove from District B? Why?), option A2 is especially egregious. Your task is not to gerrymander.

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 25, 2021, 2:56 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

I do not like how the Eureka area is cut up. This represents a third part of the Eureka area being cut into another district. I disagree with cutting Eureka into three separate districts. Your maps are difficult to read.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

I disagree strongly with cutting Eureka through the heart of the area. It does not keep communities of interests together. This plan cuts the Eureka area into three parts.

Question 10

Nothing.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

Nothing.

Question 20

I like this plan. I realize that Eureka needs to be in two districts. I think this one does the best job of keeping a community of interest together.

Question 21

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 28

Nothing.

Question 29

Everything, I like this redistricting plan. This plan represents keeping the major of Eureka together.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I appreciate everyone's work on this project. To complete this survey I

had to toggle back and forth between the County's survey and Lost Coast Outpost's maps. Your maps were difficult to maneuver. I hope this survey's feedback is heard.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 25, 2021, 3:25 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Must include more of Eureka city! Should not take my westside neighborhood and Old Town and put them into a district with Arcata and separate us from our own walkable neighborhood.

Question 10

Appropriate that Samoa Peninsula is included with Eureka city

Question 11

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

make it more like draft plan C2

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

nothing

Question 20

all

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

nothing

Question 23

all

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

nothing

Question 26

all

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

I would prefer more of the City of Eureka including the area around Sequoia Park and Henderson Center be included in this district. I live on the westside of Eureka near Buhne and I consider Henderson Center my neighborhood. I would give up Samoa to keep this area because it is truly my area of interest.

Question 29

the rest

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

nothing

Question 32

all

Question 33

Looking at it as the full county, it seems more representative. It does not stretch out districts as much as the other plan, such as having Blue Lake with coastal southern Humboldt. It keeps the Glendale/Fieldbrook/Blue Lake areas together.

Question 34

No response

Name not shown

inside district 5

October 25, 2021, 3:28 PM

Question 1

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 28

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 25, 2021, 4:26 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Shelter Cove is completely isolated from the other areas that are shown in this plan. Our community is Southern Humboldt (Garberville, Redway, Miranda, Benbow, etc) These are the people our kids play sports with and go to school with. They are the people we see at the farmers market in Garberville.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 22

The district outline doesn't match the initial C2 map. There is overlap between district A and B.

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

This better than A2 map.

Question 34

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 25, 2021, 6:16 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

The First Law of Geography, according to Waldo Tobler, is "everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things." Horseshoe shaped areas of representation could not be better representation than consolidated areas.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Remove City of Eureka from the same district as Arcata. Arcata should include Manila and south stopping at the bridge, and Arcata should include Fickle Hill and Kneeland and Bayside all the way down to Freshwater and even Myrtle town if it needs more people. The area of Eureka that is shown as part of Dist. C here should be with the rest of

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Eureka.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

If you're going to put Eureka in its own district, include the entire city. Carving out the heart of Eureka - Old Town - plus one section of its historic neighborhoods, and sticking them with Arcata definitely breaks up this community of interest.

Question 13

Keeping Henderson Center with Eureka makes sense, at least south to Harris St.

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

Full County: It looks like a stretch. Carving out Eureka's heart to give to Arcata, and the horseshoe shape of Southern Coastal Humboldt seem very much like splitting multiple communities of interest.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 19

Would make it end just south of Harris St. Also, there is no option here to indicate whether this represents my community well or not, the above question refers to the whole county not District A.

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

Keep Samoa and south, but stop at the bridge and don't include that small part of Manila. Stop at Myrtle town, don't include safety corridor to Indianola. Make Harris St the southern boundary.

Question 29

Keeping all of Eureka together.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

Full Plan: some comments: 1) when I pressed the back button, to review the previous maps in detail it said my data would be lost, 2) there are

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

extra check boxes on this page "5" that do not have any text associated, was trying to go back to see if it was the case on the first page, 3) the maps at the top show both Draft Plans, both A2 and C2, adds confusion to this page.

Question 34

Please prioritize keeping cities and communities together, A2 does not look like it's doing this. Consider using ridgelines, i.e. watershed boundaries, as dividing lines in some of the more rural areas rather than streams/rivers or roads. I live on C St and I see no reason why my area would be different than Henderson Center or the neighborhoods around Eureka High, is seems like a totally arbitrary division going right down the center of Eureka.

Name not available

October 26, 2021, 7:30 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

Bayside and Shelter Cove are so different it is insane to even consider this plan.

Question 4

Nothing

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

October 26, 2021, 10:02 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Everything.

Question 4

That Samoa and Manila are represented by the same supervisor.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Everything.

Question 10

I would separate Eureka from Arcata.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Everything.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 15

Separate McKinleyville from Trinidad.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Unite Eureka, and seperate Arcata.

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

No response

Name not available

inside district 4

October 26, 2021, 10:15 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

Quit splitting up Eureka. Unable to even determine what district most neighborhoods are in. Eureka population and area should either stand alone, or split from north to south, not east to west. North can include Arcata. In Plan A, South Eureka (e.g. Humboldt Hill, Pine Hills, Fields Landing) can be included.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

Include more of North/East Eureka, and remove the southern parts of Eureka

Question 10

No response

Question 11

No response

Question 12

Actually really like.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 33

No response

Question 34

A2 first choice.

Matthew Simmons

inside district 3

October 26, 2021, 11:45 AM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

This district is usually shaped. It's odd to include the area to the East of Arcata with so much of Southern Humboldt. Blue Lake and Shelter Cove do not belong in the same district.

Question 4

The section of the map south of Eureka mostly makes sense.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 6

This section is mostly fine.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

This map makes no sense at all. Old Town Eureka is part of the Eureka Community not Arcata. Important parts of Arcata, like the Arcata bottoms are left out of this district.

There is no need to be splitting up political jurisdictions like this. And

doing so unnecessarily violates the California Constitution which asks the redistricting commissions to keep jurisdictions together where possible.

Question 10

Keeping the Samoa peninsula together makes sense. But it should either go in a "Eureka district" or an Arcata District. It makes no sense to split these cities up.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

This map shows the consequences of District C. When you cut out the heart of Eureka from the Eureka district you are left with an oddly shaped map that doesn't represent all the full Eureka community.

Question 13

Nothing

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 15

The Arcata bottoms belongs with Arcata.

Question 16

Keeping the Tribal communities together makes sense.

Question 17

The full county map doesn't stand up to scrutiny. It shouldn't be separating jurisdictions the way it does. This map actually represents my community less well than the old map. Please do not recommend this map for approval.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

Nothing

Question 20

This section is fine.

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 21

No response

Question 22

Nothing

Question 23

This section is fine.

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 25

Nothing

Question 26

This section is fine.

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 28

I would include the entire samoa peninsula in this map

Question 29

Mostly fine.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 31

Shouldn't extend so far south. Put some of the land south of 299 in with Arcata. This district has a much larger population than the other four. The Blue lake communities should be taken out of it and put in with Arcata.

Question 32

Having a wide northern district makes sense.

Question 33

This map has much better lines but the population is more uneven. District E has far too many people compared with othe districts. This is

relatively easy to fix though by taking some of the land out of E and putting it in D & C until they are more even.

Question 34

Please do not recommend these two maps. Go back to the drawing board. This decision will last 10 years let's do it right.

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 26, 2021, 12:46 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Eureka should not be split into two districts. This map is ridiculous.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

The whole draft plan is worse than the status quo and does not represent our communities.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

This is better than A2 but still worse than the status quo, and the district population variation doesn't make sense.

Question 34

These maps look like they were drawn for political advantage. They do not represent our communities and they are worse than the status quo.

Unfortunately this is a missed opportunity to make small changes to the existing map, but at this point my best suggestion is to leave the status quo. As a resident of Eureka, A2 is especially unacceptable

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 26, 2021, 4:13 PM

Question 1

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Do not split up Eureka and put northwest Eureka with Arcata. This makes no sense.

Question 10

the north spit could stay with Eureka.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

Keep Eureka together and whole as one community with one representative.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 28

Some streets in south Eureka seem arbitrarily cut out.

Question 29

District D makes more sense in representing Eureka.

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Please do not split Eureka and join the northwest half with Arcata. This does not make sense in terms of representing our community.

Name not available

inside district 4

October 26, 2021, 4:50 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

make it more square

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

make it more square

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

No response

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 3. Represents my community adequately

Question 12

No response

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 1. This is not my community

Question 19

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 4. Represents my community very well

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

I think that plan c2 is the best option

Name not available

inside district 4

October 26, 2021, 6:00 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

Keep Arcata unified with the arcata bottoms. Consolidate A rather than snaking it up through myrtle town and blue lake.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 9

Arcata bottoms need to be connected with Arcata central

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 12

I don't think eureka should be divided in this way. I think a horizontal division along Harris makes more sense than lopping off one corner of Broadway and lumping it into Arcata.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

No response

Question 20

No response

Question 21

No response

Question 22

No response

Question 23

No response

Question 24

No response

Question 25

No response

Question 26

No response

Question 27

No response

Question 28

No response

Question 29

No response

Question 30

No response

Question 31

No response

Question 32

No response

Question 33

No response

Question 34

Please don't do anything weird just do an honest job.

Name not shown

inside district 2

October 26, 2021, 6:12 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 3

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 6

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 16

No response

Question 17

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

You show A2 superimposed over the current one, not C2
I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 22

This is totally different than what is shown above.
I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 23

No response

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

I made a better plan <https://districtr.org/plan/70521>

Question 29

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 31

I made a better plan <https://district.org/plan/70521>

Question 32

No response

Question 33

I made a better plan <https://district.org/plan/70521>

Question 34

Splitting Eureka and SoHum are not good. I made a better plan <https://district.org/plan/70521>

Ellen Taylor

inside district 1

October 26, 2021, 7:22 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 3

First of all the maps are unintelligible. In the first map it is stated that the lines represent the existing delineations which is incorrect. An additional confusion is that you are giving both the districts and the plans letter names. I cannot imagine that the intent is to sow confusion, but that will certainly be the result.

Secondly the maps do not indicate the towns and routes, so that it is impossible to determine exactly where the delineations are. I would recommend rewriting Draft Plan A so that people can understand it and express an opinion.

Thirdly, the current district delineations create a better equality, the purpose of redistricting in the first place, than Draft Plan A2. If any changes are to be made they should occur by means of slight alterations, not a complete re-cutting, as Draft Plan A2 suggests. Stability is a value in itself: it depends on lines of communication, community groups, organizations and cultural traditions. Life including county life has enough anomie and chaos already, no need to add to it

with a conflict-creating zone upset.

Question 4

Nothing. If the preference is to define interest groups and political identifications, break the plan up into smaller groups. The choice to have 5 supervisors is arbitrary.

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

Draft Plan A2 appears to divide District A from District B with the Eel River. Are Garberville and Redway in District B? (Again, why give the Plans and the districts both letter names?) The map is unclear.

Briceland, Whitethorn and Shelter Cove have been part of the Garberville community. This is true of Fields Landing, Salmon Creek and other towns further north. Exactly where is the northern east-west line? Is it different from the current one?

Question 7

Draft Plan A2 District 2 maps a solidly circumscribed territory. That is, it has a simple shape which would clear if we saw on the map what was in and what was out

Question 8

No response

Question 9

Again, this is an incomprehensible map. What does the color coding mean? Why does the district extend out to sea? Fishing rights? Mermen and mermaids? What is included on the north, east and southern border? Eureka and Arcata are population clusters. Why then are they in the same district?

Question 10

It is impossible to say given the vagaries of the map.

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 12

This map at least has some features such as possibly towns on it but the words are too tiny to read. The scale presented is also much larger.

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 13

No response

Question 14

No response

Question 15

No response

Question 16

No response

Question 17

No response

Question 18

No response

Question 19

I was not able to get back to commenting on Draft Plan A2: when I was in the middle of indicating what I would change about District D this program switched me to Plan C.

This time you are not asking me if this is my district. It is. Does it go down as far as Shelter Cove?

It appears to include most of the Mattole Valley watershed. From the map I can not tell for certain but the delineations are similar to the present ones which is good.

Question 20

I would keep it as close as possible to current delineations.

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 22

I am confused, this district appears to overlap District A.

Question 23

Nothing. Incorrectly mapped.

Question 24

No response

Question 25

This zon includes Arcata and what else? Hydesville? Bridgeville? The map does not show what or why these vastly different regions have been lumped together.

The mapping in this entire project has to be thrown away: the public cannot understand it.

Question 26

Nothing. Incomprehensible.

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 1. This is not my community

Question 28

This looks like it includes Eureka, essentially all of it. That is good, however if putting Eureka in one block like that necessitates all the gyrations engineered in the rest of the redistricting it is not worth it. Eureka formerly contained 3 districts and it more or less worked.

Question 29

Depends on the needs of the other districts. Eureka is the population center.

And, again, why is the ocean included?

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

This district appears to make sense.

What is the bubble north of the Mad River?

Question 32

Can't say without comparing it with the current 5th district.

Question 33

I do not understand why Humboldt County is attempting such drastic changes in the districting. If there is a gross imbalance, and I do not believe there is, none of these improve the balance, and the disturbance to the community of re-slicing the districts is NOT WORTH IT.

Question 34

I am stunned at the incomprehensibility of the mapping presented to the public. It will discourage participation, and create stress.

If you cannot leave it alone make MINOR changes. The districts were

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

relatively stable as they were, as none of these improves significantly on relative population sizes.

Name not shown

inside district 4

October 26, 2021, 8:16 PM

Question 1

Draft Plan A2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 2

Draft Plan A2, District A: 1. This is not my community

Question 3

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 4

No response

Question 5

Draft Plan A2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 6

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 7

No response

Question 8

Draft Plan A2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 9

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county. The way the city of Eureka is split in this plan makes no sense. There are renters throughout the city, and people who are dependent on public transportation throughout the city. If those are significant factors in making this split, then a number of citizens who also fall into those groups are being left out.

Question 10

No response

Question 11

Draft Plan A2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 12

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county. Too much of the city of Eureka is not included in this district.

Question 13

No response

Question 14

Draft Plan A2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 15

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 16

No response

Question 17

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county. Given the large Native American population in the area, that is truly ridiculous in my mind, and any draft plan should include that information.

Question 18

Draft Plan C2 - Whole County: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 19

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 20

No response

Question 21

Draft Plan C2, District B: 1. This is not my community

Question 22

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 23

No response

Draft Map 2 - All Responses - Through 10.27

2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review

Question 24

Draft Plan C2, District C: 1. This is not my community

Question 25

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 26

No response

Question 27

Draft Plan C2, District D: 2. Does not represent my community well

Question 28

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county. I can't actually tell where the district borders are, but I believe this is the one that would include my house. It does not seem to include a large chunk of the city of Eureka.

Question 29

No response

Question 30

Draft Plan C2, District E: 1. This is not my community

Question 31

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county.

Question 32

No response

Question 33

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county. How can we draft maps without an accurate population breakdown?

Question 34

There is no population breakdown for Native Americans in the county. Accurate population maps can't be created without accurate population information. Also, my understanding is that there was no map created based on the current district lines? What if the current districts are more balanced by population than these maps that have been presented? Wouldn't it make sense to start with what already exists and build from there?

**Redistricting Advisory Commission
Public Comment Sent Via Email
Round 2 Draft Maps
Additional Comments from Oct 26**

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Kate McClain <katemcclain1@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 8:45 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting Advisory Committee

Greetings,

Thank you Redistricting Advisory Committee members for volunteering your time to represent the population of Humboldt County. I specifically reject A2's awkward and unusual boundaries and also reject C2's changes. I do support the opportunity to keep the majority of the current District boundaries intact and reconnect our communities around obvious and long standing linkages and geographic connections.

I do not support the A2 or C2 redistricting maps presented that alter the existing District boundaries. The alternatives A2 and C2 do not represent the way our communities are historically or currently linked.

The existing 2012 District Boundaries are aligned with geographic features, watershed boundaries, past community connections, and road network connections. These features help explain many of the reasons for why various communities are in certain districts. They are the basis for much of the district boundaries that have been around for decades and in some cases over a hundred years.

Over the years some of these “geographic communities of interest” were split simply to balance population. In 2010 Scotia was placed in one District and Rio Dell another. The Blue Lake Rancheria was split between the 5th and 3rd Districts and Blue lake was disconnected from Glendale in 2010. I support small changes to the existing District Boundaries to balance the population among the Districts and to reunite long standing “geographic communities of interest and connectivity.”

Respectfully,
Kate McClain
McKinleyville, CA

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Ken Miller <tamer1@suddenlink.net>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 8:38 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting

It ain't broke, leave it alone

2020 REDISTRICTING in HUMBOLDT COUNTY

There are two basic drivers of this redistricting:

1. Population balance between districts
2. Combining communities of interest

So far some communities of interest identified are;

- A. Native American communities
- B. Rural communities
- C. Renters

There are other communities of interest. **Geography and transportation networks (roads) play a crucial role in connecting our communities.** For example starting in the south county...

Shelter Cove, Whitethorn, Thorn, Redway, and Garberville are connected by the Shelter Cove Road as this is the inland communities connection to the coast;

Ferndale, Petrolia, and Honeydew have always been connected as ranching and farming communities where in fact back in the late 1800's the lower Mattole Valley was the bread basket for Humboldt County before the Railroad was built and highways constructed inland;

Fortuna, Rio Dell, Scotia and other Eel River Valley communities are connected by the 101 corridor and flood plain farming as part of the Eel River Valley;

Manilla and Arcata have long had a connection sharing the Arcata bottoms which lies between them;

Samoa and Fairhaven are connected as part of the peninsula;

Arcata, Bayside, and Freshwater are connected by Old Arcata Road and Kneeland and Fickle Hill by extension is connected with Freshwater and back around Fickle Hill Road to Arcata;

Blue Lake, Glendale, and Fieldbrook are connected communities and by extension Fieldbrook is connected with McKinleyville. In fact many addresses in Fieldbrook are listed as McKinleyville;

Redwood Valley has long been connected with Willow Creek via the old highway called Chezem Road, Three Creeks Road, and Brannon Mountain Road. Today they are connected by Highway 299. In addition, the Willow Creek Community Planning Area includes the Chezem Road community in recognition of this long standing connectivity based on geography and road networks;

Friday Ridge, Willow Creek, Hoopa, Weitchpec, and Orleans are connected as parts of the Trinity and Klamath River Corridors and the farming and fishing communities that are supported by these fertile valleys and flowing rivers. These areas also are home to several of our areas tribal communities including the Tsnungwe, Hoopa, Yurok, and Karuk Tribes;

Moonstone, Moonstone Heights, Westhaven, Trinidad, Patricks Point (Sue-meg...Yurok name), and Big Lagoon are all a part of the Trinidad area uplifted marine terraces and have multiple connections due to geography and place;

Orick, Ball Hills, and Weitchpec have long been connected by Bald Hills Road which was in fact a part of the Trinidad Trail back in the mid 1800's.

Summary:

When you look at the existing District Boundaries from 2010 these geographic features, watershed boundaries, past community connections, and road network connections help explain many of the reasons for why various communities are in certain districts. They are the basis for much of the district boundaries that have been around for decades and in some cases over a hundred years.

Over the years some of these "geographic communities of interest" were split simply to balance population. In 2010 Scotia was placed in one District and Rio Dell another. The Blue Lake Rancheria was split between the 5th and 3rd Districts and Blue lake was disconnected from Glendale in 2010.

With a little effort and understanding of these long standing "geographic communities of interest and connectivity", these communities can be reconnected and population can be also be balanced.

Lets use this opportunity to keep and reconnect our communities around obvious and long standing linkages and geographic connections.

Ken Miller
1658 Ocean Drive
Mckinleyville, Ca 95519
707-8397444
707-4967444cell/text

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Mary Burke <mburke5@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 8:22 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Madrone, Steve" <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Wilson, Mike" <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bohn, Rex" <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bushnell, Michelle" <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bass, Virginia" <VBass@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Comments on redistricting

To the Redistricting Advisory Committee,

I do not support the A2 or C2 redistricting maps presented that alter the existing District boundaries. The alternatives A2 and C2 do not represent the way our communities are historically or currently linked.

The existing 2012 District Boundaries are aligned with geographic features, watershed boundaries, past community connections, and road network connections. These features help explain many of the reasons for why various communities are in certain districts. They are the basis for much of the district boundaries that have been around for decades and in some cases over a hundred years.

Over the years some of these “geographic communities of interest” were split simply to balance population. In 2010 Scotia was placed in one District and Rio Dell another. The Blue Lake Rancheria was split between the 5th and 3rd Districts and Blue lake was disconnected from Glendale in 2010. I support small changes to the existing District Boundaries to balance the population among the Districts and to reunite long standing “geographic communities of interest and connectivity.”

Thank you to the Committee members for volunteering your time to represent the population of Humboldt County. I specifically reject A2's awkward and unusual boundaries and also reject C2's changes. I do support the opportunity to keep the majority of the current District boundaries intact and reconnect our communities around obvious and long standing linkages and geographic connections.

Respectfully,

Mary Burke
581 School Road
McKinleyville, CA 95519

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Bob Pagliuco <sheggyboy@aol.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 9:24 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting Maps

To the Redistricting Advisory Committee,

My name is Bob Pagliuco and I have been a Humboldt County resident since 2001 and I currently live in McKinleyville. I apologize for not commenting earlier in the process, as I have just become aware that these maps were being produced. I do not support the A2 or C2 redistricting maps presented that alter the existing District boundaries. The alternatives A2 and C2 do not represent the way our communities are historically or currently linked.

The existing 2012 District Boundaries are aligned with geographic features, watershed boundaries, past community connections, and road network connections. These features help explain many of the reasons for why various communities are in certain districts. They are the basis for much of the district boundaries that have been around for decades and in some cases over a hundred years.

Over the years some of these “geographic communities of interest” were split simply to balance population. In 2010 Scotia was placed in one District and Rio Dell another. The Blue Lake Rancheria was split between the 5th and 3rd Districts and Blue lake was disconnected from Glendale in 2010. I support small changes to the existing District Boundaries to balance the population among the Districts and to reunite long standing “geographic communities of interest and connectivity.”

Thank you to the Committee members for volunteering your time to represent the population of Humboldt County. I specifically reject A2's awkward and unusual boundaries and also reject C2's changes. I do support the opportunity to keep the majority of the current District boundaries intact and reconnect our communities around obvious and long standing linkages and geographic connections.

Thank you,

Bob Pagliuco

In Opposition of A2

From: Katherine <katherinebettis@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 9:14 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: No to redistricting plan A2

I live in district 1.

No to A2.

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Ken Miller <tamer1@suddenlink.net>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 8:50 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting 2

To the Redistricting Advisory Committee,

I do not support the A2 or C2 redistricting maps presented that alter the existing District boundaries. The alternatives A2 and C2 do not represent the way our communities are historically or currently linked.

The existing 2012 District Boundaries are aligned with geographic features, watershed boundaries, past community connections, and road network connections. These features help explain many of the reasons for why various communities are in certain districts. They are the basis for much of the district boundaries that have been around for decades and in some cases over a hundred years.

Over the years some of these “geographic communities of interest” were split simply to balance population. In 2010 Scotia was placed in one District and Rio Dell another. The Blue Lake Rancheria was split between the 5th and 3rd Districts and Blue lake was disconnected from

Glendale in 2010. I support small changes to the existing District Boundaries to balance the population among the Districts and to reunite long standing “geographic communities of interest and connectivity.”

Thank you to the Committee members for volunteering your time to represent the population of Humboldt County. I specifically reject A2's awkward and unusual boundaries and also reject C2's changes. I do support the opportunity to keep the majority of the current District boundaries intact and reconnect our communities around obvious and long standing linkages and geographic connections.

Respectfully,
Ken Miller

In Favor of C2 (As second option, if minimal change map not available)

From: sue <suejh@humboldt1.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 8:12 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: redistricting

My name is Sue Hilton and I live in the bottoms outside of Arcata.

Thank you for your work on redistricting--I'm sure it's a really hard job.

I've been commenting on the proposed district maps, both the first round and the second, but I just saw the Lost Coast Outpost article and want to say a few more things.

As I'm sure many folks have pointed out (and as I said in my open humboldt response) your proposed map A2 makes no sense at all. Map C2 is better, but as Hank Sims pointed out, the current districts are actually better than that. I will add that I'd still love to be in the Arcata district (I'm currently with McKinelyville) but it's not as critical to me as getting basically reasonable and balanced districts for everyone. Please consider mostly using existing districts with minor changes for balance and to get rid of oddities.

thank you

Sue Hilton

6210 Lanphere, Arcata

In Favor of C2 (As second option, if minimal change map not available)

From: Andres Rodriguez <andres.rafa.rodriguez@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 7:40 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Public comment about proposed redistricting maps to be discussed on Wed Oct 26
Hello Redistricting Advisory Committee,

My name is Andres Rodriguez, and I live in the Arcata Bottoms. Thank you for volunteering your time to recommend potential redistricting maps to the Board of Supervisors.

I am writing to urge you to recommend a map to the Board that makes the least changes possible to the existing districts. The current districts have worked well and only require small changes to remedy population imbalances and to reunite long standing communities of interest such as Rio Dell and Scotia. If this requires asking the mapmakers to make a new map, please do ask that they make a new map.

I also urge you to reject Map A2 for the following two reasons. First, it does not make sense to combine areas of Arcata and Eureka which are two distinct communities separated by Humboldt Bay. Second, it also does not make sense to combine Arcata Bottoms and McKinleyville which are two distinct communities separated by Mad River. Please recommend a map to the Board that keeps the Arcata, Eureka, and McKinlyville communities intact.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Andres Rodriguez

Reject A2 (minimal changes preferable)

From: Kelley Garrett <kellybrookgarrett@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 7:35 PM

To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Hum CO Redistricting

Dear Committee

I live in McKinleyville, Humboldt CO, California. Thank you for your work.

Please recommend to the Board a map that makes the least change to our existing districting. It works the way it is, so why "fix" it if it's not broken?

Please reject A2—no awkward redistricting.

Thank you,

Kelley Garrett

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Gayle Olson-Raymer <go1@humboldt.edu>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 7:24 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting

Dear Committee Members - My name is Gayle Olson-Raymer and I have been a resident of McKinleyville for 30 years. I am extremely concerned about the work each of you has so generously volunteered to do - working to remap our County districts. Many thanks to each of you for working on behalf of our County. This is a really important job and I want you to know that I appreciate your efforts.

I have examined each of the three maps that I have been able to access. Upon examination, the first question that came to mind is - why would we redraw our district boundaries when they have been working well for years? Since balancing population in districts is extremely important, it seems the best approach to take to any current imbalances would be to make some minor alterations - not make huge population shifts as shown in the proposed maps. In fact, my analysis of the maps indicates that any of them could actually make population imbalances worse.

Furthermore, it is unclear why the Committee might support such a realignment. Humboldt County residents have more in common - both economically and socially - with the coastal and inland communities along Highway 101 than they do with the inland communities to the east along Highway 5.

In terms of the proposed maps, none are realistic alignments of population. I especially find Map A2 puzzling as it looks like the proposed district is extremely awkward and unwieldy. Map C2 is less awkward but still puzzling. Is the purpose of such maps to balance population - and if so, I can only see that Humboldt residents would have to compete with the majority conservative voters, thus placing Humboldt residents in a minority position. In short, these maps keep me asking my initial question about why we are trying to fix something that is not broken.

Another serious problem is that by putting Humboldt in another district, we would lose the excellent representation given to us by Congressman Huffman, Senator McGuire, and Assemblyman Wood. I have called, sent texts, and emailed each of these representatives dozens of times and have always found them and their staff to be attentive to my concerns and grateful for my input. I would hate to lose such responsible and responsive representation.

Thus, I respectfully ask the Committee to reject these maps, retain the vast majority of our current and well-functioning district, and make only the minor changes that would address the few areas where population may need to have minor adjustments.

Again, thank you for your work - and thank you for giving my letter some thought.

Sincerely - Gayle Olson-Raymer

--

Gayle Olson-Raymer, Ph.D.
Retired, Department of History
Humboldt State University
go1@humboldt.edu

In Favor of C2 (alternatively leave the map as is)

From: Katrina Hanson <katrinah13@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 7:25 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting

Dear Committee Members,

Thank you for the work that you're doing to serve the residents of our county.

I am a resident of Eureka (District 4), and I am asking you to approve map C2 or to leave the map in the current status quo. As a resident of the current District 4, I am concerned about the changes laid out in map A2. I feel that my concerns and needs are much more aligned with other residents of Eureka, rather than those of Arcata, and feel that my community would be better served by representation that leaves the current county districts intact.

Thank you,
Katrina Hanson
Williams St
Eureka

In Favor of C2 (alternatively leave the map as is)

From: Maya B <yekdorbb@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 7:19 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: County Redistricting

Dear Committee Members,

Thank you for the work that you're doing to serve the residents of our county.

I am a resident of Eureka (District 4), and I am asking you to approve map C2 or to leave the map in the current status quo. As a resident of the current District 4, I am concerned about the changes laid out in map A2. I feel that my concerns and needs are much more aligned with other residents of Eureka, rather than those of Arcata, and feel that my community would be better served by representation that leaves the current county districts intact.

Thank you,
Maya Brodkey
Williams St
Eureka

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Patrick Carr <nedlud432@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 7:13 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting

Hello,

I'm an Arcata resident and have only been slightly following the redistricting issue until I read of how bizarre the currently considered maps are.

I appreciate that volunteers are doing their utmost to create a reasonable map. I thank the Redistricting Advisory Committee members for their time and effort. But I really am concerned that the two maps I've seen, A2 and C2, are solutions to problems that don't exist. For one thing, the moderately decent population balance of the 2010 redistricting map is swung wildly awry with these two attempted solutions. Also, the idea of joining much of Arcata with Eureka's west side is frankly bizarre. And Titlow Hill with the Shelter Cove area? Weird. I believe that districts really ought to be made of contiguous areas of land.

Please, if anything, just make some nudges adequate to adjust the population fairly and then bring Scotia and Rio Dell into the same district.

Don't make us wonder if the mapmakers got hold of some of those hallucinogenic mushrooms the Arcata City Council just made legal in our city.

Thanks, Patrick Carr

In Opposition of Both Maps

From: Susan Seaman <susanseamaneureka@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday October 26, 2021 5:25 PM

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Redistricting Comment

I apologize that this email is so late, but I wanted to make sure I reached out about the Redistricting Advisory Committee recommendations.

Redistricting is such an important project for a jurisdiction. We are also going through it in Eureka. I appreciate anybody who takes the time to be part of the process.


I really do want to impress that unless it's trying to correct some previous inequities, which has not been demonstrated in the current supervisorial district map, a quality redistricting should not be dramatic or exciting. Keeping things as close to the original functional district is less confusing for voters and doesn't feed into narratives about unnecessary gerrymandering, which can make people less trustful of the government. None of us in a government role need that additional hurdle to gain our constituent's trust.

As the County seat, the City of Eureka should remain intact. The core of this community of interest is in the historic core of the city,

which roughly consists of Old Town/Downtown and the adjacent neighborhoods. These community interests include economic development, infrastructure and other important topics that certainly affect the broader community, but can have a very different impact on say Arcata vs. Eureka.

If jurisdictions need to be split to achieve rough proportionality, it would make more sense to pull from the areas of Cutten and around the Municipal Golf Course,

I am asking you to please reject map A-2 and C-2. Thank you.



2020 Census

	A	B	C	D	E
Population	26,791	26,087	28,315	27,278	28,339
Deviation	-571	-1,275	953	-84	977
Deviation %	-2.1%	-4.7%	3.5%	-0.3%	3.6%
Other	23,379	21,397	21,276	21,693	25,308
Other %	87.3%	82.0%	75.1%	79.5%	89.3%
AIAN *	804	522	566	818	4,251
AIAN % *	3.0%	2.0%	3.0%	3.0%	15%
Latino	2,698	4,260	5,483	3,579	2,508
Latino %	10.1%	16.3%	19.4%	13.1%	8.8%
Asian	511	256	842	1,550	334
Asian %	1.9%	1.0%	3.0%	5.7%	1.2%
Black	203	174	714	456	189
Black %	0.8%	0.7%	2.5%	1.7%	0.7%

*American Indian / Alaskan Native


Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	A	B	C	D	E
Total CVAP	19,948	18,857	22,790	22,241	21,544
Other CVAP	18,350	17,329	18,497	19,605	20,245
Other CVAP %	92.0%	91.9%	81.2%	88.2%	94.0%
AIAN CVAP *	598	189	456	445	2,586
AIAN CVAP % *	3.0%	1.0%	2.0%	2.0%	12.0%
Latino CVAP	1,149	1,278	2,992	1,500	932
Latino CVAP %	5.8%	6.8%	13.1%	6.7%	4.3%
Asian CVAP	389	56	638	864	272
Asian CVAP %	2.0%	0.3%	2.8%	3.9%	1.3%
Black CVAP	60	194	663	271	95
Black CVAP %	0.3%	1.0%	2.9%	1.2%	0.4%




* American Indian / Alaskan Native

District A

2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
26,791	-571	-2.1%	23,379	87.3%	2,698	10.1%	511	1.9%	203	0.8%	804	3.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
19,948	18,350	92.0%	1,149	5.8%	389	2.0%	60	0.3%	598	2.0%		

District B

2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
26,087	-1,275	-4.7%	21,397	82.0%	4,260	16.3%	256	1.0%	174	0.7%	522	2.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
18,857	17,329	91.9%	1,278	6.8%	56	0.3%	194	1.0%	189	2.0%		

District C

2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
28,315	953	3.5%	21,276	75.1%	5,483	19.4%	842	3.0%	714	2.5%	566	2.0%




Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %
22,790	18,497	81.2%	2,992	13.1%	638	2.8%	663	2.9%	456	2.0%

District D

2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
27,278	-84	-0.3%	21,693	79.5%	3,579	13.1%	1,550	5.7%	456	1.7%	818	3.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
22,241	19,605	88.2%	1,500	6.7%	864	3.9%	271	1.2%	445	2.0		

District E


2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN%
28,339	977	3.6%	25,308	89.3%	2,508	8.8%	334	1.2%	189	0.7%	4,251	15%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
21,544	20,245	94.0%	932	4.3%	272	1.3%	95	0.4%	2,586	12%		



2020 Census

	A	B	C	D	E
Population	26,447	27,421	26,706	26,941	29,295
Deviation	-915	59	-656	-421	1,933
Deviation %	-3.3%	0.2%	-2.4%	-1.5%	7.1%
Other	22,018	22,611	21,267	20,912	26,245
Other %	83.3%	82.5%	79.6%	77.6%	89.6%
AIAN *	739	548	534	808	3,944
AIAN % *	3.0%	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%	15%
Latino	3,233	4,361	4,366	4,057	2,511
Latino %	12.2%	15.9%	16.3%	15.1%	8.6%
Asian	926	270	543	1,410	344
Asian %	3.5%	1.0%	2.0%	5.2%	1.2%
Black	270	179	530	562	195
Black %	1.0%	0.7%	2.0%	2.1%	0.7%

* American Indian / Alaskan Native


Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	A	B	C	D	E
Total CVAP	19,574	19,468	22,778	21,446	22,114
Other CVAP	17,561	17,934	19,564	18,187	20,780
Other CVAP %	89.7%	92.1%	85.9%	84.8%	94.0%
AIAN CVAP *	196	389	228	429	2,654
AIAN CVAP % *	1.0%	2.0%	1.0%	2.0%	12%
Latino CVAP	1,308	1,272	2,499	1,816	958
Latino CVAP %	6.7%	6.5%	11.0%	8.5%	4.3%
Asian CVAP	629	55	314	939	283
Asian CVAP %	3.2%	0.3%	1.4%	4.4%	1.3%
Black CVAP	76	208	401	505	93
Black CVAP %	0.4%	1.1%	1.8%	2.4%	0.4%




*American Indian / Alaskan Native

District A

2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
26,447	-915	-3.3%	22,018	83.3%	3,233	12.2%	926	3.5%	270	1.0%	793	3.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
19,574	17,561	89.7%	1,308	6.7%	629	3.2%	76	0.4%	196	1.0		

District B

2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population






Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
27,421	59	0.2%	22,611	82.5%	4,361	15.9%	270	1.0%	179	0.7%	548	2%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
19,468	17,934	92.1%	1,272	6.5%	55	0.3%	208	1.1%	389	2%		

District C





2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN%
26,706	-656	-2.4%	21,267	79.6%	4,366	16.3%	543	2.0%	530	2.0%	534	2%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP%		
22,778	19,564	85.9%	2,499	11.0%	314	1.4%	401	1.8%	228	1%		

District D
2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
26,941	-421	-1.5%	20,912	77.6%	4,057	15.1%	1,410	5.2%	562	2.1%	808	3%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
21,446	18,187	84.8%	1,816	8.5%	939	4.4%	505	2.4%	429	2%		

District E

2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %	AIAN	AIAN %
29,295	1,933	7.1%	26,245	89.6%	2,511	8.6%	344	1.2%	195	0.7%	3,944	15%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %	AIAN CVAP	AIAN CVAP %		
22,114	20,780	94.0%	958	4.3%	283	1.3%	93	0.4%	2,654	12%		

Redistricting Advisory Commission
Public Comment Sent Via Email
Round 2 Draft Maps
October 16-25, 2021

In Favor of Map A2

From: Pat Hughes <hughespat788@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2021 02:13:38 +0000
Subject: REDISTRICTING
Committee,

I have been following the redistricting process.
Map A is definitely the best option for the county and for my community in Old Town.
Our connection with Arcata needs to have a common voice. We have recently been physically connected via the trail along Highway 101, now it is time to unite our voice through one representative.

Please adopt Map A2

Thank you
Pat Hughes

From: patricia azevedo <paz1011990@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2021 23:35:48 +0000
Subject: Redistricting
Commissioners,

I am a poly sci major at HSU and live in Eureka. I commute there almost every day. I would like to comment on the current redistricting process. As someone who plans on making Humboldt my home its important for the people to have representation that reflects those shared beliefs and culture.

The proposed Map A2 best represents a future for Humboldt County. Its a unique approach to put people together that should be together and will produce a more conducive environment for work travel and lifestyle. Renting on the west side of eureka has shown me I have a lot in common with the residents of Arcata than central eureka or the suburbs. It will also allow a more

focused and central point for all the issues surrounding the bay and the challenges facing us with climate change and sea level rise.

Please consider my comments and the future of Humboldt in your deliberations.

Respectfully

Patricia

From: Richard Carlton <carltonrichard22@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2021 23:22:43 +0000

Subject: West Side of Eureka Humboldt County Redistricting
Redistricting Committee

West Eureka and Arcata provide rental housing for HSU students. We have many of the same issues, such as public transportation, social services, housing shortages in common. Map A best represents those interests and would put us with a supervisor who understands them. Currently we don't seem to get much of a response from our supervisor. I have lived on the west side for many years and would like to see a change. Thanks for your time and consideration

Rich

From: Don Reynolds <dreyrun@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2021 23:13:01 +0000

Subject: redistricting

Dear Commissioners

As a life long resident of Eureka mostly living in old town I would like a change and see map A2 adopted as the new supervisors district. District C has most of Old town has the same feel and vibe as Arcata and deal with a lot of the same issues. ie homeless drug use public transportation and affordable housing. I understand from a population standpoint Eureka will have to be split and putting us with Arcata will put us with people who share our issues and lifestyle.

thanks

Don

From: Brian Jones <bjarcataway@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2021 23:01:35 +0000

Subject: Redistricting

Dear Humboldt County Redistricting:

As a resident of Arcata, I support Map A as it allows one supervisor to oversee development around the Bay -- one of our most precious resources. Our current supervisor has a great track record of environmental protection and I believe that there should be one supervisor looking out

for the best interests of the Bay. I would like to see District C in Map A2 expanded to include all of the 101 around the Bay.

Brian Jones

From: Amanda Faitt <afaitt75@gmail.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2021 22:48:38 +0000

Subject: Redistricting

To whom it may concern the following are my comments on redistricting.

1. Map A is preferable to map C. Living on kneeleand I would much prefer to be included with my rural friends than put in map C and arcata. We have more in common with our interests as well as our issues. Roads rural security and illegal dumping to name a few.

Map C will dilute our voices when compared with the urban areas and we will suffer. Please keep the country people together.

Thank you

Amanda

From: TRAVIS CARMESIN <traviscarmesin@aol.com>

To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2021 15:18:51 +0000

Subject: Humboldt County Redistricting

Hello,

My name is Travis Carmesin, I am a third generation Humboldt County resident and am proud to say I have lived in McKinleyville my whole life. I am writing this email today regarding the three maps drafted for our potential new county supervisor districts. Our county is a hidden gem in the state that offers great opportunities for people to make a living through agriculture, the timber industry, local fisheries, real estate, tourism and education. With that being said I believe that adopting map A would be best for all economic development and the future of our county.

Thank you

Travis Carmesin

From: Jackie Saunderson <jackie95503@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 12:49 PM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Redistricting

I believe map A2 is the best for redistricting purposes.
Thank you
Jackie Saunderson

From: Lane Christiansen <lcferndale@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 2:06 PM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Map A support

To whom it my concern,

I'm a lifelong resident of Humboldt County and I want to show my support for Map A. I appreciate how Map A gives a fair voice to those of us in rural areas. Map A will provide us with representation and leadership that can address issues that pertain to our rural communities.

Thank you,
K Christiansen

From: Phillip Ayers <pgayers54@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 3:08 PM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Redistricting choice

Hello,

I like the idea of joining part of Eureka with Arcata. My vote is for map A2.

Map C2 does not make good sense to put southern Eureka, Cutten, or Pine Hill in with Petrolia or Honeydew, which are very rural.

Thank you
Phil Ayers

From: Kelley McBeth <kelleymcbeth2017@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 9:26 AM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Map A2

I think Map C2 is really out of touch and I don't like it at all. I kind of like the way A2 hooks up part of Eureka with Arcata I think that would work well.

Thank you, Kelley

From: Ben McWhorter <ben@sequoiagas.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 10:19 AM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: redistricting

I would like to comment on the redistricting of Humboldt County. I would encourage and highly recommend that the RAC promotes map A rather than map C. I believe that map A represents our rural population to a much better extent than that of map C. Although the First Dist. covers an extensive area, those areas are better served due to their ruralness, rather than having influence over densely populated areas. Within our county it is hard to get the numbers needed in each district without taking large swaths of Land, and I believe map A does a great job by separating Dist 1 and 2 by keeping communities within them intact. Furthermore I believe that the 3rd and 4th Dist are better served within the densely populated areas of Eureka and Arcata as shown in map A. I also encourage the connection of Samoa with Arcata as we are seeing much development in that area tied to Arcata such as the onshore high speed cable. Map A is a progressive way of redistricting and in my opinion, much needed to help keep our County well represented for its constituents for years to come.

Thanks to the committee for the time and effort into this project
Ben McWhorter
Fortuna

In Opposition of Map C2

From: Nicole Pettit <nicole.pettit@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 3:16 PM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Thank You

As someone living in the Pine Hill area I really don't want My representation to be so influenced by Southern Humboldt and I don't like the way the C2 map lines are drawn.

From: Kya Hulcy <kyahulcy@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 3:15 PM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject:

As someone living in the Pine Hill area I really don't want my representation to be so influenced by Southern Humboldt and I don't like the way the C2 map lines are drawn.

General Comments/Questions

From: Linda Miller <krazykat745@gmail.com>
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2021 21:59:53 +0000
Subject: Submission of COI for the Glendale-Blue Lake Community
Hello,

At Friday's meeting of the RAC, I commented that none of the first round of draft district maps put the community of Glendale and the city of Blue Lake together. Lisa Dugan asked that I submit a map that encompasses what I see as this COI. My COI map and description has just been submitted in DistrictR and this is the link: <https://districtr.org/COI/65190>

I would like to say that I am not the only one who thinks that this COI should be kept in one district, however, many others may draw the map differently on the outer boundaries. For instance, perhaps the community of Glendale includes those residents all the way to the top of Liscom Hill Road, or further into Fieldbrook, or would continue the boundary farther to the West along Glendale Drive. I am only one person drawing this COI by myself, and I do hope that others will chime in as to where this boundary should be.

Thank you,
Linda Miller
Glendale

From: Tracy OConnell <Tracy1952@mail.com>
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2021 01:26:37 +0000
Subject: Redistricting maps for Districts 1 and 2
Hi, I write the newsletter for the Rio Dell-Scotia Chamber of Commerce and am interested in learning more about the proposals to alter the lines between county board supervisory Dist. 1 (serving Scotia) and Dist. 2 (serving Rio Dell). I see a lot of information online about surveys, response mechanisms, generalized maps and how to describe communities of interest that should be kept together.

What I'm not able to find anywhere is a description of what would be different in the various scenarios. It says one can search streets, etc. but I don't see where or how to do that - just the broad shapes of each district with differently colored areas.

How can I get a visual or word description of these proposals and how they differ from now - for instance, "the area north of XXX in Scotia will be moved to Dis. 2 in Plan A"?

Thanks so much for any help you can provide!
Tracy O'Connell
Rio Dell-Scotia Chamber of Commerce secretary
707.267.6052

From: Tom Wheeler <tom@wildcalifornia.org>
To: "Miller-Rubio, Neftali" <nrubio-mills1@co.humboldt.ca.us>, County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Cc: "Wilson, Mike" <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Madrone, Steve" <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>, "Bass, Virginia" <VBass@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2021 21:39:30 +0000
Subject: Redistricting packet missing public comments

Hi all,

I write again to share my frustration at the administration of the Redistricting Advisory Committee. Ahead of the Wednesday meeting, I went to review the public comments received by the County. First, the comments that are available are largely unhelpful because they lack the questions that the commenters are responding to. I've attached the public comments for your review. It is a pain to work through. Additionally, I wonder if this is complete as the .pdf starts on page 116 of a larger document. What was on the first 115 pages?

Second, I understand that there are comments missing from the record. The County has multiple open portals for submission of public comments: Two pages on "Open Humboldt," [one for draft maps](#) and [one general](#), and the agenda asks for comments to be submitted to the CAO's office. One person has told me that she had submitted comments on the general Open Humboldt forum that are not in the collected. I have generally directed interested parties to submit comments to the CAO's email address, and while it is possible that no one has, I also note that there are no emails included in the public comment packet.

The Commissioners can only do as good of a job as staff allows them to do. Without access to all public comments, their ability to discern and preserve communities of interest is hampered.

Best,
Tom

--

Tom Wheeler
Executive Director and Staff Attorney
Environmental Protection Information Center
145 G Street Suite A
Arcata, CA 95521
Office: (707) 822-7711 | Cell: (206) 356-8689

tom@wildcalifornia.org
www.wildcalifornia.org
Pronouns: he/him/his

From: Jeff and Marisa St John <upperredwoodcreek@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 24, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Redistricting Web Broken Links

Hello Supervisor Madrone,

An "Announcements from Humboldt County" e-mail was sent out on 10/23. The two draft maps on "2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review" are broken (" We're sorry, but there is not a web page matching your entry."):

1. Open e-mail, click on the Read More button. The "2nd Draft - Supervisor District Boundaries, 2 options to review" page opens (https://www.opentownhall.com/portals/131/Issue_11286)
2. Click on Draft Plan A2 (<https://humboldt.gov/DocumentCenter/View/100255/Humboldt-County-Draft-Plan-A2-with-Existing-Lines---1021-HTML>) which goes to a page that says " We're sorry, but there is not a web page matching your entry."
3. Repeat for Draft Plan C2 and get the same result.

The map links on the Redistricting webpage (<https://humboldt.gov/3106/Redistricting>) do work.

Maybe the:

1. Person posting emails and website content should be made aware that errors like this dissuade people from participating.
2. Redistricting webpage could include links for "More Information" (answer questions that are answered in the currently linked materials) and "Technical Assistance" (like broken links, help using the interactive maps, etc.)?

Thanks again!

Regards,
Marisa Darpino
District 5

From: Lin Glen <glennalin@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2021 3:51 PM
To: County Administrative Office <cao@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: redistricting maps

I would like a paper copy of the proposed redistricting maps and am making this request as suggested by the county website's redistricting page.

I would also like a paper copy of the current Supervisorial district map.

Thank you.

Lin Glen
PO Box 1004
Blue Lake, CA 95525